Pecyn dogfennau cyhoeddus

Y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

Lleoliad: Ystafell Bwyllgora 2 - y Senedd

Dyddiad: Dydd Llun, 11 Mehefin 2012

Amser: 14:30 Cynulliad Cenedlaethol **Cymru**

National Assembly for **Wales**



I gael rhagor o wybodaeth, cysylltwch a:

Steve George Clerc y Pwyllgor 029 2089 8242 PwyllgorMCD@cymru.gov.uk **Olga Lewis** Diprwy Glerc 029 2089 8154

Agenda

1. Cyflwyniad, ymddiheuriadau, dirprwyon a datganiadau o fuddiant

1. Offerynnau nad ydynt yn cynnwys unrhyw faterion i'w codi o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2 neu 21.3 2.

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad negyddol

CLA152 - Gorchymyn Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Datblygu Cyffredinol a Ganiateir) (Diwygio) (Cymru) 2012

Y weithdrefn negyddol. Fe'i gwnaed ar 19 Mai 2012. Fe'i gosodwyd ar 22 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym yn unol ag erthygl 1(1)

CLA153 – Gorchymyn Moch Daear (Ardal Reoli) (Cymru) (Dirymu) 2012 Y weithdrefn negyddol. Fe'i gwnaed ar 23 Mai 2012. Fe'i gosodwyd ar 25 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym ar 15 Mehefin 2012

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad cadarnhaol

Dim

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad uwchgadarnhaol

CLA155 - Gorchymyn Corff Adnoddau Naturiol Cymru (Sefydlu) 2012

Y weithdrefn uwchgadarnhaol. Fe'i gwnaed yn 2012. Ni nodwyd y dyddiad gosod. Dyddiad dod i rym - gweler erthygl 1

3. Offerynnau sy'n cynnwys materion i'w codi gyda'r Cynulliad o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2 neu 21.3

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad negyddol

CLA151 - Rheoliadau Addysg (Benthyciadau Myfyrwyr) (Ad-dalu) (Diwygio) (Rhif 2) 2012 (Tudalennau 1 - 124)

Y weithdrefn negyddol. Fe'u gwnaed ar 16 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd gerbron Senedd y DU ar 21 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd gerbron Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru ar 21 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym ar 18 Mehefin 2012

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad cadarnhaol

Dim

4. Ymchwiliad i sefydlu awdurdodaeth ar wahân i Gymru: Winston Roddick CB, QC (Tudalennau 125 - 150) Papurau: CLA(4)-13-12(p1) - tystiolaeth i'r ymchwiliad gan Mr Winston Roddick CB, QC CLA(4)-13-12(p1) - Atodiad

Yn bresennol:

• Mr Winston Roddick CB, QC

5. Papur i'w nodi (Tudalennau 151 - 157) CLA(4)-12-12 - Adroddiad cyfarfod 18 Mai 2012

Dyddiad y cyfarfod nesaf

18 Mehefin 2012

6. Gwahoddir y Pwyllgor i benderfynu gwahardd y cyhoedd o weddill y cyfarfod yn unol â Rheol Sefydlog 17.42(vi):

Caiff Pwyllgor benderfynu gwahardd y cyhoedd o gyfarfod neu unrhyw ran o gyfarfod:

(vi) lle mae'r Pwyllgor yn cyd-drafod cynnwys, casgliadau neu argymhellion adroddiad y mae'n bwriadu ei gyhoeddi

7. Trafod y dystiolaeth a gyflwynwyd i'r ymchwiliad hyd yma

Eitem 3.1

Adroddiad y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

CLA151

Teitl: The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012 (Saesneg yn unig)

Gweithdrefn: Negyddol

Mae'r Rheoliadau cyfansawdd hyn, sy'n gymwys i Gymru a Lloegr yn unig (ac eithrio Rheoliad 11 sy'n gymwys i'r Deyrnas Unedig yn ei chyfanrwydd) yn diwygio ymhellach y Rheoliadau, *The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009* (OS 2009/470). Mae'r gwelliannau yn cyflwyno newidiadau i'r system ad-dalu a lefel y llog y bydd benthyciadau dibynnol ar incwm i fyfyrwyr yn ei chronni yn achos unigolion sydd wedi dechrau eu hastudiaethau ar ôl y flwyddyn academaidd 2012/13.

Materion technegol: craffu

O dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2, bydd y Cynulliad yn cael ei wahodd i roi sylw arbennig i'r offeryn hwn:-

Mae'r Rheoliadau hyn wedi'u paratoi yn Saesneg yn unig.

(Rheol Sefydlog 21.2 (ix) nad yw wedi'i wneud neu i'w wneud yn Gymraeg ac yn Saesneg).

Rhinweddau: craffu

Ni nodwyd unrhyw bwyntiau i gyflwyno adroddiad arnynt o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.3 mewn perthynas â'r offeryn hwn ar hyn o bryd.

Cynghorwyr Cyfreithiol

Y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

Mai 2012

Mae'r Llywodraeth wedi ymateb fel a ganlyn:

Rheoliadau Addysg (Benthyciadau i Fyfyrwyr) (Ad-dalu) (Diwygio) (Rhif 2) 2012

Bydd y Rheoliadau cyfansawdd hyn yn gymwys i Gymru a Lloegr ac maent yn ddarostyngedig i weithdrefn penderfyniad negyddol yng Nghynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru ac yn nau dŷ Senedd y DU. Oherwydd y bydd y Rheoliadau hyn yn ddarostyngedig i graffu gan Senedd y DU, nid ystyrir ei bod hi'n rhesymol ymarferol i'r offeryn hwn gael ei osod, na'i wneud, yn ddwyieithog.

2012 No. 1309

EDUCATION

The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2012

Made	16th May 2012
Laid before Parliament	21st May 2012
Laid before the National Assembly for Wales	21st May 2012
Coming into force	18th June 2012

The Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills makes the following Regulations in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 76 and 78 of the Education Act 2011(a) and sections 22 and 42 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998(b).

The Welsh Ministers make the following Regulations in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 76 and 78 of the Education Act 2011 and in exercise of the powers conferred on the Secretary of State by sections 22 and 42 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998, now exercisable by them(\mathbf{c}).

Citation, commencement and extent

1.—(1) These Regulations may be cited as the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012 and come into force on 18 June 2012.

(2) Subject to paragraph (3), these Regulations extend to England and Wales only.

(3) Regulation 11 extends to all of the United Kingdom in so far as it imposes any obligation or confers any power on HMRC, an employer or a borrower in relation to repayments under Part 3 or 4 of the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009(d).

Amendment of the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009

2. The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 are amended in accordance with regulations 3 to 14.

⁽a) 2011 c 21.

⁽b) 1998 c.30; Section 22 was amended by the Learning and Skills Act 2000 (c.21) section 146, the Income Tax (Earnings and Pensions) Act 2003 (c.1) Schedule 6, the Finance Act 2003 (c.14) section 147, the Higher Education Act 2004 (c.8) sections 42, 43 and Schedule 7, the Apprenticeships, Skills, Children and Learning Act 2009 (c.22) section 257 and the Education Act 2011 (c.21) section 76.

⁽c) The functions of the Secretary of State under section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 as regards Wales were transferred to the National Assembly for Wales by section 44 of the Higher Education Act 2004, except for those functions under section 22(2)(a), (c), (j) and (k), 3(e) and (f) and (5). Functions under subsections (2)(a), (c) and (k) were exercisable by the Secretary of State concurrently with the National Assembly for Wales. The section 22 functions which were transferred to, or became exercisable by, the National Assembly for Wales were subsequently transferred to the Welsh Ministers by the Government of Wales Act 2006 (c.32) section 162 and paragraph 30 of Schedule 11.

⁽d) S.I. 2009/470, amended by S.I. 2010/661, 2011/784 and 2012/836.

3. In regulation 3—

- (a) before "In these Regulations" insert "(1)";
- (b) after the definition of "the 2008 Act" insert—

""the 2011 Support Regulations" means the Education (Student Support) Regulations 2011(**a**);

"the 2011 Welsh Regulations" means the Assembly Learning Grants and Loans (Higher Education) (Wales) (No.2) Regulations 2011(b);";

(c) after the definition of "part-time" insert-

"post-2012 student loan" has the meaning given in paragraph (2)";

(d) delete the definition of "repayment threshold" and insert-

""repayment threshold"—

- (a) in relation to a student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan, has the meaning given to it in regulation 29(7);
- (b) in relation to a post-2012 student loan, has the meaning given to it in regulation 29(8);"; and
- (e) after the definition of "Welsh Ministers" insert—

"(2) In these Regulations a "post-2012 student loan" means any student loan paid under the 2011 Support Regulations or the 2011 Welsh Regulations or any subsequent Regulations made by the Secretary of State or the Welsh Ministers (as the case may be) under section 22 of the 1998 Act and taken out by a borrower in respect of a course which that borrower begins on or after 1 September 2012 and which is not—

- (a) a full-time honours degree course beginning on or after 1 September 2012 which, disregarding any intervening vacation, a student begins to attend immediately after ceasing to attend a full-time course mentioned in paragraph 2, 3 or 4 of Schedule 2 to the 2011 Support Regulations or a full-time foundation or ordinary degree course, which started before 1 September 2012, having achieved a qualification; or
- (b) one to which the borrower's status as a student eligible for support under Regulations made under section 22 of the 1998 Act has been transferred from another course which the borrower began before 1 September 2012 and where the transfer takes place on or after 1 September 2012 and it is from a full-time course to a full-time course.".

4. In regulation 9(1), after the definition of "the 2006 Welsh Regulations" insert ""course start date" means 1 January, 1 April, 1 July or 1 September of the calendar year where the first day of the course is on or after 1 January and before 1 April, on or after 1 April and before 1 July, on or after 1 July and before 1 August or on or after 1 August and on or before 31 December, respectively;".

5. In regulation 15—

- (a) in paragraph (2), before "A borrower is not required" insert "Subject to paragraphs (2A), (2B) and (2C),"; and
- (b) after paragraph (2) insert—

"(2A) Subject to paragraph (2C), where a borrower takes out a post-2012 student loan in relation to a part-time course, the borrower is not required to repay any part of that post-2012 student loan until the earlier of—

(a) the start of the following tax year commencing on 6 April after the borrower ceases to be eligible for financial support under Regulations made pursuant to

⁽**a**) S.I. 2011/1986.

⁽b) S.I. 2011/886 (W.130), amended by S.I. 2011/1978 (W.218), 2012/14 (W.5) and 2012/1156 (W.139).

section 22 of the 1998 Act whether by reason of having completed that course or otherwise; or

(b) the start of the following tax year commencing on 6 April after the fourth anniversary of the course start date.

(2B) Subject to paragraph (2C), a borrower who takes out a post-2012 student loan and who changes their mode of study between full-time and part-time study is required to repay—

- (a) where there is a change from a full-time course to a part-time course, in accordance with paragraph (2A);
- (b) where there is a change from a part-time course to a full-time course before the requirement to repay under paragraph (2A) applies, in accordance with paragraph (2);
- (c) where there is a change from a part-time course to a full-time course and the requirement to repay under paragraph (2A) applies, in accordance with paragraph (2A).

(2C) A borrower with a post-2012 student loan is not required to repay any part of the post-2012 student loan under paragraphs (2), (2A) and (2B) before 6 April 2016.

(2D) For the purposes of paragraphs (2), (2A) and (2B), an original course and a top-up course are treated as one course where—

- (a) "original course" means a course which, disregarding any intervening vacation, a student attended immediately before a top-up course; and
- (b) "top-up course" means—
 - (i) a full-time honours degree course beginning after 1 September 2012 which, disregarding any intervening vacation, a student begins immediately after ceasing to attend a full-time course mentioned in paragraph 2, 3 or 4 of Schedule 2 to the 2011 Support Regulations or a full-time foundation or ordinary degree course, which started on or after 1 September 2012, having achieved a qualification; or
 - (ii) a part-time honours degree course beginning after 1 September 2012 which, disregarding any intervening vacation, a student begins immediately after ceasing to attend or undertake a part-time higher education course mentioned in paragraph 2, 3 or 4 of Schedule 2 to the 2011 Support Regulations or a parttime foundation or ordinary degree course, which started on or after 1 September 2012, having achieved a qualification.".

6. After regulation 18 insert—

"18A. Division of repayment

Where a borrower has a post-2012 student loan and a student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan and it is time for the borrower to repay both loans in accordance with regulation 15, the repayment will be divided between the loans so that—

- (a) the part of the repayment relating to income above the repayment threshold in regulation 29(8) is to reduce the outstanding balance of the post-2012 student loan; and
- (b) the part of the repayment relating to income above the repayment threshold in regulation 29(7) up to and including the repayment threshold in regulation 29(8) is to reduce the outstanding balance of the student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan.".

7. In regulation 19—

(a) In paragraph (2), after "section 22 of the 1998 Act" insert ", other than a post-2012 student loan,";

- (b) in paragraph (3)(c) delete "or";
- (c) in paragraph (3)(d)—
 - (i) after "post-2006 student loans" insert "and not post-2012 student loans"; and
 - (ii) delete the "." and insert "; or"; and
- (d) after paragraph (3)(d) insert—
 - "(e) in the case of post-2012 student loans, the 30th anniversary of the date on which the borrower became liable to repay the student loan.".
- 8. In regulation 20—
 - (a) in paragraph (1), delete from "as if it were the principal" to the end and substitute "in accordance with paragraph (1A).";
 - (b) after paragraph (1) insert—

"(1A) Interest is calculated-

- (a) for a student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan on or after 18 June 2012 up to and including 5 April 2016, as if it were the principal of a student loan outstanding from the date of receipt of the repayment to the date of the refund;
- (b) for a student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan on or after 6 April 2016, as if it were the principal of a student loan, which is not a post-2012 student loan, outstanding from the date of receipt of the repayment to the earlier of—
 - (i) the end of a period 60 days after the Authority issues a notice; or
 - (ii) the date on which the Authority makes the refund to the borrower;

after which period no interest will accrue;

- (c) for a post-2012 student loan, as if it were the principal of a student loan outstanding, to which the interest rate is limited to that in regulation 21A(9), from the date of receipt of the repayment to the earlier of—
 - (i) the end of a period 60 days after the Authority issues a notice ; or
 - (ii) the date on which the Authority makes the refund to the borrower;

after which period no interest will accrue;

(1B) The notice to the borrower under paragraph (1A)(b)(i) and (c)(i) must state that interest will accrue on any overpayment from the date of receipt of the repayment, under paragraph (1), until the earlier of—

- (a) the end of a period 60 days after the Authority issues the notice; or
- (b) the date on which the Authority makes the refund to the borrower;

after which period no interest will accrue.";

(c) after paragraph (5) insert—

"(6) Where a borrower has a post-2012 student loan and a student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan and the Authority has received a repayment either directly from the borrower under regulation 15(1) or from HMRC under Parts 3 and 4—

- (a) which results in the student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan being repaid in full but where the post-2012 student loan has not been repaid in full, or
- (b) when the student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan has already been repaid in full but where the post-2012 student loan has not been repaid in full,

the Authority must give notice to the borrower that any amount not required to repay the student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan and which was a repayment above the repayment threshold for the student loan which is not a post-2012 student loan and not above the repayment threshold for a post-2012 student loan, shall be treated as a direct payment to the Authority under regulation 15(1) for the post-2012 student loan unless the borrower notifies the Authority, within 60 days of the date of the Authority's notice, that an amount should be refunded to the borrower.

(7) A refund under paragraph (6) will carry interest calculated as if it were the principal of a student loan, which is not a post-2012 student loan, outstanding from the date of receipt of the repayment to the earlier of—

(a) the end of a period 60 days after the Authority issues a notice; or

(b) the date on which the Authority makes the refund to the borrower;

after which period no interest will accrue.

(8) A notice given by the Authority in paragraph (6) must state that interest will accrue on a refund from the date of receipt of the repayment until the earlier of—

- (a) the end of a period 60 days after the Authority issues the notice; or
- (b) the date on which the Authority makes the refund to the borrower.".

9. In regulation 21, before paragraph (1) insert—

"(A1) This regulation applies in relation to students loans which are not post-2012 student loans.".

10. After regulation 21 insert—

"21A. Interest rate on post-2012 student loans

(1) This regulation applies in relation to post-2012 student loans.

(2) Subject to paragraphs (3), (4) and (5), during any academic year, if the Authority determines that post-2012 student loans will bear interest, loans bear interest at the rate which will result in an annual percentage rate of charge determined in accordance with the Consumer Credit (Total Charge for Credit) Regulations 2010 equal to—

- (a) the standard interest rate plus 3%, until the earlier of the end of the tax year in which—
 - (i) the borrower completes the course;
 - (ii) the borrower leaves the course; or
 - (iii) the fourth anniversary of the course start date occurs, in the case of loans in relation to part-time courses;
- (b) after the period in sub-paragraph (a) for a borrower to whom Part 3 or 4 applies—
 - (i) in a tax year in which the borrower's interest income is the lower interest threshold or less, the standard interest rate;
 - (ii) in a tax year in which the borrower's interest income is more than the lower interest threshold but not more than the higher interest threshold, the standard interest rate plus the additional interest rate; or
 - (iii) in a tax year in which the borrower's interest income is more than the higher interest threshold, the standard interest rate plus 3%;
- (c) after the period in sub-paragraph (a) for a borrower to whom Part 5 applies, where the Authority has determined under regulation 75(1) that the borrower may repay a loan by income-related instalments and the Authority considers that the interest income the borrower is likely to receive in the next 12 month period is—
 - (i) the lower interest threshold or less, the standard interest rate;
 - (ii) more than the lower interest threshold but not more than the higher interest threshold, the standard interest rate plus the additional interest rate;
 - (iii) more than the higher interest threshold, the standard interest rate plus 3%;
- (d) after the period in sub-paragraph (a) for a borrower to whom Part 5 applies, in any period during which a borrower is required to pay to the Authority a fixed instalment in accordance with a notice served under regulation 73, the standard interest rate plus 3%.

(3) During any academic year, which starts on or after 1 September 2012 but ends on or before 31 August 2015 and for the period from 1 September 2015 to 5 April 2016, if the

Authority determines that post-2012 student loans will bear interest, loans bear interest at the rate which will result in an annual percentage rate of charge determined in accordance with the Consumer Credit (Total Charge for Credit) Regulations 2010 equal to—

- (a) the standard interest rate plus 3%, until the end of the tax year in which the borrower—
 - (i) completes the course; or
 - (ii) leaves the course,
- (b) after the period in sub-paragraph (a) the standard interest rate.

(4) During any academic year or part of any academic year beginning on or after 6 April 2016, where a borrower fails to comply with one or more of regulations 22, 23(4) and 72, post-2012 student loans bear interest at the rate which will result in an annual percentage rate of charge determined in accordance with the Consumer Credit (Total Charge for Credit) Regulations 2010 equal to the standard interest rate plus 3% for the period from the date the borrower fails to comply with one or more of regulations 22, 23(4) and 72, until the borrower complies with those regulations.

(5) Where a borrower changes their mode of study between full-time and part-time study the interest under paragraph (2)(a) is to be calculated as if the course is a—

- (a) full-time course, where there is a change from a part-time course to a full-time course but before the requirement to repay under regulation 15(2A) applies;
- (b) part-time course, where there is a change from a part-time course to a full-time course and the requirement to repay under paragraph 15(2A) applies;
- (c) part-time course, where there is a change from a full-time course to a part-time course.

(6) Interest is calculated on the principal outstanding daily and is added to the principal monthly.

(7) The Authority must publish, at least once a year, by whatever means and in whatever media the Authority thinks fit, the interest rate determined in accordance with paragraphs (2) and (4) or where relevant paragraph (3), for any forthcoming academic year or part of that year.

(8) If, for any academic year, the Authority publishes more than one rate of interest to apply to post-2012 student loans, any subsequent rate so published will replace any previously published rate as the rate to apply from the date specified in the notice published in accordance with paragraph (7).

(9) The standard interest rate is the greater of—

- (a) 0%; or
- (b) an amount equal to the percentage increase between the retail prices all items index published by the Office for National Statistics for the two Marches immediately before the commencement of the academic year.
- (10) The additional interest rate is a percentage equal to 3 x (I L)/(H L) where—

I is the borrower's interest income,

L is the lower interest threshold,

H is the higher interest threshold.

- (11) The borrower's interest income is—
 - (a) for a borrower to whom regulation 28 (but not regulation 42) applies, total income as calculated in accordance with regulation 29(4) but without excluding the repayment threshold in regulation 29(4)(a);
 - (b) for a borrower to whom regulation 42 (but not regulation 28) applies, earnings as defined in regulation 41;

- (c) for a borrower where the Authority makes a determination that the borrower may repay a loan by income-related instalments under regulation 75, gross income as defined in regulation 71;
- (d) for a borrower to whom both regulations 28 and 42 apply in the same tax year, total income as calculated in accordance with regulation 29(4) but without excluding the repayment threshold in regulation 29(4)(a).

(12) The lower interest threshold is—

- (a) for a borrower to whom Part 3 or 4 applies, an amount of £21,000; and
- (b) for a borrower to whom Part 5 applies, an amount to be determined by reference to the most recent price level index for the borrower's country of residence and in accordance with the following table—

Band	Price Level Index	Lower Interest Threshold
Α	0<30	£4,200
В	30<50	£8,400
С	50<70	£12,600
D	70<90	£16,800
Е	90<110	£21,000
F	110<130	£25,200
G	130+	£29,400

(13) The higher interest threshold is—

- (a) for a borrower to which Part 3 or 4 applies, an amount of £41,000;
- (b) for a borrower to whom Part 5 applies, an amount to be determined by reference to the most recent price level index for the borrower's country of residence and in accordance with the following table—

Band	Price Level Index	Higher Interest Threshold
А	0<30	£8,200
В	30<50	£16,400
С	50<70	£24,600
D	70<90	£32,800
Е	90<110	£41,000
F	110<130	£49,200
G	130+	£57,400

(14) In relation to the tables in paragraphs (12)(b) and (13)(b) the following conditions apply—

- (a) the price level index for the United Kingdom is 100;
- (b) price level indices are to be calculated using the most recent provisional comparative price level indices measured in gross domestic product produced by the World Bank's Development Indicators;
- (c) subject to sub-paragraph (d), where a price level index cannot be calculated under sub-paragraph (b), the applicable thresholds are those for band A;
- (d) the Authority may determine that the applicable threshold for a borrower is to be that for a country other than the borrower's present country of residence.".

11. In regulation 29—

- (a) in paragraph (7) before "The repayment threshold is" insert "Subject to paragraph (8)";
- (b) in paragraph (7)(b), for "tax year" substitute "repayment threshold year";

(c) after paragraph (7) insert—

"(8) The repayment threshold for a borrower with a post-2012 student loan is an amount of $\pounds 21,000$."

12. In regulation 71 delete the definition for "Eurostat".

- **13.** In regulation 75—
 - (a) for paragraph (3), substitute—

"(3) "The Authority must determine the amount of each instalment and must ensure that the total amount of all instalments paid in the period up to 12 months from the date of the first instalment referred to in paragraph (2) must not exceed the relevant amount."; and

(b) for paragraph (5), substitute—

"(5) The amount of each instalment must be stated in the determination.".

14. In regulation 76—

(a) in paragraph (1), delete the table and insert—

"Band	Price level index	Fixed instalment for student
		loans which are not post-
		2012 student loans
Α	0<30	£49.20
В	30<50	£98.40
С	50<70	£147.60
D	70<90	£196.80
Е	90<110	£246
F	110<130	£295.20
G	130+	£344.40
Band	Price level index	Fixed instalment for post- 2012 student loans
А	0<30	£40.20
В	30<50	£80.40
С	50<70	£120.60
D	70<90	£160.80
Е	90<110	£201.00
F	110<130	£241.20
G	130+	£281.40
Band	Price level index	Applicable threshold for
		student loans which are not
		post-2012 student loans
А	0<30	£3,160
В	30<50	£6,320
С	50<70	£9,480
D	70<90	£12,640
Е	90<110	£15,795
F	110<130	£18,955
G	130+	£22,115
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Band	Price level index	Applicable threshold for
		post-2012 student loans
А	0<30	1
А	0<30	£4,200

В	30<50	£8,400
С	50<70	£12,600
D	70<90	£16,800
Е	90<110	£21,000
F	110<130	£25,200
G	130+	£29,400"

- (b) in paragraph (1A)—
 - (i) for "2012" substitute "2013";
 - (ii) for each occurrence of "Applicable threshold" substitute "Applicable threshold for student loans which are not post-2012 student loans"; and
 - (iii) delete "in the amount";
- (c) in paragraph (3), for "Eurostat" substitute "the World Bank's Development Indicators";
- (d) delete paragraph (4);
- (e) in paragraph (5), delete "or (4)".

Education Act 2011 prescribed exceptions to student loans: interest rates

15. For the purposes of section 76 of the Education Act 2011, the circumstances in which that section will not apply are where a student enters into a loan, made in accordance with regulations under section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998, in respect of a course which satisfies one or both of the following conditions—

- (a) it is a full-time honours degree course beginning on or after 1 September 2012 which, disregarding any intervening vacation, a student begins to attend immediately after ceasing to attend a full-time course mentioned in paragraph 2, 3 or 4 of Schedule 2 to the Education (Student Support) Regulations 2011(a) or a full-time foundation or ordinary degree course, which started before 1 September 2012, having achieved a qualification;
- (b) it is one to which the student's status as a student eligible for support under Regulations made under section 22 of the of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 has been transferred from another course which the student began before 1 September 2012 and where the transfer takes place on or after 1 September 2012 and is from a full time course to a full time course.

David Willetts Minister of State for Universities and Science Department for Business, Innovation and Skills

16th May 2012

Leighton Andrews Minister for Education and Skills One of the Welsh Ministers

15th May 2012

(a) S.I. 2011/1986.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

(This note is not part of the Regulations)

These Regulations amend the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 (S.I. 2009/470) ("the Principal Regulations"). The Principal Regulations govern the repayment of income-contingent student loans paid to students under section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998.

Regulations 3 to 14 amend the Principal Regulations to set out the repayment conditions for loans in relation to new students starting new courses on or after 1 September 2012 ("new loans").

Regulation 5 sets out when borrowers become liable to repay new loans.

Regulation 6 sets out how repayments will be divided between new loans and loans which are not new loans.

Regulation 7 makes provision in relation to the cancellation of new loans.

Regulation 8 makes provision in relation to new loans and loans which are not new loans, where a borrower repays more than they owe.

Regulation 10 sets out provisions relating to the interest which the new loans may carry.

Regulation 11 provides the repayment threshold for new loans.

Regulations 12 and 14 make changes to the price level indices which are used for loans where the borrower is not resident in the UK.

Regulation 13 makes changes to how the value of income-related instalments is determined for loans where the borrower is not resident in the UK.

Regulation 14 makes changes to the applicable threshold for loans and provides fixed instalments for new loans where the borrower is not resident in the UK.

Regulation 15 prescribes exceptions to the interest rate limit imposed by section 76 of the Education Act 2011 on some loans made under section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998.

An impact assessment has not been produced for this instrument as it has no impact on businesses or civil society organisations. The instrument has a minimal impact on the public sector. The Explanatory Memorandum is published alongside the instrument on www.legislation.gov.uk.

Explanatory Memorandum to The Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012

This Explanatory Memorandum has been prepared by the Higher Education Division of the Department for Education and Skills and is laid before the National Assembly for Wales in conjunction with the above subordinate legislation and in accordance with Standing Order 27.1.

Minister's Declaration

In my view, this Explanatory Memorandum gives a fair and reasonable view of the expected impact of the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) (Amendment) (No.2) Regulations 2012. I am satisfied that the benefits outweigh any costs.

Leighton Andrews

Minister for Education and Skills

15 May 2012

1. Description

The Regulations further amend the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 (SI 2009/470). The amendments introduce changes to the repayment system and the level of interest that will accrue on income-contingent student loans for individuals who have started their studies after academic year 2012/13.

2. Matters of special interest to the Constitutional and Legislative Affairs Committee

These Regulations amend the Education (Student Loans) (Repayment) Regulations 2009 (SI 2009/470) ("the 2009 Regulations"). The 2009 Regulations were made as composite regulations by the Welsh Ministers (in relation to Wales) and the Secretary of State and they govern repayments of student loans by borrowers who have taken out income-contingent loans for courses which began on or after September 1998. Although most of the provisions made by the Secretary of State only apply in relation to England, the 2009 Regulations do contain provisions which are made by the Secretary of State in relation to England and Wales which concern the tax system, to the extent that student loans can be collected through the taxation system operated by Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs ("HMRC").

This composite statutory instrument is subject to the negative resolution procedure in the National Assembly for Wales and in both Houses of the UK Parliament. Given the composite nature of the 2009 Regulations, it is not considered reasonably practicable for this instrument to be made bilingually.

3. Legislative background

The Regulations are made by the Welsh Ministers in relation to Wales (save for regulation 11) in conjunction with the Secretary of State in relation to England (save for regulation 11 which extends to all of the United Kingdom) under sections 22 and 42 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 and sections 76 and 78 of the Education Act 2011".

The functions of the Secretary of State under Section 22 of the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 as regards Wales were transferred to the National Assembly for Wales by section 44 of the Higher Education Act 2004, except for those functions in section 22(2)(a), (c), (j) and (k), 3(e) and (f) and (5). Functions under sub-sections (2)(a), (c) and (k) became exercisable concurrently with the National Assembly. The functions in sections 22(2)(j), 22(3)(e)-(h) and section 22(5) remain Secretary of State functions. The above functions of the National Assembly were subsequently transferred to the Welsh Ministers by section 162 of, and paragraph 30 of Schedule 11 to the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Under section 76 of the Education Act 2011 (which provides for changes to the interest rate applicable to students loans), the Welsh Ministers may prescribe, by regulations the circumstances in which section 76 is not to apply to in relation to a

student who begins a course on or after 1 September 2012.

This instrument will follow the Negative Resolution procedure.

4. Purpose & intended effect of the legislation

This is part of a suite of legislation intended to implement the Welsh Government's policy on higher education funding and student finance for academic year 2012/13 onwards (a number of related statutory instruments were made last year, including the Assembly Learning Grants and Loans (Higher Education) (Wales) (No.2) regulations 2011). The overall policy is being implemented in response to the report by Lord Browne on higher education funding and student finance in England and consequent decisions by Ministers in England to cut higher education funding and allow higher education institutions (HEIs) to charge significantly higher tuition fees.

In England, and in addition to the changes being introduced by these amendment regulations:

- the basic tuition fee will increase to £6,000 per annum;
- HEIs will be able to charge tuition fees up to £9,000 per annum, providing they can demonstrate a commitment to widening access;
- maintenance grants for those below £42,000 will increase to a maximum of £3,250;

In response to the changes announced in England, the Minister for Children, Education and Lifelong Learning (now the Minister for Education and Skills) made a statement to the Assembly on 30 November 2010. In order to provide additional support for students ordinarily resident in Wales, and to ensure that Wales continues to benefit, economically, socially and culturally from the investment that the Government makes in higher education, the Minister announced that:

- tuition fees in Wales would increase from academic year 2012/2013 and higher education institutions (HEIs) would be able to charge tuition fees up to £9,000 per annum, provided they could demonstrate a commitment to widening access and other strategic objectives through fee plans approved by the Higher Education Funding Council for Wales ("HEFCW") in line with its corporate strategy;
- students ordinarily resident in Wales would continue to be eligible for subsidised loans to meet the cost of fees up to the current level (£3,465 per annum in respect of academic year 2012/13). The Welsh Government would provide a non-means-tested tuition fee grant for the balance over and above current fee levels, to be paid through the HEFCW (and the Student Loans Company in respect of English HEIs) on behalf of students ordinarily resident in Wales wherever they study;

As regards the regulations which form the subject matter of this memorandum, the Minister also stated in the same announcement that the income repayment threshold for student loans will increase from £15,000 to £21,000, and variable progressive rates of interest charged depending on income;

The rationale for the policy concerning the repayment of student loans is set out more fully in the Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) below. An Inclusive Policy Making Assessment including an equality impact assessment was carried out as part of the Policy Gateway process in relation to the suite of legislation introduced last year.

These Regulations implement changes to the student loans repayment system for new borrowers entering higher education from September 2012. The repayment system has been designed to be affordable and progressive. This means that those who progress up the salary scale will repay at a higher rate – i.e. those who earn more pay more. Repayments will be tailored to income ensuring that repayments match ability to pay. By raising the repayment threshold to £21,000 and introducing a progressive rate of interest greater protection is offered to the lowest graduate earners. After 30 years all graduates will have any outstanding balance written off.

Under this system, around a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings will pay less overall than people under the current system do now.

The changes to the repayment system are set out below:

Eligibility to be treated as a continuing student under the existing student finance package

The Education Act 2011 allows for exceptions to those who should be subject to real rates of interest. The Regulations will ensure that all new terms, including real interest rates, are applicable to only those who start new courses in September 2012 or later. Those who are studying courses end-on will continue to take out loans under the existing student finance system.

Statutory Repayment Due Date - when repayments become due

The earliest date for repayment for all new borrowers will be April 2016. HMRC is unable to implement the new repayment threshold until that date, so borrowers may make direct payments to the Student Loans Company ("SLC") if they choose to, but no deductions will be made by employers (through Pay As You Earn ("PAYE")) or through Income Tax Self Assessment until April 2016.

<u>Write-off of loan</u> – the outstanding balance of a new loan will be cancelled 30 years after the Statutory Repayment Due Date (that due date will usually be the start of the tax year following the date on which the student completes the course). As well as the anniversary date, the loan can be cancelled if the borrower dies or the borrower receives a disability related benefit and because of the disability is permanently unfit for work (as is the case with current student loans).

<u>Credit balance - Interest Rate</u> - new interest rate provisions for borrowers who have student loan balances in credit, due to over-repayment. SLC will usually only find out that a borrower has over-repaid after the end of the tax year when HMRC advise SLC of a borrower's deductions and that amount has been applied to the customer's account.

- For the existing system From the date the loan is repaid in full, interest will continue to accrue at the rate of Retail Price Index (RPI) (subject to the low interest cap being in use). After the end of the relevant tax year, SLC will write to the borrower and advise them that interest will accrue for a further 60 days at RPI (or low interest cap), but that beyond that period no further interest will accrue on the credit balance. Following the 60 day notice the credit balance will not attract interest (0%).
- <u>For the new system</u> From the date the loan is repaid in full, interest will accrue at the rate of RPI only, irrespective of the (variable) rate of interest which has been charged up to that point. After the end of the tax year, SLC will write to the borrower and advise them that interest will accrue for a further 60 days at RPI, but that beyond that period no further interest will accrue on the credit balance. Following the 60 day notice the credit balance will not attract interest (0%).
- <u>For borrowers with both types of loans</u> Where one loan has been overrepaid, borrowers will be offered the option to either be refunded or to use the over-repaid amount towards repayment of the remaining loan. Where SLC do not receive a response, after 60 days the over-repayment will automatically default to the outstanding loan balance.

Real and Variable Interest rate provisions:

The Education Act 2011, which amended the Teaching and Higher Education Act 1998 to insert a cap on student loan interest rates, prescribes that the rate of interest on student loans will be:

- lower than those prevailing on the market, or
- no higher than those prevailing on the market, where the other terms on which such loans are provided are more favourable to borrowers than those prevailing on the market.

Last year, a detailed analysis was undertaken to define what 'the market' is; what the rates prevailing on that market are; and how such rates will be monitored going forward. The most suitable benchmark for monitoring the compliance of student loan interest rates with the terms of the Education Act 2011 (and the consequent exemption from the EU Consumer Credit Directive) is the Bank of England published rates for £10,000 unsecured personal loans. Analysts will continue to ensure that this remains the most relevant benchmark available.

<u>Definition of income</u> – to align the definition of "income" for calculation of variable interest rate for PAYE borrowers, Self Assessment borrowers and borrowers who reside overseas with their respective definition of income for establishing their repayment deductions.

• <u>Interest rate whilst studying</u> - Whilst studying, the interest rate to be charged to new students entering Higher Education for the first time from September 2012 onwards will be Retail Price Index ("RPI") + 3%. This rate will apply until

the Statutory Repayment Due Date (usually the start of the tax year following the date on which the student completes the course).

- <u>Variable interest post Statutory Repayment Due Date</u> Once a borrower has reached their Statutory Repayment Due Date, a variable rate of interest will be charged, which is dependent upon income. Borrowers who are resident in the UK earning £21,000 or less will be charged a rate equivalent to RPI. Interest will then be charged on a sliding scale starting at £21,000.01 up to £41,000. At £41,000 or more, the interest rate will be RPI + 3%.
- <u>Overseas residents</u> there will be equivalent £21,000 and £41,000 thresholds for borrowers who reside overseas so that variable interest can be applied. World Bank data will determine the relevant threshold for each country.
- Interest rate for borrowers who come into repayment before April 2016 -HMRC are unable to take repayments under the new system before April 2016. Some borrowers who are on short courses or who leave their course early will be due to repay before that date. Those borrowers will be charged interest at RPI + 3% until the April after they leave their course (a notional Statutory Repayment Due Date); RPI only between their notional Statutory Repayment Due Date and April 2016; and the appropriate rate of variable interest from April 2016.
- <u>Interest rate for borrowers who lose touch with the SLC -</u> These borrowers will be charged interest at the rate of RPI + 3%. This rate will be charged until they get in touch with SLC and have provided the relevant information needed by SLC. Once SLC have the information they require, the variable interest rate will apply. This will apply to all new borrowers and will include those who move overseas straight after graduation without advising the SLC.

Interest rates and repayments from those leave the UK to reside abroad

For those who move away from the UK, SLC will establish a 12 month repayment schedule with both repayments and interest based on predicted income. This may be re-determined, if appropriate, during or at the end of that 12 month period.

Threshold

The repayment threshold will be £21,000 and the upper limit for determining the variable interest rate will be £41,000. Setting the contribution at £21,000 is a core part of making the system more progressive. It will mean that low earning graduates are not required to make payments and those that earn above £21,000 will contribute less each month than borrowers would under the current system. Raising the threshold for new graduates is part of the overall package of reforms to make the system more progressive and protect those that do not go on to enjoy high earnings – whilst asking those that do to contribute more.

5. Consultation

All relevant stakeholders have been consulted on the proposed changes to the Higher education and student finance system. These included proposals for the reform of the student loans repayments and - the increase of repayment thresholds from £15,000 to £21,000 and the introduction of a variable progressive rate of interest charged depending on income. Technical consultation papers on the following issues were published on the Assembly Government's consultation web page:

- the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance; and
- the proposed system for part time higher education funding including student finance for 2012/13

Details of the consultations undertaken and the responses received are included in the annexes to the RIA below.

6. Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA)

REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Options Appraisal

Option 1 – do nothing. To do nothing in response to the changes announced in England in respect of higher education tuition fees and student finance would have important negative consequences for students ordinarily resident in Wales.

Option 2 – make these regulations. to introduce the intended policy would see the introduction of a progressive and affordable repayment system and the maintenance of a consistent repayment policy for England and Wales.

Costs & benefits

The changes to higher education student support introduced by these Regulations will come into force for the start of academic year 2012/13.

Students

Each of the options identified above would impact on students in the following ways.

Option 1

Existing Students

There will be no financial impact if they started their course on or before the 1 September 2012 as they would continue to repay their student loans under the existing interest rate and repayment threshold system.

New students

Students who enter university after 1 September 2012 will be subject to tuition fees of up to £9,000 per annum. If the £15,000 repayment threshold remained unchanged, Welsh domiciled students would enter repayment earlier than English students and would be charged the same interest rate regardless of their income level.

Welsh domiciled student loans will be written off earlier (after 25 years) than English domiciled students (after 30 years).

No increased protection to the lowest graduate earners - a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings would continue to pay the same as higher earning graduates not less.

Option 2

Existing Students

There will be no financial impact if students started their course on or before the 1 September 2012 as they would continue to repay their student loans under the existing interest rate and repayment threshold system.

New students

Students entering university after 1 September 2012 will be subject to the following:-

- the interest rate that is to apply to student loans whilst the student is studying will be RPI +3%;
- for part-time students, the statutory repayment date will be the April after three years of study (e.g. 1st statutory repayment date will be April 2016) unless their course is shorter in length. Full-time students will continue to enter the repayment system in the April after they finish their course;
- the repayment threshold will increase from £15,000 to £21,000;
- for graduates, the interest will accrue on a sliding scale depending on income. It will range from
 - RPI for graduates with an income of £21,000 per annum and less, to
 - RPI +3% for graduates with an income of £41,000 per annum and above.
- the loan balance be written off after 30 years.

The repayment system has been designed to be affordable and progressive. This means:

- Welsh domiciled students would enter repayment at the same time as English domiciled students (April 2016) and would be subject to the same variable interest rates depending on their income;
- The timescale regarding the write off of student loans would be the same for both Welsh and English domiciled students;
- Students who earn more after graduation will repay at a higher rate i.e. those who earn more pay more;

- Repayments will be tailored to income ensuring that repayments match ability to pay. By raising the repayment threshold to £21,000 and introducing a progressive rate of interest to offer greater protection to the lowest graduate earners;
- Under this system, around a quarter of graduates with the lowest lifetime earnings will pay less overall than people under the current system do now.

Welsh Government

The non cash costs of introducing a revised repayment system in Wales have been estimated as follows:

2012/13	£2.5m
2013/14	£7,7m
2014/15	£14.4m

The additional costs have been included in the Welsh Government budgets.

Consultation

All relevant stakeholders have been consulted. Technical consultation papers on the following issues were published on the Assembly Government's consultation web page:

- the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance; and
- the proposed system for part time higher education funding including student finance for 2012/13

Key stakeholders consulted include:

- HEIs in Wales
- Higher Education Wales
- Further education colleges in Wales
- NUS Wales
- Student unions
- Local authorities in Wales
- Student Loans Company
- Higher Education Funding Council for Wales
- UCAS
- Children in Wales
- National Association of Student Money Advisers
- Student Finance Officers in local authorities, HEIs and further education colleges

The consultation periods lasted for four weeks - an summary of the consultation consultation exercises can be found at **Annex 1(i) and (ii)**.

Competition assessment

The results of the competition filter test are set out below:

The competition filter test	
Question	Answer
	yes or no
Q1 : In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
does any firm have more than 10% market share?	No
Q2 : In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
does any firm have more than 20% market share?	No
Q3 : In the market(s) affected by the new regulation,	
do the largest three firms together have at least	
50% market share?	No
Q4: Would the costs of the regulation affect some	
firms substantially more than others?	No
Q5: Is the regulation likely to affect the market	
structure, changing the number or size of	
businesses/organisation?	No
Q6 : Would the regulation lead to higher set-up costs	
for new or potential suppliers that existing suppliers	
do not have to meet?	No
Q7: Would the regulation lead to higher ongoing	
costs for new or potential suppliers that existing	
suppliers do not have to meet?	No
Q8: Is the sector characterised by rapid	
technological change?	No
Q9: Would the regulation restrict the ability of	No
suppliers to choose the price, quality, range or	
location of their products?	

Post implementation review

This is part of a suite of legislation that is or has been introduced since the Ministers announcement in order to ensure that the new higher education funding and student finance systems can be implemented effectively for academic year 2012/13.

The objective of the post implementation review will be to assess whether the reforms to higher education funding and student finance are operating as expected and whether they have achieved the policy objectives set. The review will need to be based on an ongoing evaluation of the reforms and their impact, taking account of the fact that the new systems will not be fully operational until 2014/15 when three full cohorts of students will have entered higher education under the new tuition fee and student finance regime proposed.

Name & organisation	Q1. Fee planning guidance	Q2. Advantages / Disadvantages of lower basic fee rate	Q3. Design to minimise admin burden	Q4. Implementation issues	Q5. Simplify SFW processes	Q6. Any other related issues
Margaret Phelan University and College Union	Demonstrable/evidenced improvements in student and staff engagement within institutions should be a required as a condition of the fee plans. All institutions should have effective mechanisms for evaluating the student experience. Clearly UCU would argue strongly that effective student support requires an appropriate level of staffing with the time in timetables to be able to deliver that effective support at the front line. UCU would argue strongly for institutions to be required to allow more time in the teaching time tables for the tutorial support necessary to improve rates of retention. This time should be costed and be a required, clear component of any fee	UCU would be extremely concerned at the perception that a such a move might create. It is our view that given the rate set in England that Wales must not take a decision which could suggest that the fees are cheaper in Wales because the education one receives is not as good as England.	A technical issue best dealt with by the institutions	Whatever method is chosen, UCU would argue that its members are key to delivering student support and therefore they must be involved in that process.	A matter for institutions and NUS.	No comment supplied

ANNEX 1(i) - consultation on the implementation of the proposed new system of higher education funding and student finance

plan. UCU believe that			
institutions wishing to			
charge more than the			
basic fee rate in Wales			
should be required to use			
a proportion of that fee to			
provide job security for			
staff on atypical			
contracts, for example			
rolling fixed term			
contracts. They argue			
that the uncertainties in			
funding, especially with			
regard to research			
funding, requires them to			
act in this way. One way			
to ensure a future for the			
research base in Wales			
would be to attract key			
research staff to work in			
institutions in Wales. This			
could be done by offering			
permanent contracts to			
research staff currently			
working on a series of			
fixed term contracts			
elsewhere in the UK.			
Their ability to charge			
above the basic fee rate			
would provide funding			
and prevent them for			
continuing to use funding			
uncertainties argument.			
HEFCW might want to			
consider expressing this			
in the guidance as the			
need to see a percentage			

reduction over a period of years. We would also wish to see a review period within the period covering the fee plan, not just at the end of the plan period.			

Mike Williams Coleg Sir Gar	From and FE perspective, and as a College that franchises provision from HEIs, some consideration needs to be given to percentage of the student fee that a franchising HEI is allowed to keep (ie, a maximum needs to be set). We have experienced a situation whereby 30% of the 'fee grant' was retained by the	We see no advantages in setting a lower basic fee rate in Wales compared to England and agree that it would be sensible to have a basic rate that is in line with England at £6000. In determining the basic fee rate level, the existing	The proposed scheme sounds simple and there is recent experience of managing fee grant monies through HEFCW. Could there be a link to the target 'capped' FT numbers set by HEFCW (subject to confirmation on recruitment)?	Draft written proposals circulated to the sector backed up by opportunities for face- to-face regional meetings would be our preferred option.	Centralisation of the processing of applications as in England -to allow access to supporting bodies to clarify information required (ie, HMRC to qualify parental/student income). Better procedures for part time students.	No comment supplied
	experienced a situation whereby 30% of the 'fee	In determining the basic fee rate	confirmation on		procedures for part	

has already announced			
that access to the new			
fee regime, will be			
dependent on HEI			
reconfiguration (with 6			
HEIs being preferred).			
We would assume			
(unless informed			
otherwise) that our			
membership of the Dual			
Sector University with			
TSD (and other partners			
in SWW) addresses this			
issue from a directly			
funded FEI perspective.			
This has required			
significant adjustment for			
us and a refocusing of			
our partnerships within			
region. 4. As is the			
practice currently,			
institutions need to set			
out the financial			
assistance that will be			
available for students by			
means of bursaries etc.			
The issue of FT fees and			
PT fees needs to be			
resolved, identifying what			
the expectations are for			
PT students in future.			

Peter Haughton Denbighshire County Council	HEIs should have strong, mutually binding, legal contracts with all applicants to ensure that the expected level of service, including tuition, is provided by them and that participation by students is satisfactory. In the days of Mandatory Student Grants, there were three rates of fee for home students based upon the nature of the course. Purely academic lecture room based the latter plus a significant element of lab and / or field work or Medical, Dental or Veterinary. There is a certain correlation with respect to the tuition regime and expected earnings with the three basic course models; would a similar scheme be worth considering?	One advantage might be that it would attract more applicants to Welsh HEIs, giving them the opportunity to accept only those with the highest entry qualifications. This would ensure the selection of a base line student population with the highest academic potential. Different rates of fees would potentially cause confusion to applicants filling in either paper or online applications for student support. A definitive set of criteria that would fit all situations and establishments would be difficult to determine as it could be subject to many differing feater	Cut out the suggested HEFCW involvement from the equation and administer all the tuition fee support in the same way through the LAs as the existing residual Tuition Fee Grants. This would ensure that the appropriate support would be available to students studying in all UK domiciles as the LAs already have a proven track record for delivering the service.	Whilst not having details of the Board membership If WAG are running true to recent form it will primarily be at the " strategic level ". I would however strongly recommend the involvement of a judicious mix of both strategic and operational stakeholders. The devil is quite often in the detail with respect to the delivery of student support and it is potentially dangerous to have theorists in charge of the development and implementation process without also actively consulting on the operational viability of the proposals with those who currently and in the future will be expected to deliver the support.	Ensure that the Student Loans Company's, Protocol software is actually fully fit for purpose. Ensure that the course fees are hard coded into the HEI course data base to ensure the correct level is displayed on the online application and relevant sections of the LA data entry screens.	Given the lower rate of fees in Wales is there not a possibility of higher numbers of EU students taking advantage of this to the detriment of home students. If this indeed proved to be the case would a cap on the number of EU students in Welsh HEIs be considered? Given the increasing cost of studying in the UK would the Minister give consideration to funding cheaper comparable and appropriately accredited courses at overseas institutions?
		-				

might have a	
particular faculty	
that has a world	
class reputation	
for excellence	
compared to	
another with a	
mediocre	
reputation and	
both would be	
offering a course	
with the same	
qualification.	
Should one be	
allowed to charge	
a premium on	
their fees because	
of their reputation	
for excellence and	
the additional	
opportunities they	
offer? The	
performance of	
HEIs should be	
closely monitored	
and action taken	
against those who	
are performing	
badly. Basically	
students would be	
expected to pay	
the appropriate	
rate of fee for the	
level of service	
provided. It would	
then be up to the	
HEI perform to the	

expected standard		
in order to gain		
and retain the		
right to charge		
higher rate fees.		
Conversely those		
that continue to		
underperform		
could be		
compelled to		
reduce or refund a		
proportion of their		
tuition fees to		
those students		
they have failed.		
The other option		
in this scenario is		
the possibility of		
partial or full		
tuition fee waivers		
for eligible		
students		
undertaking		
unsupported		
periods of repeat		
study due to a		
failure on the part		
of their HEI.		

Dr David Grant	Under the proposed new	While there may	Whilst the previous	HEIs have a major No comment ma	ade We believe that it
Cardiff	fee system the overall	be some	system that was	role in delivering	would be
University	level of funding for	superficial	established with the	student finance and	appropriate for fee
,	teaching will stay	attraction in	Student Loans'	together with their role	plans to be
	approximately the same	setting a basic	Company had some	in providing advice to	reviewed after
	as at present but the	rate at a lower	initial difficulties it	students this means	three years. A
	burden of cost will be	level the	worked relatively	that they are well	timescale for
	shifted significantly from	consequences	well once	placed to work	review any shorter
	the state to the student.	could be serious	established. A	together with WAG	than this would
	As such it seems	and would need to	transaction directly	and HEFCW on the	not allow sufficient
	appropriate that the fee	be carefully	between HEFCW	effective introduction	time for
	plan to access the new	considered. From	and universities	of new student finance	institutions to be
	fee regime should relate	a marketing	would require one or	measures. It is	able to show
	predominantly to the	perspective	other to undertake	therefore	progress against
	student experience and	having a lower	eligibility	disappointing to note	their targets and
	other aspects of For our	basic fee rate than	assessment for each	that there is no HE	would be unduly
	Future and the HEFCW	England would	Welsh-domiciled	representative on the	bureaucratic to
	Corporate Strategy	make Welsh	student (this check	Programme Delivery	implement. We
	relevant to the student	higher education	is currently done by	Board. We would	would expect that
	experience (including	look cheap to non-	the SLC/LEA as part	urge the board to draw	any arrangements
	widening access), to	Welsh students	of the statutory	on the expertise of	that are
	ensure that students are	and may therefore	student support	higher education	introduced need to
	receiving a good value	pull in greater	assessment). It	institutions at	be appropriate for
	education for their	numbers of	would be unlikely to	appropriate stages in	the medium to
	increased investment and	applications from	be cost-effective to	its discussions in order	long term. Any
	that the additional cost	beyond Wales,	require this	to ensure that	short term
	does not discourage	but under the	assessment of	appropriate	measures will
	students from low income	proposed	eligibility to be	recognition is given to	inevitably lead to
	backgrounds from	arrangements for	undertaken by	the impact that the	confusion for
	entering higher	tuition fee	HEFCW or	implementation of the	students and
	education. Cardiff	compensation, the	universities. Careful	Assembly	HEls, and be
	continues to work	lower fee level	attention will need to	Government's new fee	wasteful of the
	towards all areas of the	would offer no	be paid to detailed	proposals will have	resources
	For our Future and the	competitive	student	upon both HEIs and	necessary to
	HEFCW Strategy and will	advantage in	communications on	their students.	develop and
	demonstrate an	attracting Welsh	this matter. For	Workshops on specific	implement the
	appropriate contribution	students.	example, under the	topics may indeed be	new system (in

to all priority areas. Input	Meanwhile given	previous tuition fee	useful, as may task	WAG, HEFCW
targets, such as money	the parameters	grant introduced in	groups of experts from	and the sector).
spent in support of a	within which the	2007 students were	the sector and other	We do, however,
particular activity, are not	HE funding	asked what fee they	organisations to look	recognise that
an effective way of	methodology for	were being charged	at specific issues. The	these are major
measuring progress as	2012/13 onwards	when filling out	exact mechanism of	changes to the fee
there is no guarantee for	is being planned	forms to be	consultation is	and student
WAG/HEFCW that the	(ie that no HEI	assessed for	perhaps not as	finance
desired outcomes will	would be worse	financial support.	important as ensuring	arrangements that
transpire. It should also	off under the new	However the	that, if there are issues	are being
be noted that the new fee	system than it	wording of the	which are going to	introduced. The
regime will be delivering	would otherwise	question led to	affect universities,	policies introduced
little or no additional	have been)	significant confusion	those institutions are	and
funds to the HE sector	HEFCW would	as, for Welsh-	given sufficient	accompanying
when combined with	need to find	domiciled students it	opportunity to make a	funding
HEFCW cuts and so it	balancing funding	was not clear	genuine contribution to	mechanisms
would not be reasonable	to compensate the	whether they were	the discussion and	should therefore
to expect institutions to	institution for the	being asked for the	help the Assembly	be kept under
be making significant	lower fee rate	figure before or after	Government and	scrutiny to ensure
additional expenditure as	charged to non-	the tuition fee grant	HEFCW to deliver	they are fit for
part of their fee plans.	Welsh students.	had been taken into	processes and policies	purpose and a
Outcome targets would	If the HEFCW	account. This often	that are fit for purpose.	comprehensive
be a better way of	"institutional	resulted in students		review be
monitoring progress than	subsidy" is	needing to resubmit		scheduled after a
financial expenditure	automatic and	funding applications		suitable period of
targets and would ensure	ensures that any	to their LEAs, delays		operation.
that real change against	institution	in registration and to		Provision of a
WAG priorities is	choosing to set	funding received.		quality student
delivered. Universities	lower rates is	Such delays can		experience has
already have a set of	compensated for	cause distress to		different costs in
national targets set out in	the absence of	students and a		different subject
the HEFCW Corporate	new fee income	serious		areas. It must be
Strategy, against which	there could be	administrative		recognised that
they are monitored. It	perverse	problem for		while the
would be appropriate for	consequences.	universities. The		substantial
a simple set of targets to	We understand	Assembly		planned increases
form the basis both of the	that WAG	Government should		in student fees

fee plans	and for HEFCW modelling wo	ork for ensure that	all	s	hift substantially
	e Strategy (with the sector				ne burden of
	stments that are shown that	-	ne		inding from the
	ry) and for the average fee				tate to the
	ning process to necessary to				dividual student.
•	d to the existing historical fu				ven at the
	for monitoring levels to	be in relation to the fe		m	aximum level of
	ince against the maintained i				es the full cost
HEFCW	Corporate £6000. Ther		-	ot	f education in
Strategy	and the delivery significant ris	k that university staff	in	st	trategically
	our Future. The setting a bas	ic fee drawing up detail	ed		nportant subjects
link	between the rate lower	than communications	to	_	science,
introduct	ion of the new K£6 would	be students on finance	al	ei	ngineering,
fee regir	ne and the drive financially	support matters v	vill 🛛	m	nedicine and
for reco	onfiguration and burdensome	to be vital if	all	de	entistry for
collabora	tion needs to be WAG. The	lower complexities are	to	ex	xample – will not
expresse	ed carefully; any the fees a	cross be addresse	d.	be	e met from
	an provisions the sector		as	st	tudent fees
-	o reconfiguration higher the le	vel of dates for withdrav	al	al	one. Assurance
	explained in a institutional		nd		sought from
way that	ensure that the subsidy	5,	or	N N	VAG and HEFCW
student		would payment of all or		01	
	at the heart of need to prov				ubjects will still
	quirements on it were to ba				e supported in
	ns. Any targets the funding			N N	Vales in a
0	o reconfiguration historical le				nanner which
in fee	plans might Meanwhile,	in advance to	all		nsures a high
	be linked to institutions s	0			uality student
HEFCW	Corporate fees in exce				xperience can
	target 11 and K£7 to ma				till be funded and
	of institutions and develop				elivered. We are
	they have, or are quality of	the			ery conscious
-	owards, a critical student				at the delivery
	fficient to assure experience				xpectations of
-	quality student receive little	or no			tudents will
experien		0			crease as they
acceptat	ole range of subsidy" for	their		pa pa	ay more for their

aduantianal maximizer in	offorto but also		advastian M/-
educational provision in			education. We
both breadth and depth	would find that		are also aware
and student services and	there was a		that the proposals
support appropriate to	reducing balance		under
student needs and	of funding		consideration may
appropriate to their			not actually
mission. For a university	HEFCW to		ensure any
like Cardiff this would	support high-cost		additional funding
ensure that the particular			to the universities
demands of a research-	We are not		to deliver on those
led teaching experience	supportive of a		expectations. We
were reflected.	lower rate being		would therefore
Using a target of this type	set as we believe		argue that the
would also ensure that	there is a		responsibilities of
past reconfiguration	significant danger		HEFCW to reflect
activity is acknowledged	of widening the		the differing costs
within the fee plan	funding gap		of teaching in
provisions.	between English		subject areas be
	and Welsh higher		maintained and
	education even		HEFCW teaching
	further, of		funding for
	compromising the		universities must
	quality of the		continue to reflect
	student		the different
	experience and of		subject mixes and
	creating the		cost of provision
	perception at		at those
	large of a cheap		universities and
	and underfunded		not simply be
	HE sector in		modelled on an
	Wales.		historical cost
	Maintaining parity		basis.
	of funding and		
	parity of esteem		
	with England is		
	vital for		
	universities that		
			I

recruit from		
across the UK.		
Should, however,		
the decision be		
taken that the		
standard rate be		
lowered or		
removed in		
Wales, we would		
argue that any		
institution		
choosing to		
charge fees at		
below the		
standard rate		
agreed in England		
(anticipated to be		
K£6) do so at their		
own risk. Any		
institutional		
subsidy that is		
paid to		
universities in		
Wales should be		
limited and		
calculated against		
the assumption of		
all institutions		
having charged		
fees at least at the		
standard rate in		
England.		

Kym Roberts	Skill Wales urges the	The concern of	No comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	No	comment
Skill Wales	Welsh Assembly	Skill Wales for	No comment made.			made.	comment
Okin Wales	Government to state their	those HEIs who				maue.	
	expectations of HEIs	wish to retain fees					
	(planning to set tuition	at lower than the					
	fees above the fee rate)	revised fee level,					
	for widening access	is that conversely,					
	strategies and action, for						
	the specific participation,	education by					
	support and progression	young disabled					
	of disabled students. This	people could be					
	will include putting in	affected					
	place, action based	adversely. We					
	monitoring mechanisms.	seek re-assurance					
	Statistics show that over	that the access to					
	50% (ONS 2009) of	higher education					
	disabled people are	for young disabled					
	unemployed, while the	people will be					
	economic fate of young	secured and					
	disabled people is	promoted.					
	significantly equalised						
	through access to higher						
	education, where						
	differences in						
	employment rates reduce						
	to within a narrow						
	percentile. This is						
	ultimately significant to						
	the social justice drivers						
	of the Welsh Assembly						
	Government, and the						
	economy of Wales, and						
	the work being						
	undertaken in relation to						
	NEET. It is imperative						
	therefore, that young						
	disabled people						

	participate and succeed in higher education.					
David Moyle Higher Education Liaison officers Association (HELOA Wales)	The fee plan conditions that should be imposed on HEIs is a matter that our members feel should be addressed by our individual institutions, in consultation with the Assembly Government and HEFCW.	HELOA Wales cannot see any real advantages of implementing a fee rate lower than that proposed by the Minster for Education. Given the reductions in public funding for higher education over the coming years, the raising of the basic fee rate would appear to be the most likely mechanism of bridging this shortfall to ensure that the HE sector in Wales continues to deliver excellence in teaching, research and the	HELOA Wales feels that the issues regarding reducing the administrative burdens of the fee grant scheme on HEIs and HEFCW is a debate best advanced through consultation with individual HEIs and their finance offices.	HELOA Wales would welcome the opportunity to feed into the Programme Delivery Board. Our members have regular contact with the vast majority of post-16 education providers in Wales. We are therefore on the 'front- line' with regard to communicating the emerging student financial provision to students considering entry into higher education. We feel that for further discussions and engagement to be most fruitful, consultations should take place on a number of different levels: Local	HELOA Wales feel that any changes to the existing Student Finance Wales mechanisms should be developed so that the process is as simple and non- burdensome for the end user as is possible. Timely publication of the student finance provision (e.g. maintenance loan thresholds) would reduce some of the anxieties amongst students and parents concerned about the financial aspects of entry into HE. We feel that publication of student financial provision should be	There is a considerable risk that we could find ourselves at a significant marketing disadvantage if fee levels in Wales are not published before or shortly after similar announcements from HEIs in England. Students wishing to enter HE in 2012 are likely to be conducting their initial research over the coming months, so it is vital that Welsh HEIs are able to publish their fee

student	(individual HEIs),	made available in	thresholds at the
experience.	Regional (HEI	the January of the	earliest
Every necessary	partnerships), and	year of entry, with	opportunity.
step should be	National (stakeholder	details readily	
taken by the	organisations).	available on SFW	
Assembly		website.	
Government and			
HEFCW to ensure			
that the exiting			
funding gap			
between England			
and Wales does			
not widen in the			
coming years. Our			
members are			
concerned about			
the potential for			
fee pricing			
becoming			
inextricably linked			
with quality. For			
example, if one			
institution was to			
charge £9,000 per			
year and another			
to charge £7,000,			
would a student			
looking at the			
figures			
automatically			
assume that the			
'cheaper' HEI			
offered less			
quality? In the			
event that there			
are differences in			
2012/13 fee levels			

	across Wales, this price:quality perception is something that will need addressing by HEIs, HEFCW and the Assembly Government.		

Helen Jeffery,	No comment made.	Advantages: A	No comment made.	No comment made.	We currently offer a	No	comment
Management		lower rate in			HE provision which	made.	
Accountant		Wales will give the			is franchised		
Coleg Gwent		students a chance			through two		
		to repay their debt			Universities. Our		
		in line with their			students apply to		
		expected future			Student Finance		
		income levels.			Wales for help with		
		The lower fee will			their costs, but we		
		encourage Welsh			are not allowed to		
		resident students			contact Student		
		to remain in			Finance directly to		
		Wales.			discuss our		
		Disadvantages: If			student's fees. It		
		the fee is lower,			would be very		
		then there may be			useful for Colleges		
		an influx of			to be able to contact		
		English students			Student Finance		
		who want to			directly when we		
		attend University			have a query		
		but do not want to			regarding one of our		
		pay the inflated			student's fees or		
		fees charged in			discover that they		
		England. These			have applied using		
		students may			incorrect course		
		move back to			information. This		
		England after			would ensure that		
		completing their			any corrections		
		course meaning a			required by Student		
		potential loss of			Finance would be		
		skilled employees			dealt with promptly		
		and income.			thereby releasing		
					the payments and		
					reducing the		
					administration work		
					at both ends.		

	Dublication of		10/-	Net and the addition	Dealers	The second second second
Elaine Moore	Publication of an	Advantages:	We very much	Not set up additional	Perhaps some	The principles
Alliance of	Employability Strategy,	Attract greater	support the principle	mechanisms but use	worked through	being applied in
Sector Skills	with details of the content	diversity of	that the funding	existing channels and	examples could be	WAG's proposals
Councils	to enable students to see	students; Retain	should always be	structures.	provided to illustrate	are about
	how this issue is	more Welsh-	linked to the		different scenarios	ensuring equality
	articulated. The obligation	educated	individual student		as they might affect	of
	to publish data on origin	students.	and not the course		a range of	opportunity and
	of student intake if they		followed nor the		individuals to	support to
	plan to charge more and	Disadvantages:	institution attended.		ensure that Welsh	widening access
	to demonstrate how the	(Appear to)	This should enable		students understand	for a greater range
	commitment to Widening	Undercut other	appropriate		what	of students. In
	Access is to be	universities;	adjustments to be		it means for them if	making
	maintained.	Create 'market'	made in future as		an HEI decides to	proposals about
		rivalry on the	required and enable		charge more.	funding systems, it
		basis of fees not	data to be generated		-	is important to
		standards.	that shows the			ensure that
			impact overtime of			inadvertent
		Criteria:	differential fees on			consequences
		No disadvantage	the cohort of			can be quickly
		caused to p/t	students who			addressed and
		students	study at various			regulations
			HEIS			changed if
						necessary.
						This may require a
						more holistic
						approach than the
						checking of
						figures and
						funding
						arrangements
						implies.
	1	1				implico.

SIMON	No comment made.	We ask that WAG	No comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	We would like the
PHILLIPS,	No comment made.	undertake detailed	No comment made.	No comment made.	No comment made.	Minister, when
SAM HEAL &		market research				considering
ALLISON		within Wales in				responses to this
JONES		order to				- · · · ·
UNIVERSITY		understand better				
OF WALES,		the impact that				account the
NEWPORT		increased tuition				reduction in the
		fees may have on				Financial
		peoples'				Contingency Fund
		perception of the				budget. Newport
		financial				is part of a
		accessibility of				Financial
		higher education.				Contingency Fund
		Does WAG know				Administrators
		what levels of				Group which
		debt aversion				undertook a
		people in Wales				survey that was
		have and how will				submitted to the
		these perceptions				Assembly in 2007.
		impact on their				The results of this
		higher education				survey
		and vocational				demonstrated the
		aspirations?				advantage of a
						locally
						administered
						hardship fund. It
						proved that a
						client centred
						approach, which is
						accessible and
						able to respond
						individual .
						circumstances
						maximises the
						positive effect
						, FCF has on the

retention and of progression students. There has not been a reduction to date in Further Education FCF, yet there are a high number of FE students studying at Newport, who need support with childcare in particular and disability costs, since there are ineligible to claim Disabled Student's Allowance. А large proportion of the fund is also spent on helping students to cover the cost of diagnostic tests, which is not funded by Local Education Authorities. In order that Newport is able to retain students who experience unexpected and emergency situations, it is

Tudalen 45

						vital that FCF remain at its current level so that these students can be supported.
Professor Noel G Lloyd, Vice- Chancellor; Aberystwyth University	The new tuition fee regime provides the opportunity for HEIs to make further progress in achieving the strategic priorities contained in For Our Future. At institutional level, AU's strategic planning takes account of these priorities, and we recognise our responsibility to account transparently to the WAG for the use of public monies and to explain how we are contributing to the Government's objectives. We understand the arguments in favour of some reconfiguration of	We believe the substantial reduction in resources available at Welsh Universities which would be the direct consequences of the introduction of a lower basic fee rate in Wales would be detrimental to the delivery of two major WAG policy priorities of supporting a buoyant economy and improving social justice.	We would wish to assist in every way possible to minimise the administrative burden and associated costs and consequently to maximise the resources which can be used to deliver an excellent student experience including a high quality learning experience. Exploring the ways to minimise the amount of duplication should be given a high priority. We would propose that the scheme should be	We believe that it would be helpful to involve representatives of the sector in order to ensure that unintended consequences are avoided. We appreciate that the timetable to deliver the objectives is necessarily restricted. Using electronic means to improve the flow of information would be a sensible way to facilitate the consultation process aimed at addressing the issues involved. Using the expertise	We support the principle under- pinning the question and perhaps an external review of the operation of Student Finance Wales would be appropriate.	No comment made.

		· ·		I
had experience of a		maximum use of		
number of mergers – with	lower basic fee	existing	facilitated by the use	
the Welsh Agricultural	would be that	mechanisms, e.g.	of a dedicated website	
College, the Welsh	students would	S.L.C. and Student		
College of Librarianship	eventually find the	Finance Wales.	existing site e.g.	
and, recently, IGER. Like	student		HEFCW.	
all institutions, we have a	experience in			
portfolio of approaches to	Welsh HEIs			
working with others. In	degraded and			
some cases – and IGER	inevitably			
is an example – merger is	therefore move in			
the appropriate	larger and larger			
mechanism. In others an	numbers to study			
agreement on strategic	in English HEls.			
collaboration is the way	Given the			
forward.	commitment of			
We established the	WAG to provide a			
Research and Enterprise	non-means-tested			
Partnership with Bangor	grant to cover the			
University because we	balance over and			
were convinced that	above the current			
collaboration of this kind	fee levels there			
was necessary to	would be a			
establish the range of	positive incentive			
expertise required to be	for Welsh			
internationally	domiciled			
competitive in research,	students to study			
and we are pleased with	outside Wales in			
the successes that has	order to benefit			
been achieved. We are	from a student			
committed to broadening	experience			
and deepening the	supported by a			
partnership with Bangor	fee regime funded			
we are working together	at anything up to			
to take this forward. The	say £4,000 per			
relationship with Bangor	capita greater			
is an important one, but it				
ie all important ono, but it				

is not exclusive, and			
Aberystwyth University is	the basic fee was		
open to discussing with	permitted to be		
other institutions ways in	below £6,000.		
which we can work	At present Wales,		
together in order to	and in particular		
deliver the strategic	the economy, has		
objectives of HEFCW.	the benefit both of		
Indeed we are keen to	the majority of		
establish more	Welsh domiciled		
partnerships in Wales	students studying		
and beyond, but it is	at Welsh HEIs		
essential that these are	together with a		
focused, have clearly	substantial net		
defined objectives, are	inflow of English		
based on mutual benefit,	domiciled		
with real efficiencies and	students relative		
synergies.	to the outflow		
We also note the rapid	Welsh domiciled		
and extensive progress	students. A		
being made within our	relative loss of		
region of Mid and North	resourcing, as		
Wales, for which we are	compared to		
the lead partner. These	English		
regional developments	institutions, with		
are all taking place on the	the resulting		
basis of the policies	inevitable effect		
announced by HEFCW,	on the student		
and are already having a	experience at		
substantial impact upon	Welsh HEIs would		
the planning and the	be highly		
funding of HE, through	disadvantageous.		
the allocation of funded	We note currently		
numbers to reflect	that in the		
success in	National Student		
reconfiguration.	Survey the		
The graduate contribution	average three		

		l l	1	
needs to be such that, as	year score in			
a minimum, total income	Wales over the			
following any decrease in	period 2007-2009			
direct public support will	was ahead of the			
not be reduced and will	average in English			
be similar to that	HEIs (Welsh HEIs			
available to Universities	average 83.3%;			
in other parts of the UK. It	UK HEIs average			
is an important principle	81.7%).			
that institutions in Wales	The substantial			
must be able to ensure	fall in the quality			
that the provision which	of provision in			
they offer is of a least the	Welsh HEIs as a			
same quality as that	consequence of			
available in comparator	the reduction in			
institutions elsewhere in	resourcing			
the UK and that levels of	available as			
student satisfaction	compared to the			
remain high.	current level of			
In response to the	total resource,			
specific questions on a	(comprising the			
fee plan in the	student tuition fee,			
consultation, we suggest	-			
that an element of the	and capital			
difference between total	funding), will also			
resource per student	impact negatively			
available following the	on our			
introduction of the	International			
graduate contribution and	competitiveness.			
that available currently	International			
should be used to deliver	students - who			
the strategic priorities	provide benefit to			
contained in For Our	the Welsh			
Future. Currently the	economy - will be			
total resource consists of	deterred from			
the existing fee together	coming to study in			
with the average unit of				

funding per full-time of resource	
funding provided, imposition of a	
expressed on a per lower basic rate	
capita, basis by HEFCW. would therefore	
In the existing fee plan, impact negatively	
designed when the on a key priority of	
current student fee was WAG of	
established at a sustaining a	
maximum of £3,000, buoyant economy.	
there is a requirement to Furthermore, the	
devote 30% of the consequent	
additional income to the movement of	
support of WAG strategic larger numbers of	
priorities. Welsh-domiciled	
We suggest that under students into	
this proposal a proportion England noted	
of the order of 30% of the above will	
additional net income inevitably mean	
should be used to provide that those who are	
for the further unable to move to	
enhancement of study will be	
responses to For Our particularly	
Future priorities, negatively	
including: affected.	
Additional improvements Students from	
in the student experience poorer	
measured by the NSS; background are	
Developments to further more likely to	
enhance the skills of choose to study	
graduates in order to close to home.	
improve their career Therefore a	
prospects; Enhanced consequence of	
knowledge transfer; the policy would	
Enhanced Research be to impact	
performance including adversely on the	
Research Grant Capture. policy objective of	

	The provisions relating to guidance for the planning should, of course, ensure the delivery of the twin priorities for Higher Education in Wales which are enhancing social justice and supporting a buoyant economy.	WAG namely achieving greater social justice.				
Gwawr Hughes Skillset (SSC) The Sector Skills Council for Creative Minds	The Creative Industries is one of six key priority sectors identified by the Welsh Assembly Government in its Economic Renewal Programme and the Hargreaves' Creative Industries Strategy. We therefore believe that Higher Education has an important role to play in driving forward the creative industries, responding to employer needs. 'For Our Future - The 21st Century Higher Education Strategy and Plan for Wales' specifies that it wants to see :- "education services, which is designed with	If the financial model works, we believe that those courses which can be delivered effectively at a lower basic fee rate should be considered whenever possible. However for those subject areas that cost more to deliver and are of economic importance to Wales such as those for the Creative Media industries, exceptional	We agree with the proposal that the fee grant follows the individual student and is paid to the institution of choice.	As mentioned previously, For Our Future makes it clear that the Welsh Assembly Government wants Higher Education provision to be designed with the employer and business in mind and that Sector Skills Councils have a key role in taking this agenda forward. The Government's Economic Renewal Programme has also specifically identified the Creative Industries as a priority sector for the Welsh economy. We therefore believe	We believe that the Student Finance Wales process should enforce baseline standards of quality and that students receive high quality information to help them choose the HEI and courses which best matches their aspirations. For example, courses that are Accredited by the industry through Skillset should be brought to the attention of students when choosing their courses. This	No comment supplied

				1
the employer and	•	that the Programme	industry	
business in mind, and a	given to those	Delivery Board should	accreditation should	
supply of learning and	courses by	either have Sector	be seen as	
services which is better	whatever means	Skills Council	equivalent to the	
informed about employer	possible on par	representation on it or	professional bodies'	
and business needs. This	with STEM	that effective	accreditation. Our	
should take account of	subjects.	structures are	industry	
the differing contexts of		established for	accreditation will	
small, medium and large		consultation with those	provide a strong	
employers and, drawing		SSC's where Higher	signal and clear	
on the work of the Wales		Education have been	signpost to students	
Employment and Skills		identified as key	that this particular	
Board and the Sector		partners in addressing	course they are	
Skills Councils (SSCs)		the economic needs of	choosing has got	
and others". As the		their sectors, such as	that industry	
Sector Skills Council for		Skillset.	recognition and	
the Creative Media			backing. And with	
Industries Skillet has			such a wide range	
been proactive with the			of courses on offer	
HE sector in Wales and			in these subjects	
has established effective			and the variable	
mechanisms for ensuring			quality, we feel that	
provision is led and			this will support	
informed by industry. We			informed consumer	
have devised a system of			choice, especially in	
accrediting courses in			the creative content	
subjects across the			industries with high	
Creative Media Industries			growth economic	
including computer			potential.	
games, animation, film				
production and digital				
media. So far, we have				
approved 4 such courses				
in Wales and aim to				
expand such				
accreditation in the near				
future. Where institutions				

offer a range of courses that together interlink to provide an interdisciplinary approach to skills and knowledge, and they have strong links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
provide an interdisciplinary approach to skills and knowledge, and they have strong links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
interdisciplinary approach to skills and knowledge, and they have strong links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
to skills and knowledge, and they have strong links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
and they have strong links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
links with the industry, we also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
also approved HE institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
institutes as Skillset Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
Academies. We have an active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
active Academy in Wales which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
which is supported by industry and HEFCW. HE	
industry and HEFCW. HE	
courses accredited by	
Skillset have technology	
as an integral component	
which involves STEM	
subjects. Our approval	
also means that	
employers can target	
their support in a variety	
of ways including	
involvement in design,	
delivery and in some	
cases by providing	
bursaries, scholarships	
and internships. Our	
industries need and will	
use high calibre	
graduates. The Skillset	
accredited courses are	
however at the expensive	
end of the current	
banding system. We	
therefore believe that	
Skillset Accredited	
courses within HE	

institutes in Wales should	
be able to receive	
"exceptional funding" with	
parity alongside STEM	
related subjects. Our fear	
is that without this	
funding, higher education	
institutions will not be	
able to offer these more	
expensive courses at the	
high standards that	
industry requires and the	
very education base	
needed for a growing part	
of the economy will be	
lost, together with the	
creative media industries'	
confidence and support in	
the higher education	
system and their appetite	
to co-invest and build on	
the strong foundations we	
have established. The	
Skillset accreditation	
process should form part	
of the fee planning	
process proposed and	
provisions relating to	
reconfiguration of HE and	
other For Our Future	
strategic priorities.	
Indeed, Skillset via its	
accreditation of courses	
is already addressing the	
strategic priority within	
For Our Future which	
relates to HE meeting the	

Phil Gough Swansea University	needs of industry. As a Sector Skills Council with a strong employer voice in Wales, we want the Welsh Assembly Government to show commitment to the accreditation of industry courses and their exceptional financing on par with STEM subjects moving forward. The planning guidance should address the following issues: - it will	The lower basic fee level should be set at £6,000	Although there have been a number of teething problems	The composition of the Programme Delivery Board is noted. The	The drive to on-line delivery of the student finance	With regard to reconfiguration, approval should
	not be possible to prepare fully credible fee	(uplifted by GDP). This will be	with the Student Loan Company	Board will be considering issues	system should be continued.	only be given to genuine mergers
	plans without knowing	comparable with	(SLC), it is a tried	which will impact		with the potential
	how HEFCW intends to	England and will	and tested	significantly on HEIs		to release
	allocate its residual funds	give HEIs more	mechanism. It	and students. As such		resources for front
	to support teaching	•	makes no sense to	its membership should		line services. The
	priorities; the diversity of	differential fees	introduce a high-cost	include		various group
	the sector, i.e. HEIs will	below £6,000.	parallel mechanism	representatives from		structures under
	have different objectives;		via HEFCW which	HEIs and the student		discussion in the
	access targets should		would increase	body. If the		sector only add
	take account of student		administrative costs	membership of the		layers of
	progression; clarify what		significantly. Welsh	Board cannot be		administration and
	is meant by 'willingness to progress swiftly to		students should continue to be	expanded, it is important that a		will not be able to demonstrate value
	merger and		awarded a tuition fee	important that a parallel stakeholder		for money. There
	reconfiguration'; how will		grant (TFG), if	group be established		is a need for
	national and international		deemed eligible by	immediately. The		mature
	collaborations be		Student Finance	stakeholder group		conversations with
	protected and		Wales (SFW), on	must include		HEIS on the
	encouraged? What		receipt of an annual	representatives of		diverse ways in
	appeal mechanism will be		student support	HEIs and students. In		which they
	put in place. How will		application. HEIs do	particular the Welsh		address social

	opportunities be provided to renegotiate fee plans? This is particularly important given the large uncertainties over the effect of fees on demand and cross-border flows; how frequently should plans be updated - every three years is suggested.		not have the level of expertise or resources to assess eligibility for the TFG or to charge varying fee rates based on a student's domicile, cohort or funding regime. The adverse impact on cash flows to HEIs will have to be addressed.	HE student finance practitioners group (WHESPG) should be represented. A further workshop should be held to consider part- time students. Representatives from employers should be invited to the workshop.		justice issues. In order to protect public investment, KPIs should be focused on the proportion of widening access students that are able to complete their courses of study.
Dewi Knight Open University	The OU in Wales recommends that the Government, when developing its plans on fee and loan regulations and related higher education finance proposals, bears in mind the commitment in For our Future of 'greater opportunities for individuals to learn on a part-time basis'. To encourage this, we wish to see arrangements which place the funding and support of those who study, or wish to study, on a part-time basis on an equal footing with those who study full-time. As recommended by the	We note the UK Government's extension for England of the threshold from the 33% intensity (40 credits) proposed in the Browne Report, which followed the department's policy impact assessment that estimated (at 33% intensity) 'around two thirds of part- time students will not be eligible for fee loans'. round 2,000 Open University students in Wales study 30 credits	No comment supplied.	No comment supplied.	No comment supplied.	We have some concerns that the modelling released by the Department of Children, Education, Lifelong Learning & Skills to demonstrate the 'top-slicing' of the teaching grant to cover the non- means-tested grant for full-time undergraduates doesn't explicitly state the need to consider, and then reserve, the funding needed to support high quality teaching

Department for Busine	ess per year, with a			and learning for
Innovation & Skills,				part-time students.
				It will be vital to
students in England w				
study at the equivalent				ensure that there
25% or more of a full-ti				are no detrimental
course (30 credits) will				unintended
eligible for a non-mea				consequences
tested loan for tuition.	, ,			which flows from
view this as a go				the settlement for
template for Welsh poli				full-time students
ensuring as it does,				and which
more equal access				diminishes the
grants and loa	ns, We would also			volume, range,
regardless of mode				quality and
study.	the Government			accessibility of
	considers a			part-time higher
	further 'fair			education. This is
	access' measure			a potential
	by extending the			function of the full-
	eligibility for			time
	grants that cover			arrangements
	the cost of fees for			being addressed
	students with low			in the first place
	household			but would remind
	incomes to those			the Government
	studying 30			that with four in
	credits or more.			ten of all
	Presently both the			undergraduates in
	fee and course			Wales studying
	grants, dependent			part-time, a
	on household			significant
	income, are only			proportion of the
	available to those			teaching grant
	who study at or			goes to ensure the
	above 50%			best student
	intensity (60			experience
	credits).			possible. You will
	Si Galloj.	1		

be aware that, for the sixth year running, students at The Open University in Wales were more satisfied with the quality of their higher education than those at any other university in Wales, according to the National Student Survey 2010. We would not like to see the quality and range of part-time provision reduced by the gap between funding support for fulltime and part-time study. Helping deliver on the Government's principle of 'access to higher education should be on the basis of the individual's potential to benefit' and the 'secure foundation of social justice', and indication of the value and

benefit of parttime learning is that almost 40% of Open University undergraduate students in Wales join us without the standard university entry level qualifications and a quarter of current new entrants to the OU in Wales are from "low affluence" areas as defined by HEFCW. In support of a 'buoyant economy' and priority economic renewal areas. more than a third of all OU in Wales student study a STEM subject and 81% of all OU undergraduates work whilst studying, demonstrating that part-time distance learning can be the most convenient quality way of upskilling or reskilling, whilst

Tudalen 59

also bearing in part-time mind undergraduate students' wider economic contributions through taxation. The OU's work with trades unions in Wales widens participation in learning, and in many instances provides an initial engagement with higher education learning. The OU in Wales become the first university to receive a 'Quality Award' from the Wales TUC for its trades union learner engagement activities and work with UNISON, which has seen 700 over sponsored learners in three years, won the Higher Times UK Education Widening Participation Initiative of the

								Year in 2010.
Cerys Furlong NIACE National Institute of Adult Continuing Education (NIACE) Dysgu Cymru	In relation to fee plans, we hope that the Assembly Government will consider the commitment in For Our Future for 'greater opportunities for individuals to learn on a part time basis'.	No comment supplied.	No supplied.	comment	No comment supplied.	No supplied.	comment	We are concerned that failing to consider issues in relation to part time learners and learning now, while decisions are being made in relation to full time undergraduate study, could result in unintended consequences, particularly in light of constraints on resources. In all its considerations we hope that the Assembly Government will consider that with a changing demographic (an ageing society) and a volatile economic climate where many face uncertainty in employment, the opportunity to re-

						train, up-skill and change careers is increasing in importance. For many adults, part time study is the only way to do this.
Elaine	The fee plans would in	If the basic rate is	This needs to be as	NASMA is an	In terms of	Increase in
Robinson	part express how the	lower than the	simple as possible. It	organisation with over	complexity – we	preparation for
Debra Thorne	universities charging	proposed £6K	is important that	500 professionals	would put in a plea	study to remove
NASMA -	more than the basic fee	there may be a	there are clear	working in the field of	for some stability	barriers to
National	rate aimed to put	number of issues.	guidelines in	student funding and	and less year on	learning and
Association of	practical measures in	If lower, would	advance of issues	collectively we are	year changes. The	aspiration eg
Student Money	place to increase access	there be a	eg what happens	recognised as the	number of changes	financial
Advisors	to higher education from	significant rise in	when a student transfers/withdraws/	leading authority on all	over the last decade	capability. This
	underrepresented groups and further the aims of	applications from English applicants	has an interruption	matters relating to student advice and	has led to the possible co-	should be part of the curriculum and
	For Our Future. If they	which would result	to study/ has	funding. We think it is	existence of 5 or 6	compulsory in
	are to be effective, fee	in less places for	previous study? To	essential that the	different cohorts,	schools and FE
	plans need to be explicit	Welsh domiciled	simplify, and ensure	Programme Delivery	requiring significant	colleges.
	and offer specific	students? If the	that financial	Board is also	experience and	Students will then
	guidance on what is	basic fee rate was	complications are	representative of the	expertise within	be able to make
	expected and how	lower it would	not a barrier to a	HE sector. Face to	student support at	informed choices
	outcomes will be	decrease student	student transferring	face consultation and	HEIs in order to	and enjoy and
	measured. Will HEFCW	indebtedness but	to a more suitable	communication is very	ensure students are	benefit from
	have any power in	how would	course, common	useful eg road shows	able to make	university if they
	relation to ensuring that	universities be	guidance and an	and regional	informed choices	arrive prepared.
	fee plans are adhered to.	funded to ensure	agreed cross-HEI	stakeholder groups.	and fully understand	This would
	Will there be timely	that student	approach to fee	Road shows enable a	the financial	improve the
	monitoring of progress	experience and	liability would be	broad range of	implications of their	academic
	and how will progress be measured? We would	support is not detrimentally	preferable. We recommend that	practitioners as well as other interested	decisions to, e.g, transfer, suspend or	outcome and student
	like to see measures	affected? This	Registry and	other interested parties to attend and	withdraw.	experience. These
	which include pre-entry	policy would need	Finance Officers	contribute. NASMA		skills can also be
	aspiration raising work	to ensure that	who deal with	would be willing to	Similarly, significant	helpful throughout
	aspiration raising work		who deal with		Similarly, Significant	

and more encouragement	government	SFE/SLC on a daily	consider helping with	experience and	with informed
of contextual admissions	provided funding	basis as well as a	these if they are	expertise is	choice in life after
to enable more	from the centre	student funding	resourced	available within	HE.
representation of State	with less onus on	expert are included	appropriately. Given	Local Authorities to	
educated students, Care	the individual. If	in the design of any	that we operate in all 4	utililse to ensure	Consideration
Leavers and other low	we are stating that	new system. These	UK regions and many	support, and correct	could be given to
income groups, ensure	the basic rate will	staff have a detailed	NASMA colleagues	information advice	monthly payments
adequate hardship funds	match England we	understanding of the	from England.	and guidance is	of SL to enable
and financial capability	also need to	reporting, billing and	Scotland and NI also	given to prospective	students to
provision both pre and	actively manage	attendance record	advise and assist	and current	survive better
post entry. Hardship	and match fair	management. They	students domiciled in	students, in	financially?
funding has been	access in a more	would be able to	Wales briefings for	particular students	
reduced significantly but	rigorous way as	offer ideas to ensure	these colleagues will	from non-traditional	We are concerned
childcare grants only	proposed in	the design of any	be needed. Could	backgrounds,	as a sector with
offers 85% of costs up to	England. If the	new system was fit	there also be	mature students	ensuring students
a maximum amount.	basic rate is £6K	for purpose. From a	roadshows for	and students with	get IAG pre-entry
Could universities be	how will this be	student support	colleges/schools,	additional	and throughout
encouraged via the fee	justified if the	perspective we think	students and parents?	costs/needs, e.g.	their time in HE -
plan to cover the 15%	actual costs of the	that students need	If LAs are no longer	children/disabilities.	we would like to
shortfall as a childcare	providing the	to understand what	operational there will	Local authorities	ensure students
grant or bursary? HEI	course is less	happens if they	be a significant gap in	also undertake	receive a good
hardship funds could be	than this? In	transfer etc.(cf	the IAG work needed	significant work	service from
established to support	addition, we are	SCOP guidance in	to be delivered to	liaising with schools	agencies
vulnerable group such as	concerned that	2006) Clear and	ensure that	and colleges and	administering their
parents, care leavers,	the £6K basic rate	timely IAG will be	prospective students	delivering	loans and
disabled students. Will	will be a	crucial. Students will	understand what	talks/providing	assessing their
the fee plans include	disincentive to	start applying for	financial support is	information to their	applications. They
measures for	students from	2012 course in the	available and enable	pupils. A centralised	will have higher
postgraduate and part-	families who are	near future so timing	them to make an	SLC based system	expectations on
time students? E.g. If	debt averse. This	is important. As	informed choice. This	would not provide	the back of
HEIs increase MA/MSc	is already clear	stated in Q2 many	is particularly	this. It would	greater investment
postgraduate fees in line	from our	HEIs have open	important for students	therefore be highly	so we need
with undergraduate fees	experience	days well in	from non-traditional	beneficial to	ensure IAG is fit
can they also be	answering queries	advance. We are	backgrounds and	maintain this	for purpose. Many
encouraged to offer	from prospective	getting many queries	households with no	resource if existing	NASMA members
bursaries/scholarships to	students. We do	about 2012 already.	experience of HE .	experienced staff	are concerned
low income students to	need to get a	We would also	Also, with regards to	and expertise were	about this

enable them	to fund this positive messa	ge recommend that	devising an	kept within Wales	potential loss of
area of fu	•		•		the LAs as the
which is ofte				proposed	working
self funding		-		centralised SLC	relationship
help. Similarl				solution.	between HEI
students from		-			support staff and
backgrounds	will need barriers	to students are not in	members of the		LA staff has been
encourageme	ent to study, aspiration. T	ne attendance for any	already established		very successful in
especially if	they are re- new fee grant is	a reason (including ill	HEI /SLC	Has the	addressing the
training a	ind have positive	health) on Dec 1st	Communications	effectiveness and	needs of students
previous stu	udy at HE contribution	or the tuition fee loan is	Forum would be very	costs of the SFW	quickly and
level.	Welsh domicil	ed not activated. This	useful. Many members	call centre been	efficiently, with
Bursaries/sch	nolarships to students – we w	/ill leaves it up to each	have considerable	reviewed? Could	minimal distress to
address this	need would need further cle	ar HEI's fee policy to	experience of the	WAG save money	the student. It is
be helpful. A	Are there to guidance as so	on determine whether	previous fee grant	by utilising instead	very difficult to
be time lim	nits on the as possible	, they waive term	system, and can	the considerable	imagine how this
plans eg will t	they cover a including domic	ile one's fees, part	articulate the	expertise already	could be achieved
3 or 5 year	period? The criteria,	waive them or	significant issues that	existing within	by a remote
new access			they encountered.	Local Authorities to	centralised system
in England	are to be a student	is amount. When the	Learning from this	give prospective	based outside of
reviewed ann	ually so that repeating, a	nd fees rise significantly		students and	Wales with no
that any issu	ues can be entitlement	or this could create	• •	applicants more in	system of
identified earl	-	th heavy burdens on		depth information,	ownership of
scheme and	5 • • • • • •	IE some vulnerable	complexity and cost.	advice and	applications. This
issued if appr		students who may		guidance regarding	is particularly
Wales be join		is not be allowed to re-		applying for funding	detrimental for
and Northerr				and queries about	vulnerable
the new Key				assessments?	students with
	,	an study until they had			additional needs,
currently unde				Student Finance	e.g. those with
also enables				England are	children, mature
compare	HEIs? students. Op			introducing changes	students, disabled
Depending or	-			to processes to gain	students. All are
plans for th	. .			efficiencies that	more vulnerable to
Contingency				aren't being	leaving the course
WAG wish	•			introduced in Wales.	if things go wrong
HEI's to rin	ng fence a part-time studer	ts the point at which		For example, the	with their

specific amount of money – we know they it he new fee grant numbers to provide access to fee access to provide access to fee is will the loan pay access to fee is will the loan pay is will the loan pay access to fee is will the loan pay is will the fee first and the stakes are data share. Both should reduce the should reduce the should reduce the garant stere? The fee rule administret of the full if HEFCW administret due from expectation administret due from expectations will control the solution to burden and the stakes are administret due from expectations will control the solution to burden and the solution to the solution tot the solution to t	apositio amount of manay	we know they	the new fee grant	non moone tested	accomment as
numberstoprovideaccesstofeeadequatehardshiploansbut ifthe fees first and theshould reduce thestudents?Thisring-thefee firstcourses are halfffHEFCWburdenadministrativefencingshould alsoundergraduateadministrated the feegrantscheme-applications.PerhapsSFWadministretthefrom enstament? If somon instament? If somon instament? If soPerhapsSFWShould be adoptingresourcingtosubportadministret of the sisadvantages of usingatera for consultationRe-doubling effortsto attain alignmentsuchstudentsfully.able to access ahave set up systemsafready but previoussector experts andstudentsfromyearnotwithin theadministration of theadministration of thestudentsformnotwithin thesector experts andare free fromsuchstudentsformscope of thisscope of thisscope of thissuchstudentsfor oursetany fee ise mayindex of currentspin.ad guidance in order toneed to result in arefee or subjuit in andspin.at a much greater levelany fee rise mayindex of currentspin.spin.adarriertohisfor Nursingfor Nursingfor fuereadvariates ericno result in arefee or nureive of curre		-			
adequatehardship fundingloansbut if bourden and tresource to manage and administerheadheadfee <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
fundingfor their students?courses are half thenew fee grant later? theadministrative theSwift resolution to problems, and a friendly face a disincentive administer the fund administer the fund administer the fund administer the fund effectively. If Fee Plans to widen access, this should include adequate to widen access, this should include adequate from years ago atting a degree from years ago atting a degree administration of the and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to continue on the voiden access a the financial circumstances are not a abririer to continue on the would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would with drawal Student would with drawal Student would withdrawal Student would withdrawal Student would with drawal Student would with drawal Student would with drawal Student would with drawal Student would be would be to rese, especially in the would be would be would be would be would be to consultation to rese, especially in the would be would be to consultation students to rese, especially in the would be to rese, especially in the to rese, especially in	•				•
students?This ring- fencing should also include adequate human administer the Fund administer the Fund effectively. If Fee Plans are to include incentives should aclequate to widen access, this should aclequate to swiden access, this should include adequate tresourcing to support students from non- traditional backgrounds tutaitional backgrounds tational backgrounds to within their at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure any to to with their stated their financial circumstances are not a avoid withdrawal. Studentthe the intervention to with the students financial consultation the level them to continue on the education and to enable them to continue on the education and to enable to mise, especially in thethe the the the students financial courses so some guidance in order to ensure any subcont the subcent may avoid withdrawal. Studentthe the the the the althcare to with the edgree and avoid withdrawal. Studentthe the the the the althcare to with the the althcare to course so some guidance in order to ensure any to the subcome the althcare to minimise the the them to continue on the ucured non the avoid withdrawal. Studentthe the the the althcare to widance on this to widance on					
fencing should also include adequate human resource to manage and administer the Fund effectively. If Fee Pland include adequate affectively. If Fee Pland effectively. If Fee Pland effectively. If Fee Pland to include incentives skilling a degree from years ago and may not be availated from years ago such students fully. Students from nor traditional backgrounds utilise the services of student Money Advisers consultation traditional backgrounds at an others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial addigance in order to ensure to higher education and to consult at on kill place to ensure the fee I cantine the fee grant scheme one instalment? If so what month would it are for consultation with HEIs. The area for consultation the SLC is that they have set up systems at a much greater level than others. They need hay fee rise may and guidance in order to ensure that their financial barrier to higher them to continue on the edgree and healthcare circumstances are not a avoid withdrawal. Student them to continue on the experiation still place a barrier to higher them to continue on the expereditions. HEIs in order to ensure that their financial to rise, especially in the would be to manage to continue on the expereditions. Course so some suggestion that expectations will continue to rise, especially in the would be to minimise theadministered the fee grant scheme - not within the alter area for considered the subte and the scheme - to administration of the operation and to enable. Heis the burden on the healthcaredeministered the fee grant scheme - to administration of the operation alter throughe aploration to rise, especially	•		-		
include adequate human resource to manage and administer the Fund effectively. If Fee Plans are to include incentives to widen access, this should include adequate resourcing to support such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds tuilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level and guidance in order to harrier to higher education and to enable healtcare course once enrolled and avoid with/rawal. Student should be source to manage and burden access of sump advantages of using at a much greater level and with services of student form order to experision but advantages of using at a much greater level and with the result in a than others. They need barrier to higher education and to enable barrier to higher the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to minimister to not with the mode to result in would be to minimister the burden on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the would be to minimister the it with the advisition the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the would be to minimiste theprice this could be grant scheme - could it be paid in the services of science of experison than others. They need than others. They need the services on to a barrier to higher education and to enable the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Studentprice this could be to minimise thegrant scheme - could it is barrier to higher them to continue on the experison will continue to minimise theprice this could be to minimise thepaplications. the paid it is paid in the the moto continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student	•				
resource to manage and administer the Fund effectively. If fee Plans is should include adequate resourcing to support such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student expectations will continue to rise, especially in the would be to consultation to rise, especially in the would be be read advantages of using the set to access a to attract the services of students from por- traditional backgrounds and guidance in order to ensure that their financial corrumstances are not a avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the to rise the to especially in the to to minimise the to to especially especially especially the to tore ton	•	•			-
administerthe Fund effectively. If Fee Plans are to include incentives should include adequate should include adequate from years ago such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds tata much greater level than others. They need ensure that their financial circumstances are not a adjuidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a adjuidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a adjuidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a adjuidance in order to ensure that their financial course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theespecially to those what mather the students would it be paid? This is an are for consultation advantages of using traditional backgrounds traditional backgrounds than others. They need researed to result in a not know if the fee mather information, advice researed to result in a review of current rises will place a barrier to higher education and to enable the althcare courses os some suggestion that course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the would be to minimise theone instalment? If so what month would it bar are for consultation are for consultation and instration of the out these thorough exploration preview of current issues which need thorough exploration preview of current issues which need thorough exploration thorough exploration the fee out these thorough exploration the fee out these thorough explorati		•			
effectively. If Fee Plans are to include incentives to widen access, this should include adequate resourcing to support such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level expections mathematics are not a barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the expectations will continue expectations will continue to rise, especially in the barvier and lawant do enable to rise, especially in the law	•			•	
are to include incentives to widen access, this should include adequate from years ago usuch students frully.skilling after having a degree from years ago and may not be able to access a fee loan. This is not traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need to and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Studentskilling after having a degree from years ago and may not be advantages of using have set up systems already but previous experience of and guidance in order to ensure that their financial course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the wouldskilling after after and guidance on the wouldbe paid? This is an are for consultation with HEIS. The advantages of using haves set up systems already but previous experience of are protece of and guidance in order to ensure that their financial course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theskilling after after afterbe paid? This is an are for consultation but advantages of using haves set up systems already but previous succes so some guidance on this course so some guidan					very important.
to widen access, this should include adequate resourcing to support such students fully, Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice expert information and to enable course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the to rise, especially in the would be to rise, especially in the to mism access, this should include adequate resourcing to support and may not be alle to access a the SLC is that they have set up systems already but previous experience of administration of the old tuition fee grant has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with THEIs. The advantages of using the SLC is that they have set up systems already but previous experience of administration of the old tuition fee grant has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with relevant course so so some guidance on this to rise, especially in the would be avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the subcle source and special staff at experience on this to rise, especially in the subcle source on the to rise, especially in the subcle source and the estimate to rise, especially in the subcle source to rise, especially in the source to rise, especially in the source to rise, especially in the source to rise source and the estimate and the source to rise source and the estimate and the source to rise source and the source to rise s				these too.	
should include adequate resourcing to support such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the		•	•		
resourcing to support such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable to rise, especially in the usub sub sector experts and and may not be able to access a the SLC is that they have set up systems already but previous experience of administration of the administration of the expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a burdle on the expectations will continue to rise, especially in the would be expectations will continue to rise, especially in the	to widen access, this	having a degree		Re-doubling efforts	
such students fully. Students from non- traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the such students fully. able to access a the SLC is that they have set up systems already but previous expertince of scope of this experience of old tuition fee grant has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at barrier to higher education swill continue to rise, especially in the burden on the burden on the expectations will continue to rise, especially in the to rise, especially in the burden on the to rise, especially in the burden on the burden on the to rise, especially in the burden on the to minimise the to minimise the to minimise the burden on the to minimise the to minimise the burden on the to to the secher			with HEIs. The		materials for 2012
Studentsfromnon- traditionalfeeloan.have set up systems already but previous experiencesector experts and areutilisethe services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrierfeeloan.This is already but previous experience of to dol tuition fee grant has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at burden on the burden on the HEIs in order to education and to enable the althcaresector experts and are free from administration of the administration of the as raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at burden on the HeIs in order to degree and avoid withdrawal. Student expectations will continue to rise, especially in thefeeloan.fiesector experts and already but previous experience of to dol tuition fee grant thas raised serious issues which need thorough exploration not know if the fee will place a to rise, especially in thesector experts and already but previous expertence to minimise thesector experts and already but previous expertence through exploration through exploration to minimise the	resourcing to support	and may not be	advantages of using		are produced and
traditional backgrounds utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the burden on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances of to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances of to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances of to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances of to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances are not a to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the circumstances are not a to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise, especially in the course once enrolled and to rise especiall	such students fully.	able to access a	the SLC is that they	lead to efficiencies.	validated by
utilise the services of Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to higher education and to enable them to continue on the expectations will continue to rise, especially in thescope of this scope of this experience of administration of the administration of the administration of the administration of the administration of the has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at HEIs in order to education and to enable them to continue on the would be to rise, especially in thescope of this scope of this administration of the administration of the administration of the administration of the issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these difficulties. One suggestion that course conselvent would be to minimise theambiguity and ambiguity and spin.	Students from non-	fee loan. This is	have set up systems		sector experts and
Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theconsultation but and fee rise may need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at hEIS in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these course so some suggestion to rise, especially in theconsultation but any fee rise may need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at hEIS in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these course to rise, especially in thespin.Student Money Advisers at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance on this to rise, especially in theconsultation but any fee rise may need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at HEIS in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these course to course so some suggestion that could be considered to minimise thespin.	traditional backgrounds	not within the	already but previous		are free from
at a much greater level than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to education and to enable them to continue on the expectations will continue to rise, especially in theany fee rise may need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration operational staff at burden on the degree and iron out these difficulties. One suggestion to minimise the	utilise the services of	scope of this	experience of		ambiguity and
than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to not know if the fee burden on the education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theneed to result in a need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these difficulties. One suggestion that course that ther to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current provide thorough exploration with operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current provide to result in a has raised serious issues which need to rise, especially in thethan others. They need expectations will continue to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current issues which need to minimise thehas raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with relevant operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these suggestion that could be considered to minimise the	Student Money Advisers	consultation but	administration of the		spin.
than others. They need expert information, advice and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrier to not know if the fee burden on the education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theneed to result in a need to result in a has raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these difficulties. One suggestion that course that ther to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current provide thorough exploration with operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current provide to result in a has raised serious issues which need to rise, especially in thethan others. They need expectations will continue to rise, especially in theneed to result in a review of current issues which need to minimise thehas raised serious issues which need thorough exploration with relevant operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these suggestion that could be considered to minimise the	at a much greater level	any fee rise may	old tuition fee grant		
and guidance in order to ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barrierPCDLs. We do not know if the fee operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully ironwith relevant operational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully ironthem to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theNHS for Nursing unpick and hopefully ironunpick and hopefully ironto rise, especially in theguidance on this wouldcourse once barriercourse on this barrier	than others. They need	need to result in a	has raised serious		
ensure that their financial circumstances are not a barriernot know if the fee rises will place a 	expert information, advice	review of current	issues which need		
circumstances are not a barrierrises will place a burden on the burden on the burden on theoperational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these difficulties. One suggestioncourse once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in thenealthcare wouldoperational staff at HEIs in order to unpick and hopefully iron out these difficulties. One suggestionto rise, especially in thewouldbe wouldto minimise the	and guidance in order to	PCDLs. We do	thorough exploration		
barrier to higher burden on the HEIs in order to education and to enable NHS for Nursing unpick and hopefully them to continue on the degree and iron out these course once enrolled and healthcare difficulties. One avoid withdrawal. Student courses so some suggestion that expectations will continue guidance on this could be considered to rise, especially in the would be to minimise the	ensure that their financial	not know if the fee	with relevant		
education and to enable them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. StudentNHS for Nursing degreeunpick and hopefully ironout avoid withdrawal. Student to rise, especially in theNHS for Nursing degreeunpick and hopefully ironout out these difficulties.NHS ironout to rise, especially in theNHS for Nursing degreeunpick and hopefully ironout out to rise, especially in theNHS for Nursing 	circumstances are not a	rises will place a	operational staff at		
them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Studentdegree healthcareiron out these difficulties.out these difficulties.avoid withdrawal. Student expectations will continue to rise, especially in thecourses so some yudance on this wouldsuggestion to minimise to to minimisethe to minimise	barrier to higher	burden on the	HEIs in order to		
them to continue on the course once enrolled and avoid withdrawal. Studentdegree healthcareiron difficulties.out these difficulties.avoid withdrawal. Student expectations will continue to rise, especially in thecourses so some guidance on this to minimise to minimise to minimisesuggestion that to minimise the	education and to enable	NHS for Nursing	unpick and hopefully		
avoid withdrawal. Studentcourses so somesuggestionthatexpectations will continueguidance on thiscould be consideredto rise, especially in thewouldbeto minimise	them to continue on the	degree and			
expectations will continue guidance on this could be considered to rise, especially in the would be to minimise the	course once enrolled and	healthcare	difficulties. One		
expectations will continue guidance on this could be considered to rise, especially in the would be to minimise the	avoid withdrawal. Student	courses so some	suggestion that		
to rise, especially in the would be to minimise the	expectations will continue	guidance on this			
		-			
context of increased appreciated. administrative	context of increased	appreciated.	administrative		
tuition fees. HEIs will burden on HEIs is					
need to ensure that they reducing significantly					
provide excellent student the number of			• • •		

	regarding fee liability. We suggest that HEFCW consider the requirement that HEIs sign up to a common approach to minimise financial barriers to changing unsuitable courses and enable students to make informed choices when transferring/changing courses.					
Sam McIlvogue Coleg Llandrillo Cymru	People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. Differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean academic excellence and a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be allowed to agree their own fee structure to capitalise on areas of excellence. Higher fees and fee differentiation could also have a negative effect on	Tuition Fees in Wales should not be set lower than England for the reasons stated in Q1. We would welcome more guidance on the fee structure for part time undergraduate studies. Guidance may suggest that HEIs use a pro rata model when setting the part time fees thus lessening the differential between part time and full time fees	Any change to administration must not be detrimental to the cash flow of the HEI. Consideration should be given to the direct funded FEIs when developing the scheme.	Is the voice of FE and Employers adequately represented on the Board? Participation of and engagement with pupils in year 12 & 13, parents, FE level 3 students and employers is important to ensure that all views are heard and represented.	Review the withdrawal procedures for undergraduates. Improve the general awareness of students regarding their responsibility for repaying any funding if they withdraw from their programme of study. In our experience, LEAs provide excellent information and advisory support services to students and institutions. Any changes in the administration of the scheme must continue to ensure	There is still misunderstanding amongst students in Wales about the differences between the Welsh and English HE fees scheme. This could have an unnecessary adverse impact on Welsh students HE aims. We would recommend a campaign to raise awareness and understanding.

collaboratio	ion and whilst also pla	ing	effectiveness and	
	•	•	efficiency.	
	damage the a real compara		enciency.	
widening	participation value on	the		
agenda.	Therefore it qualification.			
	seful strategy to			
	ose who want to There is con			
	gher fees have that as HEIs			
	n place for part time			
	access, as is using a pro			
planned in				
	part time fees	will		
	increase c	uite		
	substantially.	A		
	£6k tuition			
	equates to a	10		
	credit mo			
		00.		
	This is	а		
	significant			
	increase in	the		
	current level			
	part time fees			
	some bodies.			
	We suggest	that		
		buld		
		fine		
	what is mean			
	`intensity	of		
	study'. Cur			
	guidance refer			
	having an u			
	band of inter			
	set as 75%			
	more. This up			
		and		
	should have	а		

ceiling e.g. 90%		
so that institutions		
can clearly		
communicate to		
prospective		
students what is		
part time and what		
is full time.		
No mention has		
been made		
regarding the		
continuation of the		
part time Fee and		
Course Grant.		
What changes will		
be made to the		
financial support		
that is available to		
students? As fees		
are set to increase		
will WAG also		
increase the level		
of financial		
support available		
for part time		
undergraduate		
students? The PT		
Fee Grant needs		
to be		
proportionate to		
the PT Fees		
institutions may		
charge therefore		
WAG would need		
to increase the		
amount of support		
amount of ouppoint		

		currently available.				
Mary Curnock Cook UCAS	Applicants to Welsh Universities come from a wide spread of geographical locations across the UK, Europe and the rest of the world. In the interests of providing all learners with the widest range of potential HE options across the UK, it makes sense to maintain, as far as practicable, a level playing field in relation to outreach, access and widening participation activities. Therefore, although fee plans will reflect Welsh strategic priorities, it would also be desirable for the plans to take account of the principles underpinning access agreements for English institutions wishing to charge more than £6,000 per annum. In addition, it would be sensible for fee plans to build on existing institutional outreach,	Discussions about possible fee rates should be informed by evidence on the application behaviours of Welsh domiciled learners, and the behaviour of applicants applying to Welsh institutions. Wales is a net importer of students. UCAS end-of-year data for 2010 show that 30,686 applicants applied to study at Welsh institutions; of these the breakdown was: 15,927 (51.9%) Welsh domiciled 10,907 (35.5%) English domiciled 75 (0.2%) Scottish domiciled	No comment.	UCAS would wish to be consulted directly and involved in the relevant high level discussions around the process for the approval of fee plans by HEFCW, particularly any discussions about the process and timetable for the publication of tuition fees information (see question 6). We would also be willing to participate in any of the relevant workshops.	UCAS is working with the Student Loans Company to explore the scope for a single application portal which would allow learners to apply for higher education courses and student finance at the same time. This would give applicants a simpler and more efficient means to submit all of the information they need to apply to higher education and access student finance. UCAS would like to explore with the SLC and Student Finance Wales what opportunities they might be to better support Welsh domiciled students and other studying in Wales.	The consultation emphasises the importance of the new funding arrangements being in place for the 2012-13 academic year. We wish to draw attention to a number of issues around the timing of decisions and release of information on any new student finance arrangements in order to meet this challenging timetable. In many respects the entry cycle for admissions to universities and colleges in autumn 2012 is already underway. Potential applicants are starting to
	access and WP activities	1,610 (5.2%) EU-				research higher

in Wales such as other	education options
	education options
widening access 1,964 (6.4%)	and are looking for
premiums for international (non	advice from a
Communities First areas, EU)	wide range of
Reaching Wider	sources. In March
partnerships, POLAR2 Of these,	UCAS will be
participation and accepted	running
Assembly Learning Grant applicants, out of	conventions with
for eligible students. Fee a total of 25,162,	schools and
plans might also usefully were:	colleges across
include guidance on the 12,178 (48.4%)	the UK to provide
provision of high-quality, Welsh domiciled	advice on how to
consistent information 10,469 (41.6%)	apply. University
about institutions and English domiciled	open days
their course offerings. 55 (0.2%) Scottish	typically start in
domiciled	April and run
169 (0.7%) NI	through to July,
domiciled	with many
1,044 (4.1%) EU-	institutions already
other	taking bookings.
1,247 (5.0%)	
international (non	UCAS believes
EU)	that it is desirable
	that learners
Welsh domiciled	applying to UK
applicants (total	universities and
24,908) applied	colleges should
to, and were	have access to
accepted (total	the full range of
18,671) by the	study options
following	when considering
institutions:	which courses and
15,927 (63.9%)	institutions best
applicants to	meet their needs.
Welsh institutions,	Financial
12,178 (65.2%)	considerations are
accepts	important part of

	1		
8,745 (35.1%)			this decision
applicants to			making process
English			and we believe
institutions, 6,393			that it essential
(34.2%) accepts			that all applicants
226 (0.9%)			understand the
applicants to			financial
Scotland			commitments they
institutions, 92			are making before
(0.5%) accepts			submitting their
10 (less than			UCAS
0.1%) applicants			applications.
to NI institutions, 8			
(less than 0.1%)			In view of the
accepts			current uncertainty
			around course
UCAS would be			offerings and fee
happy to work			levels across the
with the Welsh			UK, UCAS is
Assembly on any			delaying the
further data			collection and
requirements.			publication of
			, course information
			which will now go
			live in May 2011.
			Applicants will be
			able to register
			with UCAS from
			June, and will be
			able to submit
			their applications
			from September
			2011. The
			deadline for
			applications for
			medicine,
			dentistry and
			achtiony and

veterinary science courses, as well as for applications to the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, is 15th October 2011. The deadline for the majority of other courses is 15th January 2012. English institutions wishing to charge tuition fees of more than £6,000 per annum will need to prepare access new agreements which will have to be approved by the Office for Fair Access (OFFA). UCAS is working with OFFA to determine when tuition fee information about courses at English institutions starting in 2012 will be available to applicants. We anticipate that this will be in early

Tudalen 73

July 2011. In the interests of fairness to Welsh domiciled applicants and to help maintain the institutional competitiveness of Welsh institutions, it would highly desirable if Welsh institutions were in a position to publish their approved tuition fees for individual courses at the same time as institutions in other parts of the This country. would require HEFCW approval of the proposed fee plans by the end of June 2011. UCAS is willing to work with the Welsh Assembly and HEFCW to deliver help whatever new student finance arrangements are agreed, in order to

						support Welsh learners and to enable Welsh universities and colleges to achieve fair, transparent and efficient admissions to higher education 2012.
Oona Stannard Catholic Education Service for England and Wales	Fee plans could reasonably make allowance for measures to charge lower or different fees for provision specifically meeting specific Welsh needs alongside For Our Future priorities. Fee planning guidance will have to have regard to part time students and their needs and further attention should be given to this and why they are part time when fee provisions are set eg where students are part time because they are carers and therefore already carrying additional burdens/helping society, could they have some extra protection in fees	level below that of England would be challenging but offer some opportunities as well as constraints. Firstly, could Wales afford to do this and would it suggest an inferior product? If Welsh higher education cannot meet the same spread of provision as England would it be divisive to have some provision that students can access more cheaply in Wales when other	Whatever scheme is designed to enable the funding to follow the student ref 6.c it should be transparent to all parties. With an identification system for students it should be possible for all parties to electronically monitor payments made and received thus easing bureaucratic burdens.	No comment	No commo	ent No comment supplied.

	structure.	to leave the				
		Country to follow				
		their study				
		pathway?				
		Conversely, would				
		a lower fee help to				
		keep Welsh talent				
		in Wales?				
John	Introductory comment	In terms of	ColegauCymru	Draft written proposals	Centralisation of the	In summary:
Graystone	NB Currently 18 FE	simplicity and	would welcome	circulated to all	processing of	The
ColegauCymru	colleges deliver HE	administrative	efforts to produce a	institutions delivering	applications along	standardisation of
	courses, enrolling around	convenience there	simple, non-	HE programmes in	the lines adopted in	franchising
	7,500 students annually.	would be	bureaucratic fee	Wales and to all	England might	arrangements
	Many of these are taking	advantage in	grant scheme for	interested	improve the access	especially in
	courses franchised from	setting a basic fee	Wales. The	stakeholders with a	of students to up-to-	relation to funding
	local higher education	rate of £6,000 in	proposed scheme	reasonable timeframe	date information	arrangements.
	institutions (HEIs). Six	line with England.	appears to be simple	for responses.	and to supporting	Consideration of
	colleges receive direct	However, in	and straight forward.	Regional meetings	bodies. Review the	differentiation of
	funding from HEFCW.	relation to the	FEIs offering HE	providing opportunities	withdrawal	basic fee rates
	FE colleges make a	widening	programmes will	for face-to face	procedures for	Separate and
	significant contribution to	participation	need to be consulted	contact and open and	undergraduates.	clear guidance on
	the future direction of	aspirations of the	as part of any future	transparent	Improve the general	funding
	higher education in	Welsh Assembly	discussions	discussions.	awareness of	arrangements for
	Wales.	Government,	designed to	Stakeholder	students regarding	part-time students.
	Around 80% of HE	some	minimise the	workshops to consider	their responsibility	Finally, there is
	students at FE colleges	consideration	administrative	arrangements for	for repaying any	misunderstanding
	attend on a part-time	might be given to	burden of new	supporting part-time	funding if they	amongst students
	basis. Most study	differentiated	arrangements for	learning in Wales as	withdraw from their	in Wales about the
	vocational/professional	basic fee rates for	funding and student	proposed by the	programme of	differences
	qualifications.	students following	finance.	Minister in his	study. LEAs	between the
	Current fee plans	HE programmes	Any change to	Foreword to the	provide excellent	different fee
	require all institutions to	in FEIs.	administration must	consultation	information and	schemes in Wales
	commit to widening	Most HE in FE	not be detrimental to	document.	advisory support	and England.
	participation targets.	provision in Wales	the cash flow of the	FEIs and employers	services to students	This could have
	Further education	is delivered under	HEI.	need to have	and institutions.	an unnecessary
	institutions (FEIs)	franchise	Consideration	adequate	Any changes in the	adverse impact on
	strongly support this	arrangements	should be given to	representation on the	administration of the	Welsh students'

place need Co the institution basis requiplan HEF Cole of the anno acce regin on institution plan HEF Cole of the anno acce regin on institution full	blegauCymru supports principle that itutions wishing to set on fees above the ic fee rate will be uired to submit fee ns for approval by FCW. In addition egauCymru is mindful the recent Ministerial ouncement that ess to the new fee me will be dependent the willingness of itutions to play a part he reconfiguration of ner education in les. is unlikely that any s offering HE in FE	between HEI and partner FEIs. There is considerable variation, within a band of some 30% - 60%, in the proportion of the fee grant retained/top-sliced by the franchising HEI. Some colleges have experienced a situation where 30% of the fee grant has been retained by the franchising HEI in addition to 30% of the funding received from HEFCW. ColegauCymru is	the direct FEIs developing scheme.	funded when the	Board. Participation of and engagement with pupils in year 12 & 13, parents, FE level 3 students and employers are important to ensure that all views are heard and represented.	scheme must continue to ensure effectiveness and efficiency.	HE aims. We would recommend a campaign to raise awareness and understanding.
		-					
		•					
.							
	-	•					
	-	-					
Wal	es.	the funding					
	wish to set tuition	ColegauCymru is					
fees	s above the basic rate	strongly of the					
fee.		view that the fee					
	y institution intending	needs to be with					
	harge above the basic	the provider and					
	fee should be	directly related to					
	uired to set out clearly	the delivery of					
		learning and the					
	tlement. This should lve clear identification	provision of front line services such					
	what students might	as development					
	ect over and above	costs and quality					
	norm for the extra	assurance. FEIs					
fee.		have established					

As noted above, 80% of a reputation for students following HE is study part-time. The fee provision of planning guidance should (evidenced in provide clear and detailed recent NSS guidance on part-time assessments specification of their assessments specification of their undertaken by the Quality Assurance In line with current Agency for Higher practices, institutions with Education). Consideration financial assistance that eads to be given twith quality, a higher realized to stated in free allowed to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price realizint/Op-silce. Tuition Fees in with quality, a higher realized to make a value judgement in frees to mean a cademic excellence and a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be such as a part to make a value judgement bigher qualification for the reasons stated in may cause people to fail as bester student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be such as the set lower than a cademic excellence and a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be such as a pro rata allowed to arree the areason stated in may cause people to fail the form of part to make a value judgement to the fee structure for part to make a value judgement to the fee structure for part to make a value judgement to the fee structure for part to make a value judgement to the fee structure for part to make a value judgement to the fee structure for part to make a value based upon perception. Institutions should be such as the provide the areas the tables.	As material shours 000/ st	a nonstation for		
programmesinFEIsstudy part-time. The feeprovisionplanning guidance should(evidenced inprovide clear and detailedrecentguidance on part-timeoutcomes and inassessmentsuudertaken by theguidance thisQuality AssuranceInline with currentpractices, institutions willAgency for Higherpractices, institutions willEducation).Considerationreadardisingthe awailable to restandardisingthe amount HEIsare allowed toreating are allowed tostudents, for example, inthe amount HEIsthe form of bursaries.Tuition Fees inPeople associate pricebe set lower thanwill be available tobe set lower thanagade that differentiation in fees to meancall for themay cause people toQ1.make a value judgementWe wouldwell the astider inguidance on theagademic excelence andare allowed toagademic excelence andare timeagademic excelence andare timeagademic excelence andare timeagademic excelence anda bettera upuity benchmarkSuidance maya upuity benchmarkSuidance mayagademic excelencesuggest that HEIsbased upon perception.undergraduateinstitutions should beuse a por ata		-		
study part-time. The fee planning guidance should guidance on part-time student fees with detailed guidance on part-time student fees with durent practices, institutions will need to set out th financial assistance that will be available to students, for example students, for example with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items on goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees tution fees to mean a abeter suition fees to mean a abeter student fees with duels. Beople to guidance on the tution fees to mean a admine student file students, for example that differentiation in fees and interpret tution fees to mean a admine secellence and a better students to mean a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be used a por part time a part time the secons that a patter student a quality benchmark based upon perception.		-		
planning guidance should provide clear and detailed guidance on part-time specification of their entitlements.[evidenced in recent NSS outcomes and in assessments assessments (Quality Assurance)In line with current practices, institutions financial assistance that of bursaries.Agency for Higher (Consideration)need to set out the med to set out the outlity AssuranceConsideration (Consideration)in the with current practices, institutions financial assistance that the available to students, for example, in the amount HEIs are allowed to People associate price retain/top-slice.will be available that differentiation in fees reasons stated in may cause people to make a value judgement academic excellence and a based upon perception.Interferentiation in fees restructure for part time undergraduate beset tower that Edifferentiation in the ser academic excellence and a guidance on the tuition fees to may suggest that HEIs a quality benchmark based upon perception.Istitutions should be use a pro rata				
provide clear and detailed guidance on part-time student fees with current in line with current practices, institutions will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries.ecent NSS outcomes and in undertaken by the Quality Assurance Education).Need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries.Consideration to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to retain/top-silce.People associate price value is placed on more expensive items or a a better student a quality benchmark a guality benchmark based upon perception.ecent NSS undertaken by the Consideration to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to retain/top-silce.With quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive a value judgement regarding the qualification a a betterVel would welcome make a value judgement undergraduate students.Mither utition fees to may a quality benchmark based upon perception.C1.Mither utitions should be use a pro rataWe suggest that HEIs suggest that HEIsAugity benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIs suggest that HEIsAugity benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIsAugity benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIs		-		
guidance on part-time student fees with clear appecification of their entitlements.outcomes and in assessments assessmentsIn line with current practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that ned to set out the astudents, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement a better student excellence and a better student between students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher trution Fees in We would welcome more goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to a bester student a better student be students for a quality benchmark guidance on the feased upon perception. Institutions should be use a pro rataoutcomes and in assessments outcomes and in assessments outcomes and in a guidance on the part time undergraduate suggest that HEIs use a pro rata				
student fees with clear specification of their entiltements.assessments undertaken by the undertaken by the Qancy for Higher Education).In line with current practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries.Agency for Higher Education).People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher a better student a better student a quality benchmark based upon perception.We would welcome may suggest that HEIs suggest that HEIs undergraduate students.a quality benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIs suggest that HEIs suggest that HEIs				
specification of their entitlements. In line with current practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees make a value judgement regarding the qualification a better student a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be use a por rata	•			
entitlements.Quality Assurance Agency for Higher practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that the form of bursaries.Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Consideration needs to be given to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to realin/top-Sile.People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more ogods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees reagening the qualification and interpret higher tuiton fees to mean a better student student scalemic excellence and a guality benchmarkQuality Assurance Agency for Higher to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to reasons stated in Wales should not welcome more guidance on the fee structure for part time a better student scalemic excellence and part time a guality benchmarkQuality Assurance Guidance may suggest that HEIs use a pro rataInstitutions should be use a pro rataQuality Assurance to standardismig to standardismig 				
In line with current practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be tuition fees to mean a guality benchmark based upon perception.				
practices, institutions will need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk England for the that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher guidance on the tuition fees to mean a better student a guality benchmark Guidance may based upon perception. Institutions should bu use a pro rata				
need to set out the financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean academic excellence and a better student. based upon perception. Institutions should be use a pro rata				
financial assistance that will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student a petter student a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be use a pro rata	practices, institutions wil	,		
will be available to students, for example, in the form of bursaries.to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to retain/top-slice.People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception.to standardising the amount HEIs are allowed to retain/top-slice.will be available to responsive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees reasons stated in Q1.Tuition fees the student welcome more goidance on the fee structure for part time undergraduate studies.a better student a quality benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIs uuse a pro rata				
students, for example, in the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be		•		
the form of bursaries. People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher tuition fees to mean academic excellence and a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be use a pro rata	will be available to			
People associate price with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark Based upon perception.retain/top-slice. Tuition Fees in Wales should not be set lower than England for the reasons stated in Q1.We would welcome more guidance on the fee structure for part undergraduate studies.We would welcome more guidance may suggest that HEIs use a pro rata				
with quality, a higher value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be	the form of bursaries.	are allowed to		
value is placed on more expensive items or goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception. Institutions should be	People associate price			
expensiveitemsor goods. There is a risk England for the reasons stated in Q1.make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a betterWewould welcome more guidance on the fee structure for parta better experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception.Wewould welcome more guidanceInstitutions should beGuidance use a pro ratamay	with quality, a higher	Tuition Fees in		
goods. There is a risk that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception.England for the reasons stated in Q1. We would welcome more guidance on the fee structure for part time undergraduate studies.a betterstudent studies.a quality benchmark based upon perception.Guidance may suggest that HEIs use a pro rata	value is placed on more	Wales should not		
that differentiation in fees may cause people to make a value judgement regarding the qualification and interpret higher tuition fees to mean a better student experience thus creating a quality benchmark based upon perception.reasons stated in Q1.undergraduate suggest that HEIs use a pro ratawelcome more guidance on the fee structure for part suggest that HEIs	expensive items or	be set lower than		
may cause people to make a value judgementQ1.make a value judgementWewouldregarding the qualificationwelcomemoreandinterprethigherguidance on thetuition fees to meanfeestructure foracademic excellence andparttimeabetterstudies.aqualitybenchmarkbased upon perception.GuidanceInstitutions should beuse a pro rata	goods. There is a risk	England for the		
make a value judgementWe wouldregarding the qualificationwelcome moreand interpret higherguidance on thetuition fees to meanfee structure foracademic excellence andparta betterstudentundergraduateexperience thus creatingstudies.a quality benchmarkGuidance maybased upon perception.suggest that HEIsInstitutions should beuse a pro rata	that differentiation in fees	reasons stated in		
regarding the qualification andwelcome more guidance on the fee structure for parttuitionfeestoacademic excellence and aparttime undergraduate studies.abetterstudent undergraduate studies.aqualitybenchmark based upon perception. Institutions should beGuidance may sa pro	may cause people to	Q1.		
andinterprethigherguidance on thetuitionfeestomeanacademicexcellenceandpartabetterstudentundergraduateexperiencethuscreatingstudies.aqualitybenchmarkGuidancebaseduponperception.suggestInstitutionsshouldbeuseaprorata	make a value judgement	We would		
tuitionfeestomeanfeestructureforacademicexcellenceandparttimeabetterstudentundergraduateexperiencethuscreatingstudies.aqualitybenchmarkGuidancebaseduponperception.suggestInstitutionsshouldbeuseaprorata	regarding the qualification	welcome more		
academic excellence and a better studentpart time undergraduatea better studentundergraduateexperience thus creatingstudies.a quality benchmarkGuidance maybased upon perception.suggest that HEIsInstitutions should beuse a pro rata	and interpret higher	guidance on the		
abetterstudentexperience thus creatingstudies.aqualitybenchmarkBased upon perception.suggest that HEIsInstitutionsshould beuseaprorata	tuition fees to mean	fee structure for		
experience thus creating studies. a quality benchmark Guidance may based upon perception. suggest that HEIs Institutions should be use a pro rata	academic excellence and	part time		
a quality benchmark Guidance may based upon perception. suggest that HEIs Institutions should be use a pro rata	a better student	undergraduate		
based upon perception. suggest that HEIs Institutions should be use a pro rata	experience thus creating	studies.		
Institutions should be use a pro rata	a quality benchmark	Guidance may		
Institutions should be use a pro rata	based upon perception.	suggest that HEIs		
allowed to agree their model when	Institutions should be			
	allowed to agree their	model when		
own fee structure to setting the part				
capitalise on areas of time fees thus	capitalise on areas of			

		Less suite a la di			I
	excellence.	lessening the			
	Higher fees and fee	differential			
	differentiation could also	between part time			
	have a negative effect on	and full time fees			
	collaboration and	whilst also placing			
	potentially damage the	a real comparative			
	widening participation	value on the			
	agenda. Therefore it	qualification.			
	would a useful strategy to	There is concern			
	ensure those who want to	that as HEIs set			
	charge higher fees have	part time fees			
	plans in place for	using a pro rata			
	widening access, as is	model the cost of			
	planned in England.	part time fees will			
		increase quite			
		substantially. A			
		£6k tuition fee			
		equates to a 10			
		credit module			
		costing £500.			
		This is a			
		significant			
		increase in the			
		current level of			
		part time fees for			
		, some bodies.			
		We suggest that			
		WAG should			
		clearly define			
		what is meant by			
		'intensity of study'.			
		Current guidance			
		refers to having			
		an upper band of			
		intensity set as			
		75% or more.			
		This upper			
L		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	

intensity band should have a ceiling e.g. 90% so that institutions can clearly communicate to prospective students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has been made
ceiling e.g. 90% so that institutions can clearly communicate to prospective students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
so that institutions can clearly communicate to prospective students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
can clearly communicate to prospective students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
communicate to prospective students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
prospective students part time and what is full time. No mention has
students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
students what is part time and what is full time. No mention has
is full time. No mention has
is full time. No mention has
been made
regarding the
continuation of the
part time fee and
course grant.
What changes will
be made to the
financial support
that is available to
students? As fees
are set to increase
will WAG also
increase the level
of financial
support available
for part time
undergraduate
students? The PT
fee grant needs to
be proportionate
to the PT fees
institutions may
charge therefore
WAG would need
to increase the
amount of support

Rebecca Williams Undeb Undeb CenedlaetholDeallwn mai ehangu mynediad a symud gwentu ni, nid gwentu ni, nid gwentu mi, nid gyflunio bydd yr amodau gyflunio bydd yr amodau gyflwrmg y Gymraeg gyfrwng y Gymraeg yr hyn sy'n lleoliadau' yn un o b bysig w sichau amoarion 'Er Mwyn e bibysig yw sichau amoarion 'Er Mwyn e bibysig gwl sichau amoarion 'Er Mwyn e bibysig golion cyfrwng Cymraeg yn cymraeg and a gael ei fyngi fel: - nifer neu garnan o gyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng cymraeg mewn isafswm o feysydd gwahanol - nifer neu garnan o gyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng Gymraeg mewn isafswm o feysydd gwahanol - nifer neu garnan o gyfrynyr w diliyn y bydd digonedd cyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng Gymraeg mewn isafswm o feysydd gwahanol cymraeg mewn isafswm o fwysydd digonedd cyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng fantais/anfantais Cymraeg mewn isafswm o fwysyd digonedd cyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng fantais/anfantais Cymraeg mewn isafswm cymraeg mewn isafswm cymraeg mewn diadau cymraeg mewn isafswm cymraeg mewn isafswm cy			currently available.				
	Williams Undeb Cenedlaethol Athrawon	mynediad a symud ymlaen gyda'r agenda rhanbartholi ac ail- gyflunio bydd yr amodau ar gyfer codi ffioedd ar lefel uwch. Mae hwyluso astudio trwy gyfrwng y Gymraeg 'mewn amrywiaeth ehangach o raglenni a lleoliadau' yn un o amcanion 'Er Mwyn Ein Dyfodol'. Awgrymwn felly ei bod hi'n briodol i wneud darpariaeth cyfrwng Cymraeg yn amod i godi ffioedd uwch. Gallai'r amod gael ei fynegi fel: - nifer neu ganran o gyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng Cymraeg, mewn isafswm o feysydd gwahanol - nifer neu ganran o fyfyrwyr sy'n dilyn cyrsiau/modiwlau cyfrwng Cymraeg Byddai'r ail ffordd o fynegi'r amod yn fanteisiol am y byddai'n	Gymru, hyd y gwelwn ni, nid yw'n gwneud gwahaniaeth am fod Llywodraeth y Cynulliad yn talu unrhyw beth dros yr hyn sy'n cyfateb â £3,375. Yr hyn sy'n bwysig yw sicrhau bod digon o fyfyrwyr o Loegr yn dod i brifysgolion Cymru er mwyn sybsideiddio'r system Addysg Uwch. Felly mae'r cwestiwn o fantais/anfantais yn dibynnu ar y cwestiwn hwn: A oes rhagdybiaeth y bydd digonedd neu brinder myfyrwyr am ddod o Loegr i brifysgolion Cymru? A oes	Dim sylw.	gweithdai/cyfarfodydd ymgynghori (torfol neu gyda mudiadau/sefydliadau unigol) yn bosib, neu ymgynghoriadau pellach ar	Dim sylw.	codi'r cwestiwn ynglŷn ag ariannu myfyrwyr o Gymru sy'n astudio tu allan i Gymru. Deallwn yr ymdeimlad o 'gyfrifoldeb i fyfyrwyr sydd fel rheol yn byw yng Nghymru', ond rhaid gofyn y cwestiwn, a rhaid ystyried yr opsiynau. Mae'n glir, o dan y cynlluniau presennol, y byddai swm sylweddol o arian Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru yn dilyn myfyrwyr o Gymru sy'n dewis astudio mewn prifysgol yn Lloegr, er enghraifft. Byddai'r swm

myfyrwyr i ddilyn cyrsiau	ychwanegol i'w	allan i Gymru, ad
cyfrwng Cymraeg, yn	denu i Gymru - ai	yn annog
ogystal â'u cynnig yn y lle	peidio?	myfyrwyr i adae
cyntaf.	, Manteision	Cymru i fynd i'
	- Gallai ddenu	brifysgol. Y
	mwy o fyfyrwyr o	tebygolrwydd yw
	Loegr a thu hwnt i	na fydd y rhar
	brifysgolion	fwyaf ohonynt yr
	Cymru	dychwelyd
	- Byddai modd	Gymru wedyn
	cael ffi sylfaenol	weithio, magu
	is, a chadw'r	teuluoedd,
	gofynion o ran	cyfrannu i'
	graddau Lefel A	economi ac ati
	ac ati yn uchel am	Byddai
	fod galw a	Llywodraeth
	chystadleuaeth	Cynulliad Cymru'r
	am lefydd	chwarae ei rhar
	Anfanteision	ym mharhad y lli
	- Gallai greu	o dalent ifano
	problemau	allan o'r wlad sydd
	ariannol i	wedi bod yr
	brifysgolion	gymaint o broblem
	Cymru, gan eu	i Gymru dros y
	gadael heb ddigon	blynyddoedd.
	o gyllid i lenwi'r	Gallwn weld dad
	bwlch ar ôl torri	dros ariannu
	(top-slice) grant	myfyrwyr sy'r
	dysgu'r	gadael Cymru ble
	Prifysgolion	nad oes cwrs a
	- Gallai ddibrisio	gael yng Nghymru
	graddau	sy'n cyfateb â'u
	prifysgolion	dewis pwnc
	Cymru o ran	milfeddygaeth yw'
	canfyddiad	enghraifft amlwg
	myfyrwyr o'u	Ond tu hwnt i'
	gwerth; gallant	pynciau

Tudalen 82

		edrych fel graddau 'rhad'				cyfyngedig iawn hynny, nid yw UCAC wedi'i ddarbwyllo o'r gwerth i Gymru – ei heconomi, na'i sector Addysg Uwch – o dalu ffioedd myfyrwyr sy'n mynd i'r brifysgol yn rhywle arall. Mae gan Gymru berffaith hawl i gynnig cymhelliad i'w myfyrwyr i astudio yng Nghymru – neu o leiaf i beidio â chynnig cymhelliad i adael.
Adam Rees NUS	Within a number of statements and speeches, the Minister for Children, Education and Lifelong Learning has spoken of his intention to link For Our Future priorities to the ability of Welsh higher education institutions to charge higher tuition fees. In particular, he has made several references to the reconfiguration agenda and to widening access. NUS Wales broadly	NUS Wales does not believe that higher education institutions have done anything to 'deserve' the automatic right to charge above the current rate, As a result, we would suggest that the basic tuition fee rate should be at the current maximum rate of £3,375 rather than at	NUS Wales believes that the administration of the tuition fee waiver / grant should be as simple and efficient as possible, in order to ensure that students, institutions and the government feel that they have full confidence in the process. It should also be as cost effective as possible, ensuring that the maximum amount of	NUS Wales understands that the Programme Delivery Board deliberately consists of delivery partners, rather than stakeholder groups. However, if institutions were to gain representation on this body through Higher Education Wales - as a stakeholder making representations on the development of his policy - then we would insist that students	No comment	NUS Wales has significant concerns about the timescale for this policy to be implemented. Although we recognise that this process has been somewhat forced by events in England, we are extremely worried that the system for regulating the ability of higher

welcomes the move to	£6,000 per	funding reaches	should also be	education
place conditions on	annum.	students and	represented through	institutions to
institutions with regards	Since the last	institutions, rather	NUS Wales.	charge higher
to their ability to charge	increase in tuition	than being spent on		tuition fees will fail
higher tuition fees. It must		administrative	membership of the	to be as robust as
not be the case the	year, we have	systems.	Programme Delivery	it should be.
institutions are	seen no evidence	If this function were	Board remains the	The tripling of the
'automatically' or 'easily'	that there has	to be administered	same, NUS Wales	maximum level of
allowed to charge fees at	been any	through the Student	'	tuition fees is a
a higher level than they	improvement in	Loan Company, then		significant change
do now. However, we	the student	steps should be	an opportunity to	to the higher
believe that this should	experience, In	taken to ensure that		education
be focussed on particular	fact, in both	students feel	student	landscape. It
For Our Future priorities	England and in	confident in this	representatives from a	completely
more than others. NUS	Wales student	function and are	variety of institutions	contradicts the
Wales also believes that	satisfaction	assured that they	across Wales; NUS	Minister's
the process associated	dropped slightly	will not encounter	Wales is happy to	statement in
with these	as the new tuition	similar problems to	facilitate such a	October that 'The
conditions and	fee regime was	those faced by	meeting.	One Wales
subsequent regulation	introduced, The	English students in	The scale of the	government does
should be robust and	National Student	receipt of	changes to higher	not believe in full-
challenging to institutions,	Survey 2010	maintenance loans	education funding and	cost or near full-
and must not be a simple	surveyed the first	during the 2009/10	the timeframe within	cost fees' and will
'tick box' exercise.	cohort of students	academic year.	which these changes	leave students /
Although the Minister has	in Wales to have		are to be determined	graduates with up
stated his intention to link	been charged		and implemented is far	to £27,000 in debt
institutions' ability to	£3,000 per year		from ideal. NUS Wales	from tuition fees
charge higher tuition fees	tuition fees. The		urges DCELLS to	alone. As
to the reconfiguration	results for 'overall		ensure maximum	previously stated,
agenda, this is not	satisfaction with		consultation with	the system for
something that NUS	the quality of the		stakeholder groups in	regulating
Wales can support. We	course' dropped		order to limit	institutions' ability
understand the Welsh	by one		opportunity for	to charge higher
Assembly Government's	percentage point		unintended	fees should not be
plan for reconfiguration,	across Wales.		consequences.	а
and have been broadly				simple tick box
supportive of its aims	not a significant			exercise. It should

	reduction in		not be 'easy' for
	statistical terms,		institutions to
	we would have		charge above the
•	expected an		basic rate, We are
	increase in		extremely are
	satisfaction in line		concerned that the
	with expectations		timescale for this
	that higher tuition		policy to be
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	fees would have		determined and
Government, through its	been channelled		implemented may
remit letter to HEFCW,	into improving the		lead to a less
has used government	student		robust system and
funding to incentivise	experience. There		we would urge
engagement with	is no evidence		DCELLS to
and delivery of	that students have		ensure that this is
government priorities	received any		not the case.
such as reconfiguration.	benefit from the		
However, NUS Wales	last increase in		
does not believe that	tuition fees, in fact		
students' money should	they have simply		
be used to deliver a	seen their		
government priority such	graduate debt		
as	increase. As a		
this. We fail to see how	result, we do not		
this strategy could be	believe that any		
adequately justified to	institution should		
graduates who could	automatically be		
leave university with	able to charge		
different amounts of debt,	above the current		
depending on their	level of £3,375.		
	NUS Wales also		
	has concerns that		
	if set at £6,000,		
	some institutions		
•	may choose to		
-	charge this basic		
	rate instead of		

		I	I	
then it is well within its	having to take			
rights to instruct HEFCW	steps to address			
to utilise public funding	the issues within			
strategically in order to	the fee plan			
achieve this	framework, such			
objective. However, we	as widening			
believe that the	access. We			
government should not	believe that any			
use tuition fees and	increase in the			
student debt as a threat	level of tuition			
or incentive for	fees should			
institutions to engage	require firm			
with this issue.	commitments to			
As the proposals for fee	widening access			
plans concern the ability	and the student			
of institutions to charge	experience. We			
increased undergraduate	believe that			
tuition fees, we believe	doubling the level			
that they should not	of tuition fees with			
include requirements	no requirement for			
based on	such			
national research	commitments			
priorities. Although we	would be			
recognise that research	detrimental to the			
can often compliment	widening access			
teaching within a	agenda,			
university, we believe that	effectively			
any action required for an	allowing			
institution to be	institutions to			
allowed to charge higher	double their fees			
tuition fees must be more	without tackling			
directly linked to access	the impact on			
to higher education and	widening access.			
to the undergraduate	This point also			
learning and teaching	applies to the			
experience, rather than	student			
the institution's research	experience. If			

profile. The proposed institutions are	
increase in tuition fees able to increase	
will leave students with tuition fees to any	
up to £27,000 of debt level above the	
from tuition fees alone. current rate, they	
The decision to triple must be able to	
tuition fees will affect demonstrate the	
students and graduates, actions they will	
and regulation must be take that will	
based on this benefit the student	
assumption. It is experience.	
therefore our opinion that	
tuition fee plans and the	
ability to charge fees	
above the current level	
must be based on two	
themes.	
Widening access –	
Research conducted in	
2010 by the Sutton Trust	
showed that significant	
numbers of students	
would be deterred from	
entering higher education	
if tuition	
fees were to be	
increased. The research1	
also showed that those	
from the poorest	
backgrounds were more	
likely to be deterred. It is	
our view that any ability	
to charge higher	
tuition fees must be	
based on institutions	
demonstrating a serious	
commitment to widening	

access, as well as			
meeting hard targets			
associated with this			
issue.			
Student experience –			
NUS Wales believes that			
if students are to pay up			
to £9,000 per year for			
higher education, then			
they should expect a			
significantly better return.			
As the			
financial burden of higher			
education is being shifted			
onto the students more			
than ever before,			
institutions must be able			
to deliver an excellent			
student experience. As			
the government is aware,			
we represent both Welsh			
domiciled and non-Welsh			
domiciled students			
studying at Welsh			
institutions. Although			
Welsh domiciled students			
will initially be protected			
from the increase in			
tuition fees, we have no			
guarantee that this policy			
will continue in the long			
term. As a result, we will			
be basing our			
representation on the			
assumption that this			
policy is a temporary			
measure that will be			

implemented within a			
more permanent			
framework of higher			
tuition fee levels. We are			
fully aware that the tuition			
fee			
waiver may not continue			
beyond a certain			
timeframe and therefore			
feel that the below			
representations are valid			
for both Welsh domiciled			
and non-Welsh domiciled			
students of			
the future. However,			
while the fee waiver			
system exists, Welsh			
domiciled students will			
have the same demands			
as their non-Welsh			
counterparts, as they			
have a choice to take			
their fees to an English			
institution, rather than a			
Welsh institution.			
Widening Access and			
Retention			
The aim of opening out			
higher education to			
groups in society that are			
not well represented			
continues to be hugely			
important. It is a matter of			
fairness and also a			
matter of leadership:			
while higher education			
cannot correct all of			

society's ills, it should			
play a central role in			
advocating for social			
change and innovating in			
practical developments to			
support that aim.			
Although fee plans have			
been used since the			
introduction of variable			
tuition fees in Wales, we			
believe that the new			
system must reflect the			
significant change to the			
funding of higher			
education. Fee plans			
should be scrutinised and			
monitored more			
vigorously, with a greater			
emphasis on outcomes			
rather than outputs. The			
focus of fee plans must			
move away from			
a simple description of			
the activities an institution			
will undertake to attempt			
to widen access. NUSW			
believes that fee plans			
should require monitoring			
of widening access			
initiatives against hard			
targets on recruitment,			
retention and			
achievement, thus			
contributing to the			
national ambition of			
increasing the number of			
students from	1		

		1	
disadvantaged			
backgrounds in Welsh			
higher education. In order			
for the process to be as			
open and transparent as			
possible, NUS Wales			
should be represented on			
the body that determines			
whether fee plans are			
acceptable. There should			
also be a requirement for			
the provider to formally			
consult the students'			
union when developing			
their fee plan. Tuition fee			
plans should be			
monitored regularly to			
ensure that a provider is			
meeting its targets. We			
would suggest that there			
is annual monitoring of			
the fee plans and			
associated targets, and			
therefore an annual			
review of whether an			
institution should be			
allowed to charge above			
the basic tuition fee level.			
As stated previously, we			
believe that the ability to			
charge higher tuition fees			
should be			
dependent on an			
institutions' commitment			
to widening access and			
their ability to deliver on			
associated targets. It is			

	r	[
also crucial that providers			
are judged on admissions			
and retention			
of people from			
disadvantaged			
backgrounds, not simply			
on applications. NUS			
Wales believes that these			
targets should be			
ambitious. Any institution			
which does not show			
adequate ambition should			
be refused the ability to			
charger higher tuition			
fees. We also believe that			
those with further to			
travel must do more –			
those institutions who			
have failed to adequately			
deliver on widening			
access in the past should			
demonstrate a strong			
commitment to changing			
their behaviour and			
delivering suitable			
outcomes. NUS Wales			
believes that there is little			
point in having a fee plan			
system if it becomes a			
simple 'tick-box' exercise.			
We believe that the			
government and HEFCW			
should make it clear that			
an institution's ability to			
charge higher tuition fees			
will be withdrawn if the			
institution does not meet			

the widening access			
targets outlined in their			
fee plan. However,			
institutions must not be			
allowed to set feeble			
targets in order to			
mitigate the risk of failing			
to achieve them.			
NUS Wales has concerns			
that the short timescale			
for implementing this			
policy could lead to a less			
rigorous system being			
introduced. The lack of			
time should not be an			
excuse for			
allowing a weak fee plan			
system to exist. Students			
are going to be charged			
up to triple the amount of			
tuition fees than those			
within the current system,			
and any fee plan system			
must			
reflect the severity and			
significance of this			
change.			
As well as monitoring and			
responding to widening			
access targets,			
institutions should also			
show a commitment to			
providing academic,			
financial and welfare			
support for students. It is			
essential that students,			
who could be paying up			

to £9,000 per year, have			
access to high quality			
academic and welfare			
support services on			
campus. There can no			
longer be any			
excuses for allowing			
students to drop out of			
higher education due to			
lack of academic and			
welfare support. As			
students build up over			
£27,000 in tuition fee			
debt, institutions have a			
duty to make every effort			
to ensure that a student			
can complete their			
chosen course and			
achieve success.			
Institutions must			
demonstrate a			
commitment to investing			
in these services, rather			
than cutting student			
support, as we have seen			
in institutions across			
Wales in recent times.			
Research has shown that			
those from the poorest			
backgrounds are more			
likely to be deterred by			
higher tuition fees.			
Maintenance Grants have			
been frozen, yet cost of			
living has increased, and			
many students' unions			
have reported that halls			

of residence fees are			
often more expensive			
than the total			
maintenance loan on			
offer to students. In light			
of tuition fee debt			
potentially tripling, many			
students may take on			
extra part time jobs in			
order to reduce their total			
debt on graduation. In			
addition to this, the			
Financial Contingency			
Fund in higher education			
has been cut by 60%,			
preventing many students			
from accessing much			
needed hardship funding			
during their time at			
university. The UK			
government has			
announced a National			
Scholarship Scheme to			
support widening access.			
NUS Wales believes that			
the Welsh Assembly			
Government should also			
look to allocate funding			
towards supporting			
access from			
disadvantaged			
backgrounds. Although			
the bursary system			
associated with the			
introduction of variable			
tuition fees had many			
flaws, we believe that			

institutions should also allocate resources from tuition fee income to financially support students from disadvantaged and non- traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not demonstrate an adequate
tuition fee income to financially support students from disadvantaged and non- traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
financially support students from disadvantaged and non- traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
students from disadvantaged and non- traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
disadvantaged and non- traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
traditional backgrounds. We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
We believe that institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
institutions should demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
demonstrate within their tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
tuition fee plans how they are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
are going to provide academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
academic, financial and welfare support for students. If they do not
welfare support for students. If they do not
students. If they do not
students. If they do not
demonstrate an adequate
commitment to funding
student support, they
should not have the
ability to charge higher
tuition fees.
Student Experience
Under the new tuition fee
regimes, where students
will be charged up to
£9,000 per year NUSW
believes that institutions
should be required to
produce accurate and
detailed information for
potential and current
students, as well as
taking significant steps to
ensure an excellent
student experience. This
should be detailed within
fee plans and any failure

to deliver on this should			
mean that the institution			
concerned is not allowed			
to charge higher tuition			
fees.			
Centrality of the student			
voice			
The new funding			
arrangements mean that			
the principal source of			
funding for higher			
education becomes the			
individual, who studies a			
course and then pays			
back the costs of			
providing that course			
over time. This must			
imply a far more powerful			
role for the voice of			
students within providers,			
influencing the way that			
learning and teaching			
takes place and how			
other services are			
provided. The starting			
principle for the sector			
must be to ensure that			
students' unions are well			
funded and supported by			
their institution. In recent			
years we have seen			
students' union 'block			
grants' being cut and the			
ability of students' unions			
to provide representation			
and advice for students			
being seriously			

		1	1	
compromised by their				
institution's decision to				
reduce students' union				
funding. Student officers				
must have the financial				
support they need to				
provide effective student				
representation, especially				
as students begin to pay				
up to £9,000 per year in				
tuition fees. Institutions				
must show a commitment				
to adequately funding				
their students' unions.				
Any failure to do this				
should lead to the ability				
of the institution to charge				
higher tuition fees being				
withdrawn. Students				
should be well				
represented at every				
level of the institution and				
there should be at least				
two student				
representatives on the				
institution's governing				
body. The introduction of				
the 'Annual Statements',				
as outlined in the QAA				
Institutional Review				
handbook, should				
become funded by				
institutions and				
developed to ensure that				
students' unions have the				
resources to research				
and analyse aspects of				

the student	experience.		
In particular,	there should		
be greater co	mmitment to		
improving	assessment		
and feedback	k. Fee plans		
should demo	onstrate the		
steps provide	rs are taking		
to fund and	support the		
students' u	union and		
engage with	the student		
voice at every	y level of the		
institution. inf	formation for		
students and	d applicants		
	ew market		
landscape the	ere must be		
a huge push			
information f	or students.		
The Nation	al Student		
Survey has			
hugely impor			
monitoring	student		
satisfaction;	it should		
continue	and be		
extended.	Some		
additional w			
underway ir			
with the dev			
the Key Info			
(KIS), which			
comparable			
about all pro			
England. N			
	nat Welsh		
institutions s			
to provide in			
at least the sa			
English prov	viders. The		

	1	n1	1	
Key Information Set				
should include details of				
contact time and an				
indication of which types				
of staff will be involved.				
Prospectuses used to be				
dull and detailed; they are				
now glossy brochures,				
which can be very useful				
in some ways but are				
largely useless for really				
understanding the				
structure, content or				
methods used on a given				
programme. We propose				
that full programme				
specifications and course				
outlines should be				
available for every				
programme offered, and				
provided accessibly				
through organisations'				
websites. No programme				
should be advertised				
without detailed				
information. Lack of				
information about the				
learning schedule				
(especially the timetable)				
can present a significant				
barrier to part-time				
students and to those				
with caring				
responsibilities. We				
believe that for every				
programme offered, a				
provisional timetable				

should be published for			
the first term or semester			
at the point the			
application round opens.			
We also believe that			
comprehensive induction			
plans should exist for all			
new students. Future			
earnings should not be			
the primary driver for			
people to study in higher			
education, but they are			
important and accurate			
data should be available			
to applicants. A graduate			
earnings report for each			
higher education			
organisation, and for			
different subject groups			
should be released,			
derived from the			
repayment profiles of			
former students who are			
repaying their loans. This			
would be a huge advance			
on sampled earnings			
research at six months			
post completion. Higher			
education providers			
should be required to			
write to all its registered			
students each year with a			
full breakdown of their			
expenditure apportioned			
in relation to income from			
their fees. This would			
ensure transparency			

about how student fee			
income is actually spent			
and becomes of very			
great importance in an			
environment where fee			
income makes up the			
bulk of higher education			
resources. NUS Wales			
believes that regulations			
should be issued to			
organisations with			
regards to what charges			
additional to the main fee			
are permissible and			
impermissible. For			
example, it may specify			
that increases to			
accommodation costs be			
held to a certain level,			
that bench fees in			
science subjects be			
blocked, or even that			
every student be given a			
minimum number of			
printing credits included			
in the main fee. In an			
environment where			
students are paying up to			
£9,000 per year in tuition			
fees, NUS Wales			
believes that there should			
be no 'hidden costs of			
study' in higher			
education. Institutions			
who wish to charge			
above the basic level of			
tuition fees should state			

in their fee plans that they			
will not charge students			
any additional mandatory			
course costs such as:			
□ Fees;			
□ Materials for art			
degrees, such as			
canvasses, paints, clays,			
textiles, metals, etc;			
□ Materials to support			
health courses, eg,			
stethoscopes, optometry			
lens sets, lab coats;			
□ Outdoor equipment, eg,			
waterproof clothing;			
hiking boots, waterproof			
notebooks, hard			
hats, sports equipment;			
□ Learning resources,			
including books, journals,			
photocopying etc;			
Criminal Records			
Bureau (CRB) checks/			
Independent			
Safeguarding Authority			
registration;			
□ Study visits/field			
courses: outdoor field			
courses, museums,			
events, galleries,			
theatres, film festivals,			
youth and community			
projects, construction or			
engineering sites,			
prisons;			
□ Conferences and			
registration;			

□ Costs of additional			
facilities, eg, studio fees,			
laboratories, darkrooms,			
workshops;			
□ Printing, and binding			
costs			
□ Health checks,			
vaccinations for fitness to			
practice, study or travel;			
□ Work placement costs			
□ Coaching awards.			
At the very least, any			
institution wishing to			
charge above the current			
tuition fee of £3,375			
should be required to			
detail all additional costs			
of study on their			
websites, at both			
institutional and course			
levels.			
Student Charters			
As students start to pay			
up to £9,000 per year,			
there will be increased			
pressure for HEIs to be			
clear about the student			
experience that they			
provide. We believe that			
the time is right for all			
HEIs and Students'			
Unions to review the top			
level information and			
commitments which they			
provide to students – as			
, detailed in Student			
Charters and similar			

agreements. By investing time now, they will help current students to make the most of their time in higher education and also begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for HEIs to establish clear
they will help current students to make the most of their time in higher education and also begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
students to make the most of their time in higher education and also begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
most of their time in higher education and also begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
higher education and also begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
begin to establish mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
mechanisms for updating and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
and refreshing their charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
charters on a regular basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
basis. In short, we consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
consider that, if charters are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
are: kept up to date through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
through regular review, jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
jointly owned by the HEI and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
and the Students' Union, written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
written concisely with clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
clear links to detailed information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
information, clearly communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
communicated to all staff and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
and all students, then they can be: important communication tools for
they can be: important communication tools for
communication tools for
HEIs to establish clear
mutual expectations, help
monitor the student
experience and how
relationships are working.
NUS Wales believes that
such a process should be
a requirement set out in
the fee plans of
institutions wishing to
charge above the basic
tuition fee level. Student
charters should be short,
clear statements - of

student rights and			
responsibilities - so			
students know broadly			
what they should be able			
to expect, what is			
required of them, and			
what to do if things do not			
meet expected			
standards. There should			
be clarity and consistency			
throughout the institution,			
across all subject areas.			
Student charters should			
provide a focus for			
regular engagement and			
review with student			
representatives – to			
consider alongside other			
feedback from students			
and internal quality			
assurance and			
management information.			
We believe that student			
charters should be based			
on the following			
principles:			
□ This is a joint venture			
with the students' union –			
must involve students			
and student reps at			
outset.			
□ Partnership working			
must continue after			
development - with joint			
monitoring and review.			
□ Review regularly – at			
least annually - with SU			

	1		
and use other evidence			
and feedback e.g.			
student surveys.			
□ Senior staff buy in at			
strategic committee – e.g.			
Learning and Teaching –			
and Vice Chancellor to			
sign off (together with			
students' union			
president).			
□ Communication and			
dissemination needs			
careful thought – to reach			
all students (and staff)			
□ For all staff - engage			
and involve all student			
facing staff			
□ For all students –			
ensure that it covers both			
undergraduate and			
postgraduate (and is also			
relevant			
□ Main focus is current			
students – for induction			
and during their time in			
higher education			
□ Be clear on purpose -			
charter is a front page –			
which links included to			
more detailed information			
in university regulations			
and course handbooks.			
□ Be clear what a charter			
is not – not a detailed			
personal agreement or			
contract.			
□ A charter also			

			I
com	municates the ethos		
of th	e institution		
	nd emphasises that		
stud	ents need to work in		
parti	nership with		
acad	demic staff (and other		
stud	ents)		
Con	clusion		
As	previously stated,		
NUS	S Wales believes that		
	introduction of a		
£9,0	00 cap on tuition fees		
	the greatest impact		
	students. As a result,		
	ability to charge		
	ve the current level		
	t be based on an		
	tution's commitment		
	videning access and		
	student experience.		
	plans must be		
	ist; they must require		
	l, ambitious targets;		
	most importantly they		
mus			
	tinised and monitored		
	nsure that the ability		
	charge above the		
	ent level is not 'easily'		
or	'automatically'		
	rded to		
insti	tutions in Wales.		

Tudalen 109

Tudalen 110

ANNEX 2 (ii) – consultation on the proposed system for part time higher education funding – including student finance for 2012/13

Contents

Introduction and overview	1
The consultation process	1
Consultation feedback and Welsh Government comments	1
Annex A: Stakeholder responses to the consultation questions	5

Annex B: Full list of respondents 13

Introduction and overview

The Welsh Assembly Government issued a consultation paper on proposals to be made to the fee regime and student support system for part-time study in 2012/13 and were announced **by the Minister for Education and Skills on 21 June 2011**.

On 4 November 2011, having considered the consultation responses, the Minister announced his intention to postpone implementation of a revised system for part-time higher education tuition fees and student support until academic year 2013/14.

The consultation process

The consultation on the proposed changes to higher education part-time fees and student support was launched on 5 September 2011 and was open for responses until 3 October 2011. The consultation was aimed specifically at stakeholders, but was also published on the Welsh Government consultation web page, making it available to the wider public. The consultation **invited comment on some key questions (as listed in Annex A).**

A total of 9 questions were set out in a proforma-style document within the consultation, and an online form was provided for ease of email return. The broad principles of the policy had already been agreed, meaning questions were specifically related to the finer details of the proposed changes and their implementation.

Consultation feedback and Welsh Government comments

Statistical analysis of consultation responses – summary

Total number of responses:	32	
r	responses	per cent
Higher education institutions (HEIs) and bodies Further education institutions (FEIs) and bodies	11 8	34 25

Other organisations	7	22
Local authorities	2	6
NUS and student bodies	2	6
Members of the public	1	3
Third Sector organisations	1	3

Figures have been rounded to the nearest per cent, as such the sum of the constituent parts may not add to 100%.

A synopsis of the key issues identified by respondents is outlined below, grouped by all the stakeholder responses to the questions as laid out in the consultation document. Annex B provides a list of respondents for reference and excludes those who requested their response should remain confidential. Due to the delay in implementing the changes and the further ongoing analysis being done, the responses will not be published with this summary. On completion of the analysis, which is expected to be around Spring 2012, copies of non-confidential responses received in their original format and language will be available on request.

Some comments were outside the scope of the consultation, and although every effort was made to link these responses to the key themes of the consultation, this was not always possible. However, the essence of all such comments have already, or will be fully considered. The consultation document and response proforma adopted for this consultation can be found in the Education and Skills section (closed consultations) on the Welsh Government's website at: www.wales.gov.uk/consultations. We would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone who responded to the consultation for their contribution.

Main themes arising out of the responses received

The overriding common theme was a request to delay the implementation of the changes until academic year (AY) 2013/14 instead of AY 2012/13 as originally proposed. However, there was also general agreement with the aims and objectives proposed in the consultation, especially with giving part- time study closer parity with full-time. Points for development and action were provided by many respondents. The delay to implementation of the changes was announced **by the Minister for Education and Skills on 4 November 2011. The full statement can be found at:**

http://wales.gov.uk/about/cabinet/cabinetstatements/2011/21juneparttime/?lang=en.

Below are the key themes arising from the responses to each of the consultation questions. More detail of the responses under each question can be found at Annex A. Although this document does not contain specific Welsh Government responses to the views provided at each of the consultation questions, the statement made by **Minister for Education and Skills covers** most of the major issues and concerns raised in the responses received. A list of the stakeholders providing responses (excluding those who expressed confidentiality) can be found at Annex B.

Key themes

• Importance of parity of part-time study with full-time.

- Timing of change.
- Retention of credit based funding system.
- Desire to maintain flexibility of study patterns.
- Recognition of need for fee plans to justify higher charges.
- Recognition of complex nature of part time study.
- Need for clear communication of changes.
- Need to reconsider approach for private providers.

Main points – summary of comments

Importance of parity of part-time study with full-time

- Respondents welcomed greater parity with full-time study and stated that the proposed part-time fee charging arrangements, including the setting of basic and higher amounts was to be commended and welcomed.
- Concerns were expressed about the proposal to link the part-time tuition fee grant with a requirement to take out a fee loan. Respondents suggested that this arrangement should be reconsidered and brought in line with the policy for full-time study.
- Part-time students will enter compulsory repayment after their third year of study if their income is above £21,000, even if they are still studying respondents regarded this as a potential deterrent to applicants.

Timing of change

• The majority of respondents strongly advised delaying implementation until academic year 2013/14 to allow for further consideration of the changes and their impact.

Retention of credit-based funding system

- Respondents overwhelmingly wanted to retain a credit-based funding system, they viewed this as the fairest way to reflect a student's changing pattern of study.
- The majority of respondents considered that a pro-rata basis of fee charging based on credits and intensity of study across all modes of part-time study was the fairest way forward.

Desire to maintain flexibility of study patterns

- Several respondents indicated that they would like the Welsh Government or HEFCW to provide a clearer definition of part-time study.
- The majority of respondents considered that intensity of study over 75 per cent of full-time and below 120 credits should be treated as part-time study for the purpose of student support and fee controls.

Recognition of need for fee plans to justify higher charges

- The majority of respondents agreed that all institutions providing higher education courses should be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time provision when setting fees above the basic amount.
- There were differences of opinion between further and higher institutions on the level (basic fee) at which fee plans would be required. Further education institutions preferred institutional flexibility around the fee level whereas higher education institutions prefer to have basic and the maximum fees that can be charged aligned to the full-time fee arrangements.
- Respondents considered that linking part-time fee planning requirements to For Our Future¹ priorities would help contribute to achieving its objectives.

Need for clear communication of changes

- Respondents indicated that there should be clearer communication of entitlement to part-time tuition fee loans and grants for those who have already undertaken higher education study.
- Respondents suggested that there should be a clear strategic communications plan in place to fully inform stakeholders and students of the part-time study changes in 2012/13 (or 2013/14 if implementation of the changes was to be delayed).
- Stakeholders requested that consideration be given to HEI and FEI representation on the Programme Delivery Board to help facilitate improved communication and understanding of implications for institutions.

Concern over possible changes in demand

- The majority of respondents envisaged the potential for a marked decrease in part-time study as a result of the introduction of higher fees combined with the current trend of the reduction in take up of provision.
- Respondents had mixed views on the question of capping student numbers, believing higher fees would themselves help to limit numbers.
- Respondents questioned whether any financial arrangements are to be put in place for those undertaking courses at an intensity of less than 25 per cent of full-time study and queried whether an increase in bursary awards may help to overcome this issue.

Need to reconsider approach for private providers

• Public money being used to finance tuition fee loans at private providers was seen almost universally as going in the wrong direction.

Stakeholder responses to the consultation questions

Key questions

The main proposals announced by the Minister for Education and Skills on the 21 June, both in relation to the part-time higher education fees and student support have been welcomed by the National Assembly for Wales. Therefore, the consultation paper did not invite comment on those broad principles. There remained, however, some key questions in relation to implementation of these proposals on which it was helpful to have views from stakeholders and delivery partners.

Part-time tuition fees

Q1. What should be the basic amount for part-time tuition fees, above which fee plans would be required from institutions wishing to charge a higher amount? Are there any advantages or disadvantages associated with establishing the basic fee amount at a fixed value for all part-time courses or varying the basic amount according to the course intensity?

Summary of responses

Most respondents welcomed the introduction of greater parity with full-time study and considered that the arrangements proposed for part-time fee charging and setting of the basic and higher amounts was to be commended. However some considered there may be risks associated with the proposals such as higher fees reducing demand for and take up of part-time courses, as well as administration costs to institutions, especially if a *variable* basic fee were to be introduced.

Some further education (FE) colleges considered that a variable basic fee instead of a fixed one would give them more flexibility. Higher education (HE) institutions considered that a fixed basic fee was fairer with some stating it should match the full-time amount thereby allowing them to maximise income.

The majority of respondents considered that a pro-rata basis of fee charging based on credits and intensity of study across all modes of part-time study was the fairest way forward. This would allow institutional flexibility, take account of differences between part-time and full-time study modes and limit the cost to students.

Most respondents agreed that whatever the fee caps were, systems were needed to ensure that fees could not be charged above the cap (i.e. the higher amount). Some FE colleges considered that higher fees could have detrimental effects on their part-time student intake and that flexibility on fee charging was crucial. The impact of higher fees on employer contributions was also a concern of several respondents.

Several institutions and other organisations provided differing pro-rata and fee setting options and indicated that some specific specialised provision might need to have fees set at higher levels to reflect the full cost of course delivery. Part-time fee plans (or combined with full-time fee plans) were seen as a crucial control measure and a way to direct institutional resources. Some FE colleges considered that their pricing of courses is already based on the real costs of delivery. Several institutions felt linking part-time fee planning to *For Our Future*¹ would help towards achieving its objectives.

Some concern was noted over the requirement for part-time students to take out a fee loan to access the tuition fee grant, which is not the case with full-time study.

Q2. Should institutions charging above the basic fee amount for part-time courses be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time courses? Are there any specific issues which should be taken into account in respect of the fee planning guidance to be issued to HEFCW for part-time provision?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents agreed that all institutions providing part-time higher education courses should be subject to the same fee planning requirements as for full-time provision when setting fees above the basic amount. Respondents suggested that fee plans needed to be adjusted to fully take account of the differing nature of part-time modes of study across the sector. This would address the "widening access" agenda, student support arrangements and strategic priorities such as *For Our Future* and the National Student Survey².

It was suggested that if fee plans were merged for all modes of study, more detail would be required to show how institutions are addressing or meeting government priorities/objectives. Several respondents indicated that institutions should strengthen pastoral and financial support provided to students, as this may increase retention rates. The provision of such support should, in their view recognise the differences between part-time and full-time study.

Several respondents raised concerns over potential employer reaction to higher part-time fees and saw this as a significant risk to part-time vocational provision (an important part of the sector) that needs to be carefully considered.

Several respondents considered that the proposed timescales (2012/13) to introduce higher fees for part-time study were insufficient to allow the changes for full-time students to be properly assimilated and communicated to students. Most considered that implementation should be delayed for one full academic year to 2013/14. Early and specific communication to all students was seen as crucial.

Most respondents considered that account needs to be taken that similar services with similar costs are provided to both part-time and full-time students. However, to reduce administrative burdens and to allow flexibility to reflect the differing and complex needs of part-time students, fee plans should be written in a more targeted way for these students.

Q3. The Welsh Government proposes to make fee support available to eligible part-time students studying at an intensity of between 25 per cent and

¹ For Our Future – The 21st Century Higher Education Strategy and Plan for Wales' is the higher

education strategy and plan for Wales. Welsh Assembly Government, 2008.

² National Student Survey. Unistats.Directgov, 2011.

75 per cent of the full-time equivalent. Should students studying between 75 per cent and 99 per cent intensity be treated as part-time students for the purposes of the student support (fee grant and loans) and fee capping legislation?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents considered that intensity of study over 75 per cent of full-time and below 120 credits should be treated as part-time study as now. This was, however, not a universal view. Full-time study was seen by most respondents as 120+ credits per annum. The limited numbers of students studying over 75 per cent of full-time intensity should be subject to the same conditions as those studying between 25 and 75 per cent of full-time intensity, especially if in one academic year, they reduce or increase their intensity of study. Some respondents raised concerns about institutions not allowing students to study over 75 per cent of full-time intensity when fees are raised and reported that this currently happens at some HE institutions.

The credit based system equivalences (e.g. 90 credits = 75 per cent of full-time intensity, etc.) should be retained, with some respondents suggesting other calculations as alternatives, which could help reflect a student's changing pattern of study. Some respondents pointed out the potential complications for the support system in trying to differentiate between modes of part-time and full-time study between 75 and up to 99 per cent of full-time intensity. Some respondents raised significant concerns about the lack of financial support to be provided to students undertaking less than 25 per cent intensity of full-time study and questioned the future funding arrangements for this intensity of study.

Q4. In view of the way in which the intensity of part-time study is negotiated between the student and their institution would the proposed method for determining pro-rata fee to be charged best work on:

- a. a credit basis where the full-time equivalent study is defined as being 120 credits per year;
- b. a banding basis where rates are set for 25 per cent, 50 per cent and 75 per cent study intensity; or
- c. some other means of defining course intensity? e.g. on the basis of the number of years required to complete the course:

number of years of full-time course

- x 100 = percentage course intensity number of years of part-time course³

³ Subject to a maximum of 16 years to complete the course.

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents reported option (a) as their preferred choice as credits are currently used by institutions, would have less disruptive consequences to internal systems and would provide institutions with the greatest flexibility.

Several respondents provided advantages and disadvantages for each option.

Two respondents indicated that they would like to see a move to option (b) – that is banding.

Financial support

Q5. We intend to introduce support arrangements for part-time undergraduates which are similar to those for full-time undergraduates including access to a loan and a fee grant to cover the up-front costs of tuition fees for part-time courses between 25 per cent and 75 per cent intensity of a full-time course. Will these arrangements encourage applications for part-time study?

Summary of responses

Some respondents considered these proposals would assist the *widening access* agenda but many felt that charging higher fees would deter prospective part-time students from undertaking part-time HE with the potential effect being greatest on the least well off, most marginalised and socially excluded students. Many respondents felt those considering undertaking *bite sized* modules with an intensity of less than 25 per cent of full-time study would potentially be affected the most. Several respondents raised concerns about this issue, especially if the HEFCW teaching grant is, in future, no longer available.

Respondents noted that institutions have already experienced reductions in the number of students undertaking part-time study and even with no upfront fees and the availability of grants/loans, the *price sensitive* or *debt adverse* student might be put off. Again, the potential impact on employer contributions for part-time study was cited as a concern, as was the potential impact on both up-skilling and re-skilling opportunities. Concerns were also raised over current HEFCW funding for these types of courses and other short course provision. Respondents wanted reassurance or clarity over the levels of funding provided by HEFCW for this course provision when fee levels are raised.

Many respondents felt, some strongly, that the proposal to start loan repayments after thee years of part-time study would have a detrimental effect on students. Although this proposal is based on the conditions already established for full-time study where the student usually completes their studies after 3 years, respondents stated it seemed to them to be unfair to apply the same repayment timescales to part-time study. Several respondents suggested some other method of repayment would be preferential, such as loan repayments starting when a student's course ends or when they have graduated and are earning over the £21,000 threshold. Several respondents were strongly opposed to the requirement to take out a fee

loan to access the part-time tuition fee grant on the basis that this requirement would reduce the parity with full-time students.

Respondents considered that the communications strategy to publicise the changes to the part-time fees and support arrangements, needed to be carefully considered and managed. They stated that an appropriately targeted strategy should help correct any misconceptions students may have surrounding higher fees and the nature of the support package available.

In terms of whether the new arrangements would encourage applications for part-time study, concerns were raised by, in the main, institutions providing courses to students who have had previous financial support. Under previous study rules such students would not be entitled to further support and consequently an impact of higher fees may be a reduction in the number of applications from students seeking to up-skill or change careers. Stakeholders considered that many such students currently benefit from *fee waivers* supported by HEFCW funding and if this funding were to be removed it would have a significant affect on them. Many respondents again suggested delaying the implementation of the part-time changes until 2013/14 to provide more time to consider the potential implications arising from the shift in funding to student support and also to take account of the experience in England in 2012.

Several respondents raised concerns over the lack of current financial support for students undertaking Equivalent or Lower Qualifications (ELQs) and that this may be exacerbated with the introduction of higher part-time fees.

Q6. We propose to make a tuition fee loan available for part-time students studying designated courses at private providers. The arrangements proposed for full-time students will provide for a maximum fee loan of £6,000. What do you consider should be the maximum loan available for part-time students?

Summary of responses

The majority of respondents had concerns about extending these support arrangements to private providers. Respondents considered that provision of increased loans could be seen as providing a subsidy to institutions which are not subject to the same requirements to meet *For Our Future* priorities as publicly-funded institutions. If fee loans are provided to part-time students to attend private institutions, they should be required to provide a part-time fee plan to explicitly outline how they will target *widening access*, equality of opportunity and other stated Welsh Government priorities.

On the whole it was considered that this approach could be damaging for higher education, academia and the student experience in Wales, that it might lead to an influx of private HE provision and that it runs counter to the Welsh Government's reconfiguration agenda. In summary, stakeholders put forward the view that the provision of public funding to enable students to study at private institutions represents a significant risk to the Welsh Government and to the higher education budget.

There was some support for matching the same pro rata levels of fee loans as for full-time study as is the case in England. However, if loans are to be made available to students studying at private institutions then stakeholders considered that they should be subject to the same regulatory and accountability requirements as publicly-funded institutions. Additionally, respondents considered that fee plans should be a requirement for private institutions charging fees above the pro-rata basic amount for part-time study.

Q7. In order to ensure that the policy is sustainable in the long term, we intend to control the number of under-graduate students eligible for part time support. What system and processes do you feel would be the most effective way of implementing a control on part-time student numbers in 2012/13?

Summary of responses

Many of the respondents considered that there is currently insufficient data available to assess whether part-time student numbers need to be capped in the future. Experience may demonstrate that there will be an overall reduction in student numbers if higher fees are introduced, creating a lower demand for part-time provision.

The introduction of penalties for over-recruitment and might help to limit numbers, although some priority courses would need to be exempted. Such provision could include employer sponsored courses, NHS bursary, work based learning or European Social Fund sponsored Foundation Degree courses.

Some respondents pointed out that one of the objectives of *For Our Future* is to *increase* the numbers of part-time students by 2012/13 and the opportunities to study part-time, which is at odds with capping numbers or provision.

If capping were to be introduced, as the consultation question suggests, respondents provided a range of possible flexible options. These included credits capping (favoured by most respondents), capping full-time equivalent (FTE) values, limiting Welsh domicile numbers at Welsh institutions or postponing implementation for one year (2013/14) along with ring-fenced funding in the interim. Concerns were raised that capping student numbers could result in reduced course or module provision.

Most respondents acknowledged the need for fiscal restraint in the current financial climate, but at the same time noted the need to increase take up of provision from its current level. Reducing the cost of part-time provision was seen by many colleges as a way forward.

Overall respondents considered that the changes to part-time fees and student support could lead to a much reduced take up of part-time HE provision. This could be exacerbated if employer contributions are significantly affected and the current trend towards lower demand continues. Some respondents indicated that some course provision could also be lost over time if a cap on numbers were to be introduced, unless careful consideration is given as to how this could be achieved.

Q8. Do you foresee any operational difficulties with student finance in relation to the changes we propose?

Summary of responses

Most respondents could foresee a number of operational difficulties with implementing these proposals. These included:

- the timescales are too tight and need to be delayed until 2013/14;
- the unpredictability of the part-time sector, the market and students;
- staff understanding the changes;
- implementation and operation of the proposals by the local authorities and the Student Loans Company systems;
- the interface with employer and bursary sponsorship;
- support arrangements for specific groups of students, for example, students with disabilities, carers and lone parents;
- transfers between modes of study;
- the arrangements for students with previous study or who are seeking to study equivalent or lower qualifications; and
- institutional planning assumptions.

It was suggested that these and other issues will require further and more detailed engagement with the sector in order for implementation to be successful. The communications strategy for prospective students needs to be sufficiently robust to dispel misconceptions about higher fees for part-time study. A multi-agency approach is needed to fully consider implementation of these proposals.

Respondents considered that the views of employers will also be important in respect of students taking vocational courses and those on continuing professional development courses. This was seen as a key component of the changes and potential impacts. Changes in circumstances are more prevalent to part-time students and systems need to be strengthened and made robust for the sector to capture them.

Respondents stated that more consideration should be given to the issue of fee loan repayments especially if implementation is delayed until 2013/14. Several respondents re-iterated their concerns about loan repayments being required 3 years after students commence their courses.

Q9. We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use this space to report them.

Summary of responses

These comments were miscellaneous in nature, and some fell outside of the scope of this exercise, but are listed here for completeness.

- Should costs be related to the amount of teaching or contact the student has with the institution?
- Representation from higher education institutions on the Programme Delivery Board is desirable.
- Will there be any protection for high cost, Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) or Welsh medium subjects?
- Consideration of the impact of the proposed changes across different communities within Wales needs to be further explored.
- The effect on cross border flows of students needs to be considered or researched.
- A quick response to respondent calls for a delay to implementation would be desirable.
- Consideration of unintended consequences as a result of these proposals.
- The effect of the proposed changes on the different types of part-time student should be considered.
- The sector seeks a clearer definition of part-time study.
- Clarification on the eligibility of those taking resits, part-time and full-time study mixed modes.
- The overall effect on teaching budgets once they are reduced to take into account new fee loans/grants clarification on how this funding will be prioritised.
- Will the fee levels be subject to inflationary annual increases?

Full list of respondents

Respondents to the consultation (excepting those not consenting to publication):

Higher education institutions (HEIs) and bodies

Aberystwyth University Cardiff University Glyndwr University National Association of Student Money Advisers (NASMA) Open University in Wales Swansea University University of Wales, Newport

Further education institutions (FEIs) and bodies

Coleg Llandrillo Colleges Wales/Colegau Cymru Deeside College Merthyr Tydfil College Pembrokeshire College Wales Evangelical School of Theology

Other organisations

Catholic Education Service for England and Wales (CESEW) NIACE Dysgu Cymru UALL Cymru

Local authorities

Cardiff Council (Cardiff/Newport Student Support Team) Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council

NUS and student bodies

National Union of Students (NUS) Wales Open University Students Association (OUSA) in Wales

Members of the public

Mrs Doyle, private individual

Eitem 4

The submissions of Winston Roddick CB QC on a separate Welsh jurisdiction. June 2012

Introduction

1. I congratulate the Committee on its decision to conduct this very timely inquiry into a matter of considerable importance to the people of Wales. I am grateful to the committee for inviting my submissions. You have asked me to provide a brief description of my involvement with the subject. I regularly broadcast on radio and TV in Wales and England on matters to do with the constitution of the UK, and Wales in particular, and about the administration of justice. I do so in Welsh and in English. My practice at the Bar is in the field of public and constitutional law. I have lectured extensively on constitutional matters including the Freedom of Information Act and the Government of Wales Acts 1998 and 2006. I have delivered addresses (as the Counsel General) in Dublin, Cork, Belfast, USA and Canada about the UK's changing constitution and that of Wales in particular. I addressed the Conference of the "Presidents of the Supreme Courts of the Member States of the EU and their Attorneys General" on this last subject in 2000. In 2008, I addressed the Franco-British lawyers Society Colloquium at Oxford on Wales's constitutional changes, delivered the Ninth Annual Lecture of the Centre for Welsh Legal Affairs on the subject of "The Development of Devolution and Legal Wales"¹ and the Lloyd George Memorial Lecture on the subject of "Devolving Justice" (previous speakers have included Roy Jenkins and Shirley Williams) In April of this year I addressed the London Glamorgan Society on the Changing Shape of Britain

Summary

2. This submission defines the expressions "separate Welsh jurisdictions" and "administration of justice", summarises the constitutional and other arguments in favour of establishing a separate Welsh jurisdiction and the principal arguments against doing so and then focuses on the potential benefits to Wales, the barriers and the costs and the practical implications for the professions of devolving the function. My conclusion is that there is a sound case for creating the jurisdiction. References in this submission to the Act are to the Government of Wales Act 2006.

The four specific questions within the terms of reference

The meaning of the term "separate Welsh Jurisdiction" (the first question) 3. As we are here concerned with the jurisdiction of the National Assembly for Wales (the Assembly) and not that of a court of law or a nation, the modified Oxford Dictionary definition² would be 'the territory or sphere of activity over which the legal authority of the Assembly extends'. As its territorial extent is defined by the Act that element of the definition requires no further definition. This inquiry is not concerned with **Wales as a jurisdiction**. It is concerned with the **Assembly having jurisdiction**. Assuming that to be correct, the second paragraph of Mr Melding's letter of 9 December 2011 inviting submissions makes clear that the central question with which this inquiry is concerned is

¹ I attach my address to the Centre for Welsh Legal Affairs the paragraphs of which I have numbered to facilitate cross referencing.

² See footnote 2 on page 2 of the scoping paper

whether the Assembly should have authority or, more simply, responsibility over the administration of justice in Wales. In other words, should the administration of justice in Wales become a devolved function. That being the central question, "jurisdiction" simply means responsibility for the administration of justice in Wales. That is the sense in which I use the expression in this submission and the sense in which I used it in the passage quoted at page 4 of the scoping paper. If that function were to be devolved, Wales through its Assembly would have jurisdiction over the administration of justice just as it has jurisdiction over health matters and environmental matters today and the administration of justice in Wales would thereby cease to be part of a unified system with England.

4. I include in the expression "administration of justice" the Crown Court, the High Court, the criminal and civil divisions of the Court of Appeal, the Prosecution Service, all Tribunals, the Magistrates Courts Service, the prison service, the Civil Service responsible for the administration of justice in Wales, and the police service. I also include the authority to appoint judges subject, however, to the supervision of an independent judicial appointments commission.³.

5. After the referendum, the Assembly's legislative competence (or 'fields of responsibilities' as they were called), is to be ascertained by reference to section 108 and Schedule 7 Part 1. The Assembly may legislate in relation to the subjects listed under any of the headings in Part 1 of that Schedule. By section 109 (1), further headings may be added to that list by Order in Council⁴. The administration of justice in Wales is not a subject listed under any of the headings in part 1 of Schedule 7. That function is vested in Ministers of the Crown⁵. By section 58 and Schedule 3 Part 1 these may be transferred by Order in Council to Welsh Minsters. So the mechanism for devolving jurisdiction to the Assembly for the administration of justice in Wales is by a transfer of the function by Order in Council under section 58 and for the Assembly's legislative competence to be enhanced by Order in Council under section 109 (1). The property, rights and liabilities of the Ministers of the Crown from whom the functions are transferred under section 58 to the Welsh Ministers will vest in the latter⁶.

6. That concludes my submission on the definition of 'jurisdiction' and 'administration of justice' and how the function may be transferred to the Assembly.

The second question in the terms of reference

7. Although this question is specifically concerned with the three elements of the potential benefits, barriers and costs of devolving the jurisdiction to the Assembly I take it to be concerned also with the arguments for and against the

³ This definition is wider than that adopted in the response of the Wales Council of judges

⁴ See Explanatory Notes at para 411

⁵ The Minster of Justice (who administers the function through HMCTS), the Home Secretary and other Minsters of the Crown respectively

⁶ See section 88 and schedule 4

devolving of this responsibility (these being relevant to the assessment of the benefits). I deal with potential or perceived barriers in paragraphs 20, 21 and 23 below. I have taken as my background to the opinions I express in this part of my submissions the significant constitutional changes which the first Blair Government introduced to the UK generally and Wales in particular and the effects of these changes on the administration of justice and the practice and the teaching of law in Wales. I have described these in some detail in my address to the Centre for Welsh Legal Affairs, in particular at paragraphs 9 to 13, 31 to 41 (pages 3-5 and11-15).

8. The arguments I have previously advanced in favour of devolving the function are quoted on page 4 of the committee's scoping paper. I would also adopt the arguments advanced in the submissions of the Legal Wales Standing Committee.

9. I come then to other arguments in favour.

10. In its consideration of the effects of further legislative powers for the Assembly upon the administration of justice in Wales, the All Wales Convention was primarily concerned with whether responsibility for administering justice was necessary as a precondition for operating part 4 of the Act. Its conclusion was that it was not but it also made the following findings based upon a very broad consultation. The emphases in the passages quoted below are mine.

- The evidence showed that "the people of Wales support for and acceptance of devolution is solid. Our polling results showed 72% favour the present devolution **or more**"⁷.
- "There was a general feeling that the differences in the settlements of Scotland and Northern Ireland on the one hand and Wales on the other are unfair.⁸ One member of the public stated "Wales should have an Assembly with powers comparable to Scotland and Northern Ireland. There is no reason for us to have a weaker form of devolution".
- Having noted the developments I refer to in paragraph 7 above, it stated that "Yet there is scope for **more change**".⁹
- "As devolution progresses, more laws applicable only to Wales are created"¹⁰
- "The legal community in Wales was aware of the need to adapt to devolution"¹¹

⁷ See its executive summary at paragraph xxii

⁸ Para 3.8.6

⁹ See the report at paragraph 3.9.13. In the context of these findings, for "scope" read "need"?

¹⁰ Para 3.9.4

¹¹ Para 3.9.6

- "Public servants should sufficiently understand the legal and constitutional framework within which they are working"¹²
- The changes in the administration of justice and the practice of the law in Wales since devolution were the products of initiatives by individuals rather than part of a coherent response to devolution¹³.
- Although Wales for the purposes of administration of justice was part of the England Wales combined jurisdiction "the system is London-centric, and Wales has tended to be treated as part of England" ¹⁴
- "Devolution has brought opportunities to the legal profession in Wales, ... Capacity and skills need to be built up so that opportunities can be exploited. New avenues of work are opening up and there is no shortage of talent available in Wales and outside"
- "Having considered all the evidence, we conclude that there is a growing concept of Wales having more of its own legal personality. Certainly it needs appropriate legal institutions and systems to support the progress of devolution and the developing legislative competence of the National Assembly for Wales. A legal check is needed on the activity of both legislature and executive, preferably with adjudications and remedies more available in Wales".

11. That last reference to recognising the needs of Wales to have its own legal institutions resonates with the words of Lord Bingham of Cornhill, the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, as he then was, who said on the occasion of the opening of the Mercantile Court in Cardiff

"This court represents the long overdue recognition of the need for the Principality of Wales to have its own indigenous institutions operating locally and meeting the needs of its citizens here."

12. As to costs of further devolution, whenever there is discussion about enhancing the Assembly's powers, concern is expressed about the costs of doing so especially in these times of economic difficulties. That was the experience of the All Wales Convention¹⁵ but when it looked in detail at the cost of giving the Assembly the enhanced legislative powers contained in Part 4 of the Act it concluded that the extra cost was largely neutralised by savings.

"... evidence suggests that while costs are an important dimension, in particular for the public's perceptions, the likely impact of a move to Part 4 would be, broadly speaking, financially neutral"¹⁶

¹² Para 3.9.8 The inference being they are 'devolution blind'

¹³ Para 3.9.10 See also the submissions of the Legal Wales Standing Committee for further arguments about piecemeal development and devolution by evolution.

¹⁴ Para 3.9.11

¹⁵ Para 3.2.1

¹⁶ See its summary at para 3.2.9

13. The Convention made clear findings as to the economic impact on Wales of its emerging jurisdiction over the administration of justice in Wales¹⁷. These were

- Legal services in Wales represent a significant contribution to economic activity, **vital** to the economic and social development of the nation¹⁸
- A strong legal profession is needed to service the developments in the administration of justice which have occurred in the wake of devolution
- A growing number of lawyers in Wales are specialising in 'Welsh' public law
- There is a need for growth in the number of lawyers in Wales to cope with the changing demands and there is a corresponding need for growth in the educational and training opportunities to ensure that the young lawyers of Wales "have the essential skills necessary to service [Wales'] 21st century economy"¹⁹
- There is a real and pressing need in the public sector for more young people trained as lawyers in the new devolved fields of responsibilities.
- "To date, the needs of the profession in Wales are not fully met. There remains a skills deficiency, particularly in commercial activity and the complex, high value specialist work Meeting these requirements is important for devolution, **economic transformation**, and developing a modern profession, tailored to the needs of the modern Wales"²⁰

14. It is remarkable, is it not, that in this period of severe economic austerity when central government is being criticised for failing to identify opportunities for growth in the economy, Wales is identifying demand and opportunities for growth and career opportuni essential to the Nation's well being. In my opinion, these economic impact arguments would assume far greater force if the question were should the assembly be given responsibility for the administration of justice in Wales rather than that this development be left to evolve over time.

15. The expression 'Legal Wales' has become part of Wales' everyday language since devolution. It simply means the development of the legal institutions in Wales in a way that is consistent with devolution. As the findings of the All Wales Convention show, there is considerable support for its further development across all the legal 'constituencies' of Wales. Andrew Davies, the former Economics Minster in the Welsh Government was convinced that the economic advantages of its development could be very significant.

16. Devolving the function of administering justice to the Assembly would not create an upheaval. It could be seamless, cost very little, result in substantial savings, boost the Welsh economy and provide significant career opportunities. All the necessary experiences and qualifications in the administration of justice are already present. It would require very little additional, if any, new office space and what it would require would be reflected in the saving of office space and expenses in England. As devolving responsibility for administering justice as I

¹⁷ See paras, 3.9.4 to 3.9.10

¹⁸ Paras 3.9.4 See also paragraph

¹⁹ See para 3.9.8 per Jane Williams of Swansea University

²⁰ Para 3.9.10

defined that expression earlier²¹ would not require further primary legislation²² there would be no need to find time for it in Westminster's long legislative queue.

17. The way the administration of justice is structured and run in Wales could be so arranged as to make a very significant contribution to the Welsh economy. I am not aware what the position is in the changed economic climate of this period but until recently legal services (apart from administration of justice) in Wales contributed 1% to Wales' GDP. Agriculture contributes a little more (about 0.5% more) but there is considerable scope for increasing the contribution of the former. There is therefore much more than just a constitutional case for devolving this function. Amongst the advantages it would bring to Wales are

- the administration of justice in Wales and its institutions would become closer to the people of Wales;
- the organisation within Wales of court and tribunal sittings in Wales is likely to add to the efficiency of those bodies and to the prompt disposal of work;
- the economic benefits which flow from the existence of a legal system in society would become available within Wales. For example, employment in support industries, the generation of feeearning work in related professions, construction of new courts and offices to manage the system from Wales.
- the existence of legal institutions within Wales would create work and career structures not presently available in Wales.
- the development of expertise amongst the legal profession in Wales.
- access to the courts in Wales by solicitors, barristers and other eligible advocates would not become restricted²³

Arguments against devolving the responsibility

18. A number of substantial arguments have been advanced against devolving responsibility for the administration of justice to the Assembly. I would refer the committee to those arguments which are summarised in the submission of the Legal Wales Standing Committee and I adopt its responses to those arguments

19. There are two other contrary arguments I should like to deal with. These are set out on page 5 of the committee's scoping paper. The paper draws from the report of the All Welsh Convention "a general consensus that a separate jurisdiction is not required at this time". That interpretation of the report's findings is mistaken. The consensus it found was that devolving justice is not necessary to "support a move to give the National Assembly for Wales's powers to pass Acts under Part 4 of the 2006 Act"²⁴. That it is not necessary for that purpose is quite obvious and I have not heard or seen any argument to the contrary. The basic purpose of the All Welsh Convention was to increase understanding of how the National Assembly worked at that time and to ask the

²¹ See paragraph 4 above

 $^{^{22}}$ See paragraph 5 above and the statutory provisions there referred to.

²³ See the answers to questions [X] and [Y] below

²⁴ See the All Wales Convention Report at para 3.9.18

people of Wales what they thought about the Assembly having increased legislative powers²⁵. It had, therefore, just two broad roles, - to prepare the ground for a possible referendum on full law-making powers for the assembly (majority support for which would bring Part 4 of the Act into force) and to explain the then system of powers available to the assembly. It explained that the question about full law making powers was limited to the 20 fields of responsibilities already devolved²⁶. It was not concerned with the devolution of further functions and fields of responsibilities to the Assembly (eg the administration of justice in Wales) save only if they were necessary for activating Part 4.

20. The other arguments on page 5 of the scoping paper are those of The Rt Hon Jack Straw MP QC. His "strong advice" was against any move to devolve this function. In support of this advice he said that there were overwhelming arguments against such a move. He said that it was likely to create enormous practical implications. He did not specify what the arguments were nor what the those implications were likely to be other than by postulating four questions to which he did not provide any answers. The four questions were

- Would decisions of the English courts be merely persuasive in Welsh courts rather than binding
- Would a separate legal profession need to develop, with its own systems of professional regulation
- Could Welsh judgements be enforced against English defendants, or Welsh proceedings served in England.

In the second paragraph quoted from his address he advances the argument that the administration of justice in Wales should be allowed to evolve. - devolution by evolution

21. With respect to Mr Straw, these arguments so called are hardly persuasive. The 'binding' nature of high court decisions on lower courts is based mainly on the accepted authority of the higher courts over lower courts and I cannot imagine the lower courts treating the decisions of higher courts, whether they are in England or Wales as having any less authority than they have at the present time. As for the authority of the Court of Appeal over the High Court, the argument assumes that the High Court of Wales would not treat decisions of the Court of Appeal in England as binding. Why should the Assembly wish to legislate to that effect when to do so adds uncertainty to the laws of Wales? The answer to his third question is ves. If England and Wales were to become separate jurisdictions in the sense which I have understood that expression, PIL (Public International Law) rules would be introduced probably based on the PIL rules applicable to cases arising between the three legal jurisdictions of the UK which are foreign to each other for these purposes. There are well worked out mechanisms within existing rules to deal with Mr. Straw's third question. I have addressed the second question in paragraph 23 below. As for the arguments in his second paragraph, I would respectfully adopt what the Standing Committee of Legal Wales states about the devolution by evolution argument.

²⁵ See the report at para 1.1.6

²⁶ See its executive summary

The third question in the terms of reference

22. This is concerned with the practical implications for the legal profession and the public. So far as the Welsh public are concerned, the benefits are overwhelmingly positive. The quality of justice will not be diluted one bit. The rule of law would remain the strongest of our constitutional principles. Justice would be no less accessible than it is at present and is likely to become more accessible. Our judges would be chosen from the same pool as they are chosen at present. The separation of powers would be as stringent as is it is today. The judiciary would be no less independent than they are today. Justice and its administration would become closer to the people for whom the laws and our courts exist and the economic benefits for Wales would be substantial. See also paragraphs 7 and 13 to 17 above

23. As for the implications for the professions and their members, the form of the question especially its use of the words "separate" might cause them (especially those outside Wales and those not as familiar with devolution and what the inquiry is really about as others are) to wonder what exactly the Assembly has in mind if responsibility for administration of justice were to be devolved to it. The word "separate" might cause them to think that the Assembly has in mind a measure of separation from or discrimination against those who do not live or practice habitually in Wales. If that were the impression they obtain, it would be a consequence of the form of the question rather that what the committee really has in mind. The professions have nothing to fear from devolution. It creates more and not less opportunities. The regulation of the professions, including matters involving competence and control, is a matter for the professions and the statutory regulators and not of the ministries I described in paragraph 5 above. The regulators and the judges and to a lesser extent the professions have authority over rights of audience. These are not functions that are under consideration for devolving to the Assembly. Whether the administration of justice is devolved or not, the need for advocates who are familiar with the differences in the substance of the laws applicable to Wales and, in some more limited circumstances, the ability to represent clients through the medium of the Welsh language, will be precisely the same whether the function is devolved or not. The regulators will stipulate what the basic competencies of advocates should be. This inquiry is about the machinery by which justice is administered and not about how the professions are to be regulated or about the substance of our laws.

Winston Roddick QC

7th June 2012

Ninth Annual Lecture of the Centre for Welsh Legal Affairs

28 November 2008

The development of devolution and Legal Wales

1. Vice Chancellor, distinguished guests, ladies and gentlemen. I am grateful to you Vice Chancellor for your very generous introduction. It is a particular pleasure for me to be participating in so important an event in the University's calendar. I felt honoured to receive Ann Sherlock's letter in May of this year inviting me to deliver this prestigious annual lecture. My active association with Aberystwyth University goes back to the mid nineties when I was instructed to appear on its behalf before His Honour Judge Roderick Evans QC as he then was. I am not at liberty to tell you what the matter was about nor to name names but I can say that right and virtue were definitely on our side.

2. As the Vice chancellor has said, I was made an Honorary Fellow of the University in 1999. I wondered if that honour was because of the modesty of the fee I received for representing the University in the case I just mentioned but I suspect it was more to do with the fact that by 1999 I had been appointed Counsel General to the National Assembly for Wales.

3. In that post, I consolidated my relationship with the university. My close friend of many years, Lord Elystan Morgan, was then its President and the immensely talented Professor Derek Llwyd Morgan was the Vice Chancellor. It was here at the hall of residence on Penglais Hill that I launched what became known as Legal Wales. I invited each of the University of Wales' Law Schools to meet with me here at Aberystwyth so that I might explain to them what my own thoughts were about the potential impact of devolution upon the practice and the teaching of the law in Wales. I was concerned to create a corporate awareness of the important opportunity which devolution presented for reawakening Wales' distinct identity in matters of law including the teaching and the practice of the law and the administration of justice.

Professor Derek Llwyd Morgan chaired the meeting. Professor Iwan Davies of Swansea has since described it as a meeting of seminal importance.

4. In 2002, I was invited to be one of the University's Vice Presidents and I continue to hold that position. In that role, I have been drawn further and further into the life and business of the university.

5. It was Ann Sherlock who suggested the title to this year's lecture. She very diplomatically made it clear that the choice was of course entirely mine but I did not think that a title which brought together the development of devolution and Legal Wales could be improved on. I thought it a very appropriate subject to look at at this time.

6. What I propose to do is to outline very briefly where we have reached in the process of devolution and its effect on Legal Wales and then to look more closely at the future.

7. My thesis is really quite simple. I have three principal points. The first is that a third devolution settlement is almost inevitable. I qualify the inevitability of it in that way simply to acknowledge that the world might come to an end in the meantime. Subject to that very remote possibility, my view is that a third devolution settlement is bound to come about. The second point is that the third devolution settlement will devolve full legislative responsibility. What else might be the purpose of a third settlement if it is not to take Wales to full devolution? And my third point is that the next devolution settlement is almost certainly bound to have a very substantial impact on the administration of justice in Wales. Those three points come together in the title of this lecture 'The Development of Devolution and Legal Wales' and I congratulate Ann Sherlock on her suggestion.

8. Let me begin therefore by looking at where we have reached.

9. In May 1998, the constitution of the United Kingdom changed for ever, and it was changed in very fundamental respects by the process of devolution. The instrument of change in Wales was the Government of Wales Act 1998. There was a second devolution settlement in 2006. As a result of this process Wales' constitutional status has changed and despite its limited legislative competence its laws are becoming increasingly different from those of the remainder of the United Kingdom. Wales is a bilingual nation. It is a bilingual jurisdiction. Many of its laws are in bilingual form. Court proceedings, Jury and non-jury, are regularly conducted in Welsh or bilingually.

10. The constitution of the UK is to be found in a patchwork of Acts of Parliament, in the common law and the customs and conventions of our constitution and it can be changed without constraint or formality other than what is involved in making or in changing any of its other laws. With that degree of flexibility in our constitution, you would have expected changes to have been frequent but, on the contrary, they have been very infrequent. Such changes as have occurred have done so in distinct periods of reform of which the closing years of the 20th century will rank amongst the most significant.

11. The devolution settlements which created a Parliament for Scotland, and Assemblies for Northern Ireland and Wales, each of which, to different extent, having powers to exercise legislative and executive functions previously exercised by the Westminster Parliament, brought about fundamental change but they were not the only fundamental changes to the British Constitution which took place during the closing two years of the twentieth century. Other significant changes were the Human Rights Act 1998 by which the European Convention on Human Rights became incorporated into the domestic law of the UK; Freedom of Information Act 2000 which aims to be make government more open and less secretive; the reform of the House of Lords, which aims to reduce the number of hereditary peers as members of the second chamber and the reforms in our system of voting which have been

introduced for elections to some of our democratic institutions such as the Assemblies and the European parliament. There have been other Acts which have introduced far reaching changes, e.g. the Data Protection Act and the Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000.

12. Devolution was therefore but a part of a much wider process of change in the relationships between Westminster and each of the other home countries; between the state and the citizen and between citizen and citizen. These changes flow from a greater sense of understanding, of respect, recognition and tolerance of the differences which mark us out as different nations within the United Kingdom and as different individuals with different interests and aspirations and out of recognition of the importance of the individual. In introducing these and other changes, the Labour Government of 1997 shook the structures of our constitution. Professors Jowell and Oliver have described the changes as hammer blows to our established constitutional principles (The Changing Constitution 4th ed page 16). In his address to the 2007 Legal Wales Symposium, "Devolution in Wales: The Challenges Ahead", Professor Sir David Williams said that the Welsh devolution settlements had brought about an astonishing burst of constitutionalism.

13. Not only has the extent of these changes to our constitution been remarkable; the rapidity of them has been astonishing. The British constitution is in a near fluid state at this time. Professors Jowell and Oliver wrote the first edition of 'The changing constitution' in 1985. In the following 22 years there were a further five editions, almost one every four years. This, they say, provides an insight into the evolutionary constitutional developments in the UK over the 22 years that straddle the 20th and 21st centuries. Constitutional principles which had become established for a century "have come under pressure as constitutional arrangements in the UK respond to changing political, economic, social and international circumstances and to changing conceptions of the values and institutions which should support a modern constitutional democracy" and in a later passage they say "that even an established democracy needs constantly to be reviewed and renewed".

The reasons which drove devolution

14. It is important to remind ourselves of the reasons which drove the devolution settlements of 1998 because those same reasons continue to exert pressure for yet another devolution settlement for Wales. There were many of them. Some lie deep in the history of the United Kingdom and in the constitutional relationship between England and each of the other three home countries. Cultural and institutional differences between England on the one hand and the other home countries on the other were another reason. Linguistic differences between Wales and England were a factor. The geographical distances between London on the one hand and the other three home countries on the other and the consequent feeling of remoteness from the decision-making process were other strong reasons. The simplest and perhaps one of the most cogent reasons which drove devolution was our desire to play a greater part in running our own affairs. But perhaps the strongest reason of all lies in the quality of democracy itself. It is this last reason which is presently exerting the strongest pressure for further change in Wales. The unitary system which had been in place for a number of centuries was perceived as no longer capable of performing effectively or meeting the demands of democracy of the latter half of the 20th century not to mention those of the 21st century7. Those were the forces which drove devolution and they continue today to exert pressure for yet further changes here in Wales.

15. In what direction are these forces pulling us today? Reinforced as they now are by the perceived shortcomings of the 1998 and 2006 devolution settlements, I believe the direction to be that of yet a third devolution settlement – one which will devolve to the Assembly full legislative competence – what Ron Davies calls 'real devolution'. That then is the prediction.

16. I shall return in a moment to the question of what else might 'full devolution' entail as well as legislative responsibility and also to the question what might be the

impact of legislative responsibility on legal Wales but first I would like to look at the soundness of that prediction

Is the prediction of a third settlement sound or not?

17. The Government of Wales Acts of 1998 and 2006 Acts created settlements which are far too complex and they discriminate unacceptably and unnecessarily against Wales. Dealing with the first of those points, - the complexity of the settlements - the 1998 Act created a cumbersome and complex model of government by failing to separate the legislative side of the Assembly from the Government side of it and by creating a system of empowering the Government and its Ministers and their civil servants through a system of delegations. That system meant it was for the Assembly Members to decide whether governmental powers would be delegated to ministers and civil servants and upon what conditions, if any, the delegation should be made. It was a cumbersome system and one which placed a very real fetter on the ability of the Assembly Government and its Ministers to govern and civil servants to administer. The 2006 Act in what can be described as the "AMs By-Pass" removed that particular complexity but at the same time reduced the influence of AMs. The Welsh Assembly Government now derives its authority directly from Westminster. These were substantial improvements over the previous settlement but the process by which the Assembly makes secondary legislation remains as complex as ever and the process for making Assembly Measures (primary legislation), a power given to the Assembly by the 2006 Act, is unprecedented and very complex.

 In his address to the 2007 Legal Wales Symposium (op cit), Professor Sir David Williams said

> "The Government of Wales Act 2006 has many important and workable provisions but its avoidance of a clear-cut move towards what Kilbrandon described as "legislative devolution" is a recipe for continuing irritation and frustration"

That irritation is already manifesting itself and I believe it is likely not only to continue until the 2006 settlement is replaced by a less complex settlement which

devolves full legislative competence but also that the irritation with Westminster and with the Welsh Affairs Committee in particular will intensify. Let me explain why I am of that view.

19. The NAW (Legislative Competence) (Social Welfare & Other Fields) Order 2008 has very recently been through Parliament. This Order, which relates to safeguarding and promoting the well-being of children and young people in Wales, will confer legislative competence on the National Assembly for Wales under Section 95 of the Government of Wales Act 2006. The Order in Council process created by the 2006 Act provides an enhanced mechanism to enable the Assembly to achieve its legislative priorities. The order is subject to affirmative resolution in both Houses and to the approval of the National Assembly. This is a measure which is sought by the Welsh Assembly Government. The case for it has been through the Assembly's democratic process. It is the will of the people of Wales that the Order be made.

20. This is what the Welsh Affairs Committee had to say when it came to examine the Order

"The purpose of this Committee's inquiry was to examine the scope and appropriateness of the proposed Order under the Government of Wales Act 2006. We considered whether the proposed Order is in the spirit and scope of the devolution settlement; the extent to which there is a demand for legislation which might follow the adoption of the proposed Order; and whether the use of the Legislative Competence order in Council procedure is more appropriate in this instance than, for example, the use of framework powers in a Westminster Bill."

21. With great respect to the members of the Committee, that approach to the question of the competence of the National Assembly to be granted these powers or indeed any powers was entirely misconceived. The three questions which they asked themselves were of doubtful validity legally, constitutionally and politically and I can quite understand the irritation expressed in Cardiff.

22. I could not possibly improve on what Lord Prys Davies said in the course of the debate on the order in the Grand Committee on the 12th of this month:

"I want to concentrate on one paragraph in the Fifth Report of sessions of the Welsh Affairs Select Committee. I concentrate on that paragraph because this Order will set precedents and hurdles for the future. My concern is that paragraph 10 of the report sets out the principles which guide the committee in its examination of the Order. The first question is whether the Order is within the spirit and scope of the devolution settlement. Secondly, whether there is a demand for the legislation that will follow the Order, and thirdly, whether the LCO (Legislative Competence Order) is more appropriate than the use of framework powers in a Westminster Bill.....I am troubled by the criteria, on the spirit and scope of the devolution settlement. I have been re-reading the Second Reading debate on the Government of Wales Bill in 2006."

23. Lord Prys Davies went on to point out that the phrase about the spirit and scope of the settlement was nowhere defined – he could have added that it was nowhere used – and he reminded their Lordships that the phrase actually used in the debate of 2006 was this "the provisions represent a development of the current settlement" (official Report, Commons 9/06; col32). He made the point which had been made earlier in the debate by Lord Elystan Morgan – that for the past 15 years Welsh devolution has been seen as a process – a dynamic process – and he added these very important words:

"I hope we are not abandoning the vision of a process or development".

24. As for the third criteria, whether there is a demand for the legislation and whether the LCO is the most appropriate procedure, he pointed out that there was no reference whatever to those criteria in the debates on the 2006 Bill. After describing these third criteria as "novel and brand new" he made the point – and in my view it is the weightiest point of all - that those two matters are for the judgment and initiative of

the Assembly. I cited the reaction to the WAC's approach to the competence of the National Assembly as an example of irritation, but it might also serve as a significant instance of conflict between Westminster and Cardiff – of which I am sure there is more to come.

25. Another very recent but more general example of irritation was that expressed last week by Tomorrow's Wales in its representation to the All Wales Convention through the Archbishop Dr Barry Morgan – a person not known for his revolutionary thoughts. Its criticism of the present settlement was that it was deficient in principle and in practice. And the third example, again very recent, is the irritation expressed from within the Assembly at WAC's recommendation to deny WAG's request for powers to limit the right of council tenants to purchase council properties.

26. Moving from irritation and conflict I come then to examine the strength of the case for a devolution settlement which devolves full legislative competence to Wales? What is its strength? It was considered and recommended by the Royal Commission on the Constitution in 1973. It was considered and recommended by the Richard Commission in 2002. Those two Commissions were publicly appointed bodies and representative of all the main political parties of the time. Their conclusions and recommendations were evidence based. That there are over 30 years between the one report and the other and that they came to similar conclusions and made similar recommendations shows the consistency and enduring soundness of the case. How often you might ask does the case need to be made out.

27. Further evidence of the likelihood of a third devolution settlement within the foreseeable future and of its scope is the agreement of last year made between Labour and Plaid at the Assembly

"to proceed to a successful outcome of a referendum for full law-making powers as soon as practicable at or before the end of the Assembly term". 28. The ultimate test of the strength of the case and of its democratic legitimacy is therefore to be a referendum and, of course, I accept that it is the democratic strength of the case which has to be made out. Is there anyone present who thinks that those who favoured devolution in 1979 and those who favoured it in 1997 will have changed their minds? Is there anyone present who does not believe that there is by today a stronger majority of opinion in Wales in favour full legislative devolution? Is there today a political party in our National Assembly which would speak against it? The prospect of a different party in power at Westminster from that in power at the Assembly is no longer the threat to further constitutional changes in Wales it has been held out to be. True, the Conservative Party under Margaret Thatcher and John Major was opposed to any kind of constitutional change but as Professor Brazier states in the third edition of his book "Constitutional Reform. Reshaping the British Constitutional System" (page 6)

"The Conservative Party has however adjusted its views following its electoral rout by the Labour Party at election after election since 1997 ... in some respects ... the Conservatives have become more radical than labour in their constitutional reform policy"

In that passage, Professor Brazier was referring to the Conservative Party at Westminster but could any fair minded observer of the Welsh Conservative Party claim that it is anything other than strongly committed to the Assembly and to devolved government? Is the Conservative Party at Westminster any more likely to put the brake on further devolution for Wales than the Welsh Labour MPs at Westminster? What do the examples I cited earlier as to WAC approach to the interpretation and application of the present settlements tell us on this issue? Does a referendum pose a threat? I think not. That is not to say we should take it for granted. The Convention under the chairmanship of Sir Emyr Jones Parry has a very important role to play in creating a debate and in persuading a wider cross-section of the people of Wales to engage in it. It is this debate which will lay the ground for a successful outcome to the referendum. The decision of the people must be made on an informed basis. The Convention can provide that basis.

29. Full legislative responsibility would bring about consistency between the constitutions of Scotland, N Ireland and Wales. It would make for simpler, better and more effective governance not only of Wales but of the United Kingdom. The present settlement demeans Wales. The case for a better settlement is a just one. For these various reasons, I am convinced that the prediction that Wales will have a further devolution settlement in the near future and that it will confer full legislative powers on the Assembly is a sound prediction.

Devolution by evolution

30. The last point I should like to address in considering the case for a third settlement is whether devolution can now be left to evolve without the need for a further devolution settlement. It is true that as a result of the devolution settlement of 1998, some non devolved functions affecting Wales that had hitherto been exercised only in England came to be exercised in Wales. This has been especially so in the field of administration of justice as is demonstrated by the examples which I shall provide in the second part of this address. It should not be thought, however, that the present settlement could develop through an evolutionary process not involving primary legislation from Westminster into 'real devolution' or that somehow this evolutionary process could lead eventually to jurisdictional devolution. Plainly, it could not. Such evolutionary changes as have occurred were described by the Richard Commission as "ad hoc, piecemeal development, on a case by case basis, not founded upon any agreed general policy or informed by any clear set of devolutionary principles" (Report at chapter 14 para 17).

Legal Wales

31. I come then to the other limb of the title to the address, namely Legal Wales. What might be the impact of real devolution on Legal Wales including the administration of justice in Wales? Again, I begin by asking - where have we reached so far? Significant changes to the legal landscape have already taken place in the wake of the present devolution settlements.

Administration of Justice

32. Although the administration of justice is not a devolved responsibility, it too has been the subject of significant developments in Wales in the wake of devolution.

33. The introduction to the Wales & Chester Circuit directory, published in the year 2000 contains the following passage,

"Between AD 48 and 79, the Roman armies conducted several campaigns into Wales, constructing roads, forts and settlements along the way. Chester emerged as the centre of authority in North Wales ... a position which it has preserved ever since"

34. In 2007, that position changed when the Government brought the annexation of North Wales to Chester for the purpose of administration of justice to an end by establishing Her Majesty's Court Services Wales (HMCS Wales). The administration of justice in Wales is now administered on an all Wales basis. The title 'HMCS Wales' acknowledged Wales' status as a nation. Until 2007, the courts of Cheshire, including, Chester were part of this circuit. They are now part of the Northern Circuit.

35. This has not been the only change to Wales's legal landscape since 1998. We now have a Mercantile Court for Wales. The Court of Appeal, Civil and Criminal Division, now sit here regularly; most judicial review cases involving decisions of Welsh public authorities including the National Assembly for Wales are heard in Wales; it is likely that there will soon be an Administrative Court for Wales sitting here permanently; The Employment Appeals Tribunal now sits regularly in Wales. We already had a Chancery Court by 1998.

36. As recently as last month, there was established the Association of the Judges of Wales which will be an association of District Judges, and judges of the Circuit Bench, High Court, Court of Appeal and House of Lords and the Supreme Court. And in April there was established the Wales Bench Chairmen's Forum.

37. When opening the Mercantile Court, Lord Bingham as Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, said

"This court represents the long overdue recognition of the need for the Principality of Wales to have its own indigenous institutions operating locally and meeting the needs of its citizens here."

38. Another development was the creation of 'Legal Wales' or 'Cymru'r Gyfraith' as it is called in Welsh. The Government of Wales Act 1998 had ushered in significant constitutional changes and it was of the highest importance that Wales' various and separate 'legal constituencies' should come together to form a legal civic society to engage with the new order and that is what Legal Wales is, a new civic society. It has a representative committee which was established in 2000. Its members are drawn from every constituency of law in Wales including barristers, solicitors, judges, the magistracy, the Law Schools of the universities of Wales, lawyers in Local Government, lawyers in the service of the Government of the National Assembly for Wales, lawyers on the legislative side of the Assembly, the Institute of Legal Executives, the Tribunals and the specialist law associations of Wales. The Legal Wales Standing Committee speaks for that civic community. It is the forum for collecting the views of the community and for representing those views; it provides from a Welsh perspective a response to consultation documents; it promotes debate and discussion between members of that broad legal community about the development of the law in Wales and about Wales' changing constitution; it promotes change and it is there to support and to create a relationship between that community and the National Assembly for Wales. Our collective experience is very wide ranging from the practice of the law to the teaching of law, from advocacy to adjudication of legal disputes and the conduct of public inquiries.

39. Those of you who are judges or solicitors will have discovered for yourselves that the strength of the Bar in Wales is very considerable in terms of breadth and depth of experience especially in crime, family and common law fields. Specialization too is strong. It has been so since the early seventies but is now in an expansive phase. It is developing,

hand in hand, with the specialist courts which have been established in Wales in recent years and with the National Assembly's expanding responsibilities. With specialization and devolution of government came opportunities and challenges. The legal profession in Wales is up to the challenge and has seized the opportunities. Since we have had devolution, there have been established three specialist associations – the Wales Public Law and Human Rights Association, the Wales Commercial Law Association and the Wales Personal Injuries Law Association and a fourth is about to be formed namely the Wales Parliamentary Bar Association of which Graham Walters is to be Chairman, Keith Bush the Treasurer and Emyr Jones the Secretary. It was born out of the fact that those three members of the circuit including myself have been presenting a matter to the Assembly's equivalent of a Parliamentary Committee during the past couple of months. A new need creating a new opportunity.

40. These developments were a spontaneous adjustment of the legal profession and the machinery of justice in Wales in response to devolution. They provide further evidence in support of Professor Tim Jones' description of Wales as an "emerging jurisdiction"., a description which exudes energy and promise. It catches the notion of birth and youth most vividly.

Wales Law

41. What are the other signs of this emerging jurisdiction? Although the National Assembly for Wales was not given primary law-making powers by the 1998 Act, as a result of the volume of secondary legislation made by the Assembly and of the number of Wales only legislation from Westminster, by the time Wales had its second devolution settlement in 2006, the law in Wales was already significantly different in a number of respects from what it was in England. The 2006 Act increased the legislative competence of the Assembly by devolving to it, albeit by a very complicated process, some primary legislative competence through Assembly measures. This is bound to increase the rate at which our laws become different from those of England. Imagine therefore the rate of change in our laws if the Assembly

were to have primary legislative competence on the scale enjoyed by the Parliament of Scotland and the Assembly of Northern Ireland. The devolution of primary legislative powers to Wales on that scale would have a major impact not only as to the content of our laws and their differences from the laws of other parts of the United Kingdom but also for the machinery of justice in Wales – it would have an enormous effect on all aspects of Legal Wales.

42. Is full legislative competence an end in itself or should it be part of a more comprehensive constitutional settlement? For example, should it comprise the Civil Service in Wales? What about the police service and the prosecution service and the administration of justice? What about the position of the Counsel General? Should his functions be more clearly defined to give him a constitutional role? Should that office be part of Government or independent of it? These are all elements of the constitution. Are they not inseparable parts of a settlement which confers full legislative responsibility? Should they be part of the next devolution settlement? These are questions which need to be addressed as part of the wider debate. My concerns are that as there is very little experience of the administration of justice within the Welsh Assembly Government or amongst the members of those wider aspects of real devolution. This is all the more reason why these questions need to be addressed publicly on occasions of this kind.

43. If there is a sound case for a devolution settlement which confers full legislative responsibility, is there not also a sound case for jurisdictional devolution as well? What I mean by jurisdictional devolution is a devolution settlement which includes rather than excludes responsibility for the administration of justice. The aspects of administration of justice to which I refer are all branches of the High Court, the Court of Appeal Civil Division, the Court of Appeal Criminal Division, the Prosecution Service, all Tribunals and the Magistrates Courts Service. I also include in the expression "administration of justice" an all-Wales police service responsible to the

Assembly. Responsibility for the administration of justice includes the authority to appoint judges subject, however, to the supervision of an independent judicial appointments commission.

44. What are the arguments for devolving the administration of justice? It should not be thought that the re-emergence of Wales' distinct identity in matters of law and the administration of justice is to be attributed entirely to devolution. The process of change began much earlier. It has been taking place albeit very gradually for about 63 years. Some may quarrel with that figure of 63 years and therefore I should explain that I take it from the passing of the Welsh Courts Act, 1942. That Act might have been the smallest possible step forward but it began a process of change to which momentum was added by the Welsh Language Acts of 1967 and 1993 and the pace of which quickened following the passing of the Government of Wales Act 1998. Since 1942, therefore, the scope for doing it differently in the practice and the teaching of the law in Wales has increased. Once we come to understand the significance of Legal Wales and the significance of the fact that Wales is an emerging jurisdiction, once we acknowledge these significant developments, we see immediately the case for not excepting jurisdictional devolution from the next settlement. But these are the historical arguments.

45. What are the constitutional arguments? In my opinion, the principal argument is that including responsibility for the administration of justice as part of a devolution settlement which devolves full law making powers makes good constitutional sense if the institution which is responsible for making the laws were also to have the responsibility and the accountability for their administration. Is there an Assembly or Parliament enjoying full legislative competence which does not also have responsibility for the administration of justice within its territorial jurisdiction? Secondly, it would be internally logical, consistent and coherent. Thirdly, it would make for consistency between the constitutions of Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales and fourthly it would bring justice closer to the people for whom the laws were made.

Conclusion

46. To devolve the administration of justice in Wales to the National Assembly would be a radical change in the established model by which justice is administered in England and Wales. The question is should that model be changed in the event of the National Assembly assuming full legislative powers in the next devolution settlement. The background against which I raise that question is provided by the changes which have already occurred to the British constitution, by the changes which are occurring to it and by the changes which are about to occur. These are exciting challenges. These are exciting opportunities.

Winston Roddick 9 Park Place Cardiff 28 November 2008

END

Eitem 5

Cynulliad Cenedlaethol Cymru National Assembly for Wales



Y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

Adroddiad: CLA(4)-12-12 : 28 Mai 2012

Mae'r Pwyllgor yn cyflwyno'r adroddiad a ganlyn i'r Cynulliad:

Offerynnau nad ydynt yn cynnwys unrhyw faterion i'w codi o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2 neu 21.3

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad negyddol

CLA145 - Rheoliadau Pwyllgor Cydwasanaethau Ymddiriedolaeth Gwasanaeth lechyd Gwladol Felindre (Cymru) 2012 Gweithdrefn: Negyddol. Fe'u gwnaed ar: 8 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd ar: 11 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym ar: 1 Mehefin 2012

CLA146 - Rheoliadau lechyd Meddwl (Ysbyty, Gwarcheidiaeth, Triniaeth Gymunedol a Chydsynio i Driniaeth) (Cymru) (Diwygio) 2012 Gweithdrefn: Negyddol. Fe'u gwnaed ar: 9 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd ar: 11 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym ar: 2 Mehefin 2012

CLA148 - Rheoliadau Hysbysebu a Hyrwyddo Tybaco (Arddangos) (Cymru) 2012 Gweithdrefn: Negyddol. Fe'u gwnaed ar: 14 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd ar: 16 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym: yn unol â rheoliad 1(1)

CLA149 - Rheoliadau Hysbysebu a Hyrwyddo Tybaco (Gwerthwyr Tybaco Arbenigol) (Cymru) 2012 Gweithdrefn: Negyddol. Fe'u gwnaed ar: 14 Mai 2012. Fe'u gosodwyd ar: 16 Mai 2012. Yn dod i rym ar: 6 Ebrill 2015

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad cadarnhaol

CLA147 - Rheoliadau Hysbysebu a Hyrwyddo Tybaco (Arddangos Prisiau) (Cymru) 2012 Gweithdrefn: Cadarnhaol.

Fe'u gwnaed ar: Ni nodwyd. Fe'u gosodwyd ar: Ni nodwyd. Yn dod i rym: yn unol â rheoliad 1(1)

Deddfwriaeth Arall

CLA150 - Canllawiau Statudol i Awdurdodau Rheoli Perygl - Deddf Rheoli Llifogydd a Dŵr 2010

Ystyriodd y Pwyllgor Ganllawiau Statudol i Awdurdodau Rheoli Perygl – Deddf Rheoli Llifogydd a Dŵr 2010. Er bod y Canllawiau'n ymwneud yn bennaf â threfniadau ymarferol, ystyriodd y Pwyllgor fod y weithdrefn sy'n berthnasol iddynt yn amlwg yn ddeddfwriaethol ei natur. Felly, penderfynodd y Pwyllgor y byddai'n craffu ar ganllawiau y mae gweithdrefn felly yn berthnasol iddynt. Er hynny, cytunodd y Pwyllgor mai'r unig fater a oedd yn haeddu cyflwyno adroddiad arno ar yr achlysur hwn oedd y weithdrefn a oedd yn gymwys i'r Canllawiau. Ceir adroddiad y Pwyllgor ar y Canllawiau hyn yn Atodiad 1 i'r Adroddiad hwn.

Offerynnau sy'n cynnwys materion i'w codi o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2 neu 21.3

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad negyddol

Dim

Offerynnau'r weithdrefn penderfyniad cadarnhaol

Dim

Busnes Arall

CLA CM4 - Memorandwm Cydsyniad ar gyfer Gorchymyn Cyrff Cyhoeddus 2011: Diddymu Arolygiaeth Gweinyddiaeth Llysoedd Ei Mawrhydi a Bwrdd y Gwarcheidwad Cyhoeddus 2012 (Saesneg yn Unig)

Ystyriodd y Pwyllgor CLA CM4 - Memorandwm Cydsyniad ar gyfer Gorchymyn Cyrff Cyhoeddus 2011: Diddymu Arolygiaeth Gweinyddiaeth Llysoedd Ei Mawrhydi a Bwrdd y Gwarcheidwad Cyhoeddus 2012. Er y gwnaed y sylw y byddai'n fwy eglur pe bai diddymu Bwrdd y Gwarcheidwad Cyhoeddus ac Arolygiaeth Gweinyddiaeth Llysoedd EM yn cael ei gyflawni drwy wneud dau orchymyn gwahanol, ni chanfu'r Pwyllgor unrhyw reswm pam y dylid dal y cydsyniad yn ôl ac argymhellodd ei fod yn fodlon ar y Gorchymyn presennol.

Ymchwiliadau'r Pwyllgor: Ymchwiliad i sefydlu awdurdodaeth ar wahân i Gymru

Clywodd y Pwyllgor dystiolaeth lafar gan Elfyn Llwyd AS, Arweinydd Grŵp Plaid Cymru, Tŷ'r Cyffredin. Cytunodd Mr Llwyd i geisio darparu gwybodaeth ystadegol ychwanegol mewn cysylltiad â nifer yr achosion o gyfraith weinyddol a glywyd yng Nghymru ers 2010, pan sefydlwyd swyddfa benodol yng Nghaerdydd i ymdrin â hawliadau'r Llys Gweinyddol.

Bil Safonau a Threfniadaeth Ysgolion (Cymru)

Clywodd y Pwyllgor dystiolaeth lafar gan Leighton Andrews AC, Gweinidog Addysg a Sgiliau Llywodraeth Cymru mewn cysylltiad â Bil Safonau a Threfniadaeth Ysgolion (Cymru). Daeth swyddogion Llywodraeth Cymru gyda'r Gweinidog, sef: Anthony Jordan, Pennaeth Llywodraethu a Threfniadaeth Ysgolion, Amina Rix, Cyfreithiwr, Simon Morea, Cyfreithiwr, Ceri Planchant, Cyfreithiwr, Llywodraeth Cymru. Mae'r Gweinidog wedi gwneud addewid i ddarparu gwybodaeth ychwanegol ynghylch:

1. Drafft o'r Cod Trefniadaeth Ysgolion yn ystod trafodion Cyfnod 2

2. Manylion o ran ble y gellir dod o hyd i'r pwerau sydd wedi'u cynnwys yn adran 58, adran 67, adran 82 a pharagraff 34(1)(b) o Atodlen 5 ar hyn o bryd ac, yn benodol, a yw'r pwerau hyn wedi cael eu defnyddio ac, os felly, pryd.

3. Tabl o ddeilliannau yn nodi ffynonellau'r ddeddfwriaeth arfaethedig i'w hymgorffori yn y Bil presennol.

Bil Sgorio Hylendid Bwyd (Cymru)

Ystyriodd y Pwyllgor Fil Sgorio Hylendid Bwyd (Cymru) a osodwyd gerbron y Cynulliad ar 28 Mai 2012 a phenderfynodd wahodd Lesley Griffiths AC, y Gweinidog Iechyd a Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol, i roi tystiolaeth.

Penderfyniad i Gwrdd yn Breifat

Yn unol â Rheol Sefydlog 17.42(vi) a (ix) penderfynodd y Pwyllgor wahardd y cyhoedd o weddill y cyfarfod er mwyn trafod tystiolaeth a gyflwynwyd hyd yn hyn i'r Ymchwiliad i sefydlu awdurdodaeth ar wahân i Gymru, y dystiolaeth ynghylch Bil Safonau a Threfniadaeth Ysgolion (Cymru) ac Ymateb Llywodraeth Cymru i'r Ymchwiliad i roi pwerau i Weinidogion Cymru yn Neddfau'r DU.

David Melding AC

Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

28 Mai 2012

Atodiad 1

Y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

(CLA(4)-12-12)

CLA150

Adroddiad y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol

Teitl: Canllawiau Statudol i Awdurdodau Rheoli Perygl - Deddf Rheoli Llifogydd a Dŵr 2010

1. "Diben y canllawiau yw rhoi cyngor i alluogi Awdurdodau Rheoli Perygl i gydweithio'n adeiladol i reoli'r perygl o lifogydd ac erydu arfordirol. Fe'u lluniwyd hefyd i sicrhau bod ceisiadau am wybodaeth, pan gânt eu cyflwyno, yn cael eu cyflwyno mewn ffordd briodol."

2. Ar 18 Mai 2012, gosodwyd y Canllawiau Statudol gerbron y Cynulliad Cenedlaethol ynghyd â Nodyn Esboniadol byr. Gall canllawiau statudol fod yn is-ddeddfwriaeth (neu beidio). Yn arferol, y prawf yw, a yw'r canllawiau'n ddeddfwriaethol eu natur. Mae'n ofynnol bod y personau (gan gynnwys cyrff cyhoeddus) y cyfeiriwyd y canllawiau atynt, yn rhoi ystyriaeth ddyladwy i ganllawiau o'r fath. Yn ymarferol, golyga hyn y dylai fod ganddynt reswm da iawn dros beidio â dilyn y canllawiau hynny. Rhaid bod modd defnyddio'r rheswm hwnnw i gyfiawnhau'r camau a fabwysiadwyd mewn unrhyw achosion adolygiad barnwrol.

3. Mae'r Canllawiau dan sylw yn ymwneud yn bennaf â threfniadau ymarferol, ac maent yn cynnwys gwybodaeth, fel manylion cyswllt yr awdurdodau perthnasol. Mae'n bosibl dadlau, felly, nad ydynt yn ddeddfwriaethol eu natur. Fodd bynnag, mae'r weithdrefn sy'n berthnasol iddynt yn ddeddfwriaethol iawn ei natur.

Pŵer galluogi

4. Y pŵer galluogi yw adran 8 o Ddeddf Rheoli Llifogydd a Dŵr 2010 ("y Ddeddf"), is-adran (1) o'r adran sy'n darparu -

"The Welsh Ministers must develop, maintain and apply a strategy for flood and coastal erosion risk management in Wales (a "national flood and coastal erosion risk management strategy")."

Yn y cyd-destun hwnnw, mae is-adran (6) o'r adran honno'n darparu'r canlynol -

"The Welsh Ministers may, in particular, issue guidance about

how Welsh risk management authorities are to comply with the duties under sections 13(1) and 14."

5. Mae Adran 13(1) yn nodi bod yn rhaid i awdurdodau perthnasol gydweithredu ag awdurdodau perthnasol eraill wrth arfer eu swyddogaethau rheoli perygl llifogydd ac erydu arfordirol.

Mae Adran 14 yn rhoi pŵer i Weinidogion Cymru, Asiantaeth yr Amgylchedd ac Awdurdodau Llifogydd Lleol Arweiniol ofyn am wybodaeth gan berson ynglŷn â'u swyddogaethau rheoli perygl llifogydd ac erydu arfordirol.

Mae'r canllawiau yn berthnasol i'r ddyletswydd i gydweithredu â cheisiadau am wybodaeth.

Y weithdrefn

6. Yr hyn sy'n gwneud y canllawiau hyn yn anghyffredin yw'r weithdrefn sy'n berthnasol iddynt. Mae'r weithdrefn honno wedi'i nodi yn adran 8(7) fel a ganlyn -

"The Welsh Ministers must lay any guidance in draft before the National Assembly for Wales; and it may not be issued if during the period of 40 days beginning with the date of laying (ignoring any periods for which the National Assembly is dissolved or is in recess for more than 4 days) the National Assembly resolves that it should not be issued (in that form)."

7. Nid yw canllawiau statudol yn ddarostyngedig i weithdrefn y Cynulliad fel arfer, ond yn yr achos hwn mae amrywiad ar y weithdrefn negyddol. Fel gydag achosion gweithdrefn negyddol, gall y canllawiau gael eu gwneud a dod i rym, oni bai fod y Cynulliad yn penderfynu yn groes i hyn o fewn cyfnod penodol. Fodd bynnag, yn achos offerynnau statudol a wneir o dan y weithdrefn negyddol, gwneir yr offerynnau cyn eu gosod fel arfer. Yn yr achos hwn, gosodir y canllawiau ar ffurf ddrafft, ac ni chânt eu gwneud tan ddiwedd y cyfnod penodedig. Felly, mae'r weithdrefn yn rhoi cwmpas ehangach ar gyfer craffu na gweithdrefn negyddol safonol.

Craffu

8. Os ystyrir y canllawiau, felly, fel is-ddeddfwriaeth na wnaed drwy offeryn statudol, caiff y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol gyflwyno adroddiad arno o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.7(i). Hyd yn oed os na chaiff ei ystyried yn y modd hwn, caiff y Pwyllgor gyflwyno adroddiad arno o hyd, fel mater deddfwriaethol cyffredinol o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.7(v). Byddai'n fater o'r fath yn rhinwedd y ffaith y caiff gweithdrefnau tebyg eu cynnig yn y Bil Safonau a Threfniadaeth Ysgolion (Cymru) ("y Bil") sydd gerbron y Cynulliad ar hyn o bryd. Mae Adran 33 o'r Bil yn rhoi pwerau i Weinidogion Cymru gyhoeddi canllawiau ar wella ysgolion, ond yn ddarostyngedig i weithdrefn graffu a nodir yn adran 34 o'r Bil. Yn yr un modd, mae adran 39 o'r Bil yn nodi gweithdrefn i Weinidogion Cymru gyhoeddi Cod Statudol ar drefniadaeth ysgolion. Felly roedd yr achos presennol yn rhoi cyfle i'r Pwyllgor ystyried a mynegi barn ynghylch a yw'r weithdrefn hon yn briodol, ac a yw, drwy rinwedd y weithdrefn honno, i'w hystyried fel isddeddfwriaeth at ddibenion y Cynulliad a'i Reolau Sefydlog.

Materion Technegol: Craffu

9. Er mwyn ei gofnodi, ni nodwyd unrhyw bwyntiau technegol a fyddai wedi bod yn destun adroddiad o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.2 pe bai hwn yn offeryn statudol.

Rhinweddau: Craffu

10. Yn yr un modd, ni nodwyd unrhyw bwyntiau rhinweddau a fyddai wedi bod yn destun adroddiad o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.3 pe bai hwn wedi bod yn offeryn statudol.

Tynnir sylw'r Cynulliad i'r mater hwn o dan Reol Sefydlog 21.7, oherwydd ei fod yn codi materion deddfwriaethol a gweithdrefnol sy'n debygol o fod o ddiddordeb i'r Cynulliad.

David Melding AC

Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Materion Cyfansoddiadol a Deddfwriaethol.

28 Mai 2012